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Summary

A finite group whose order is a power of a prime $p$ is called a finite $p$-group. Among finite groups, $p$-groups take a special position: For example, every finite group contains large $p$-groups as subgroups by the Sylow Theorems. The classification of $p$-groups is a difficult problem and, in general, not even the exact number of isomorphism types of groups of order $p^n$ is known. The asymptotic estimates of Higman (1960) and Sims (1965) show that there are $p^{2n^3/27+O(n^{8/3})}$ isomorphism types of groups of order $p^n$. Higman’s PORC Conjecture (1960) claims that for fixed $n$ the number of isomorphism types of groups of order $p^n$ is a polynomial on residue classes.

A special type of $p$-groups are $p$-groups of maximal class: These are the $p$-groups of order $p^n$ with nilpotency class $n - 1$. A first major study of maximal class groups was carried out by Blackburn in 1958. He obtained a classification of the 2- and 3-groups of maximal class, and he observed that a classification for primes greater than 3 is significantly more difficult. Following Blackburn, maximal class groups were discussed in detail by Shepherd (1971), Miech (1970 – 1982), Leedham-Green & McKay (1976 – 1984), Fernández-Alcober (1995), and Vera-López et al. (1995 – 2008). Despite substantial progress made in the last six decades, the classification of maximal class groups is still an open problem in $p$-group theory. For example, Problem 3 of Shalev’s survey paper (1994) on finite $p$-groups asks to classify the 5-groups of maximal class.

The coclass of a $p$-group of order $p^n$ and nilpotency class $c$ is defined as $n - c$. Hence, the $p$-groups of maximal class are the $p$-groups of coclass 1. Leedham-Green & Newman (1980) suggested to classify $p$-groups by coclass, and their suggestion has led to a major research project in $p$-group theory. In this thesis, we follow the philosophy of coclass theory and investigate the $p$-groups of maximal class (or coclass 1).

The graph $G(p)$. The coclass graph $G(p)$ associated with $p$-groups of maximal class is defined as follows: Its vertices are the isomorphism types of finite $p$-groups of maximal class where a vertex is identified with a group representing its isomorphism class. Two vertices $G$ and $H$ are connected by a directed edge $G \to H$ if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to the central quotient $H/\zeta(H)$. A group $H$ is called a descendant of a group $G$ in $G(p)$ if $G = H$ or if there is a path from $G$ to $H$. We visualize $G(p)$ in the Euclidean plane as an undirected graph by drawing the proper descendants of a group in $G(p)$ below that group.

It is well-known that $G(p)$ consists of the cyclic group of order $p^2$ and an infinite tree $T(p)$, whose root is elementary abelian of order $p^2$. The tree $T(p)$ contains a unique infinite path starting at its root. This path is called the mainline of $T(p)$ and we denote it by $S_2 \to S_3 \to \ldots$ where $S_n$ has order $p^n$. The $n$-th branch $B_n$ of the tree $T(p)$ is the finite subtree of $T(p)$ induced by the descendants of $S_n$ which are not descendants of $S_{n+1}$. As usual, the depth of a subtree $B$ of $T(p)$ is the maximal length of a path within $B$, and its width is the maximal number of vertices at the same depth in $B$. A sketch of $G(p)$ is given in Figure 1.
By the results of Blackburn, the graph $G(p)$ for $p = 2, 3$ is completely understood. For example, all branches have depth 1 and a recurrent structure. Leedham-Green & McKay (1984) showed that the set of depths of the branches of $T(p)$ is unbounded for $p \geq 5$ and the set of widths of the branches of $T(5)$ is bounded. Here we prove that the set of widths of the branches of $T(p)$ is unbounded for $p \geq 7$. For $p = 5$ an investigation with computational methods is still possible, see Newman (1990) and Dietrich, Eick & Fiechter (2008), whereas for $p \geq 7$ the size of the branches increases too fast for a complete examination. The detailed structure of $G(p)$ for $p \geq 7$ is not known.

The periodicity of type 1. We now describe our first main results and, for this purpose, we introduce some more notation. For $k \geq 0$ the shaved branch $B_n[k]$ is the subtree of $B_n$ induced by the groups of distance at most $k$ from its root $S_n$. We define functions $c = c(p)$ and $e_n = e_n(p)$, both essentially given by linear polynomials, which for given $p$ satisfy $0 \leq e_2 \leq e_3 \leq \ldots$ and $e_{n+d} = e_n + d$ where $d = p - 1$. We call the shaved branch $T_n = B_n[e_n]$ the $n$-th body of $T(p)$ and prove the following for $n \geq p + 1$.

- The depths of $B_n$ and $T_n$ differ by at most $c$.
- There is an embedding $\iota = \iota_n: T_n \hookrightarrow B_{n+d}$ of rooted trees such that $\iota(T_n) = B_{n+d}[e_n]$.

A summary of these results is visualized in Figure 2.

This recurrent pattern in $T(p)$ is referred to as the periodicity of type 1. It shows that a major part of the tree carries a periodic structure. Weaker versions of this periodic pattern in $T(p)$ have been proved by Eick & Leedham-Green (2008) and du Sautoy (2001).

Experiments by computer suggest that the whole branch $B_n$ cannot be embedded into $B_{n+d}$. The periodicity of type 1 as proved in this thesis embeds $B_n$ with the exclusion of at most $c$ levels of groups. This shows that the periodicity of type 1 is close to best possible.
The periodicity of type 2. For a complete description of the tree $T(p)$ it is necessary to describe the difference graph of $B_{n+d}$ and $\iota(T_n) = B_{n+d}[\iota_n]$. Since in general the set of widths of the branches is unbounded, this graph cannot be isomorphic to a subgraph of $B_n$. However, a conjecture of Eick, Leedham-Green, Newman & O'Brien (2009) claims that it can be described by another periodic pattern, that is, a periodicity of type 2. According to this conjecture, for large enough $n$, the subtree of $B_{n+d}$ induced by the descendants of a group at depth $\epsilon_n$ in $B_{n+d}$ is isomorphic to a corresponding subtree in $B_n$. Thus, the periodicities of type 1 and 2 would in principle suffice to describe the tree $T(p)$ completely.

As a first approximation of this conjecture, we consider the difference graph of $T_{n+d}$ and $\iota(T_n)$ and, thus, omit at most $c$ levels of groups. We define the $d$-step descendant tree $D_d(G)$ of a group $G$ in $T(p)$ as the subtree of $T(p)$ induced by the descendants of distance at most $d$ from $G$. Then the periodicity of type 2 asserts that for large enough $n$ every group $G$ at depth $\epsilon_n$ in $T_{n+d}$ has a periodic parent $H$ at depth $\epsilon_n - d$ in $T_{n+d}$ such that $D_d(H)$ and $D_d(G)$ are isomorphic as rooted trees, see Figure 3.

![Figure 3: A periodic parent of $G$.](image)

This periodic pattern and the periodicity of type 1 would suffice to describe the bodies of $T(p)$ completely. The main problem is to specify a periodic parent of a group. Based on ideas of Leedham-Green & McKay (1984), we use $p$-adic number theory and prove the periodicity of type 2 in certain special cases. There are significant differences depending on the residue $p$ modulo 6, and we consider the easier case $p \equiv 5 \mod 6$ here. As a corollary, we show that for large enough $n$ the $d$-step parent of a group at depth $\epsilon_n$ in $T_{n+d}$ which has proper descendants is a periodic parent if its automorphism group is a $p$-group. The computation of explicit examples indicate that the $d$-step parent is not always a periodic parent, and we propose an alternative construction of periodic parents in a special case.

**Classification of groups.** The periodicities of type 1 and 2 describe graph theoretic patterns within $T(p)$. For our proof of these periodicities we use a cohomological approach and describe the groups in the bodies of $T(p)$ as certain group extensions. This allows us to construct all graph isomorphisms on a group theoretic level such that the periodic patterns in $T(p)$ are reflected in the structure of the groups involved. For example, if $G$ is a group in $T_n$ with $n \geq p+1$, then a suitable choice of the embeddings $\iota$ allows us to describe the infinitely many groups in $\{G, \iota(G), \iota^2(G), \ldots\}$ by a single group presentation whose defining relations contain one indeterminate integer as parameter.

**The 5-groups of maximal class.** As an application, we prove that the bodies $T_n = B_n[n-4]$ of the tree $T(5)$ can be described by a finite subgraph and the periodicities of type 1 and 2. We deduce that the infinitely many groups in these bodies can be described by finitely many group presentations with at most two indeterminate integers as parameters. This is close to a positive answer of Problem 3 in Shalev’s survey paper (1994).
Zusammenfassung


Ast $B_n$ des Baumes $T(p)$ ist der endliche Teilbaum von $T(p)$, der von den Nachfolgern von $S_n$ induziert wird, welche nicht auch Nachfolger von $S_{n+1}$ sind. Wie üblich sind Tiefe und Weite eines Wurzelbaumes definiert als die maximale Länge eines Pfades, beziehungsweise die maximale Anzahl von Knoten der gleichen Tiefe. In Abbildung 1 ist die Struktur von $G(p)$ skizziert.

![Abbildung 1: Der Graph $G(p)$.](image1)


**Die Periodizität vom Typ 1.** Um das erste Hauptresultat dieser Arbeit zu beschreiben, ist weitere Notation nötig. Für $k \geq 0$ ist der gestutzte Ast $B_n[k]$ der Teilbaum von $B_n$, welcher von den Gruppen der Tiefe höchstens $k$ in $B_n$ induziert wird. Weiterhin werden Abbildungen, im Wesentlichen lineare Polynome, $c = c(p)$ und $\epsilon_n = \epsilon_n(p)$ definiert mit $0 \leq \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon_3 \leq \ldots$ und $\epsilon_{n+d} = \epsilon_n + d$ für festes $p$ und $d = p - 1$. Der $n$-te Rumpf von $T(p)$ ist der gestutzte Ast $T_n = B_n[\epsilon_n]$. Folgende Aussagen werden für $n \geq p + 1$ bewiesen:

- Die Tiefen von $B_n$ und $T_n$ unterscheiden sich höchstens um $c$.
- Es gibt eine Einbettung $\iota = \iota_n : T_n \rightarrow B_{n+d}$ von Wurzelbäumen mit $\iota(T_n) = B_{n+d}[\epsilon_n]$.

Eine Zusammenfassung dieser Ergebnisse ist in Abbildung 2 skizziert.

![Abbildung 2: Die Periodizität vom Typ 1.](image2)

**Die Periodizität vom Typ 2.** Für eine vollständige Beschreibung des Baumes $T(p)$ muss der Differenzengraph von $B_{n+d}$ und $\iota(T_n) = B_{n+d}[\epsilon_n]$ beschrieben werden. Im Allgemeinen ist die Menge der Weiten der Äste unbeschränkt, weswegen dieser Differenzengraph nicht zu einem Teilgraphen von $B_n$ isomorph sein kann. Eine Vermutung von Eick, Leedham-Green, Newman & O’Brien (2009) besagt jedoch, dass er mit Hilfe eines weiteren periodischen Musters, einer Periodizität vom Typ 2, beschrieben werden kann. Entsprechend dieser Vermutung ist für genügend großes $n$ der Teilbaum von $B_{n+d}$, der von den Nachfolgern einer Gruppe der Tiefe $\epsilon_n$ in $B_{n+d}$ induziert wird, isomorph zu einem entsprechenden Teilbaum in $B_n$. Die Periodizitäten vom Typ 1 und 2 wären danach ausreichend, um die Äste in $T(p)$ vollständig zu beschreiben.

Als eine erste Annäherung an diese Vermutung wird in der vorliegenden Arbeit der Differenzengraph von $T_{n+d}$ und $\iota(T_n)$ betrachtet, das heißt, es werden höchstens $c$ Levels von Gruppen ausgelassen. Der Nachfolgerbaum $D_d(G)$ einer Gruppe $G$ in $T(p)$ ist der Teilbaum von $T(p)$, welcher von den Nachfolgern mit Abstand höchstens $d$ von $G$ induziert wird. Die Periodizität vom Typ 2 sagt nun voraus, dass für genügend großes $n$ jede Gruppe $G$ der Tiefe $\epsilon_n$ in $T_{n+d}$ einen periodischen Vorfahren $H$ der Tiefe $\epsilon_n - d$ in $T_{n+d}$ besitzt, so dass die Nachfolgerbäume $D_d(H)$ und $D_d(G)$ isomorph sind, siehe Abbildung 3.


Die Klassifikation von Gruppen. Die Periodizitäten vom Typ 1 und 2 beschreiben graphentheoretische Muster innerhalb des Baumes $T(p)$. Für den hier geführten Beweis dieser Periodizitäten wird ein kohomologischer Ansatz verfolgt und die Gruppen in den Rümpfen von $T(p)$ werden als gewisse Gruppenerweiterungen beschrieben. Hierdurch ist es möglich, die entsprechenden Graphenisomorphismen auf gruppentheoretischer Ebene zu konstruieren. Die periodischen Strukturen in $T(p)$ spiegeln sich dabei in der Struktur der entsprechenden Gruppen wider. Ist $G$ beispielsweise eine Gruppe in dem Rumpf $T_n$ mit $n \geq p + 1$, dann erlaubt eine geeignete Wahl der Einbettungen $\iota$ eine Beschreibung der unendlich vielen Gruppen in $\{G, \iota(G), \iota^2(G), \ldots\}$ durch eine einzige Gruppenpräsentation deren definierenden Relatoren eine natürliche Zahl als Parameter besitzen.
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1 Introduction

“The chief forms of beauty are order and symmetry and definiteness, which the mathematical sciences demonstrate in a special degree.”

Aristotle (384 B.C. – 322 B.C.)

The concept of a group is a central concept of abstract algebra. Basically describing symmetries of certain objects, groups can be found in most branches of mathematics and also in many areas of science, for example physics, chemistry, coding theory, and cryptography.

One of the major themes in finite group theory is the classification of groups. The general idea of classification is to find for a given class of groups an explicit list of isomorphism type representatives; that is, no two groups in the list are isomorphic and every group in the given class is isomorphic to a group in the list. An example of a famous classification theorem is the classification theorem of finite simple groups, which classifies all finite simple groups – the basic building blocks of all finite groups. However, if we even restrict attention to the least complicated finite simple group, the cyclic group of prime order $p$, it is still an intricate problem to put these groups together in order to construct all groups of $p$-power order, so-called finite $p$-groups, up to isomorphism.

An approach to classify finite $p$-groups is to classify all groups of a given order, $p^n$ say. Higman’s PORC Conjecture (polynomial on residue classes) claims that for fixed $n$ there is an integer $m$ such that the number of (isomorphism types of) groups of order $p^n$ is a polynomial in $p$ which depends on the residue class $p$ modulo $m$, see [21]. The asymptotic estimates of Higman [20] and Sims [53] show that there are $p^{2n^3/27+O(n^{8/3})}$ groups of order $p^n$. Thus, the number of groups grows exponentially with $n$ and, apart from the same order, the groups of order $p^n$ have the most different structures which complicates a possible classification. Newman, O’Brien & Vaughan-Lee [43, 45] classified the groups of order dividing $p^7$, and these groups are implemented in several computer algebra systems. For larger exponents $n$ it seems hopeless to find such a description. For example, there is neither a theoretical classification nor an implementation of the 49487365422 groups of order $2^{10} = 1024$. This indicates that it might be useful to classify $p$-groups by other invariants than the order.

1.1 Classification by coclass

The original roots of coclass theory go back to the 1950s when groups of maximal class were examined, that is, finite $p$-groups of the largest nilpotency class compatible with their order. By definition, a finite $p$-group $G$ of order $p^n$ has maximal class if it has nilpotency class $c(G) = n - 1$. Thus, the lower central series of $G$ has the form

$$G = \gamma_1(G) > \ldots > \gamma_n(G) = \{1\}.$$ 

Maximal class groups were introduced by Wiman [67] in 1952. His partially incorrect paper was to some extent the basis of the investigations of Blackburn [3] in 1958, which nowadays
is seen as the first major study of maximal class groups. In contrast to the \( p \)-groups of a given order, there are infinitely many \( p \)-groups of maximal class. However, the possible structures of these groups are restricted which perhaps allows a description by a finite set of data. As an example, we now briefly recall the classical results about 2- and 3-groups of maximal class.

The 2-groups of maximal class have been determined in the beginning of the twentieth century, see for example Séguiér [48, p. 121] or Taussky [55], cf. [23, Satz III.11.9]. It is well-known that they consist of the cyclic group and elementary abelian group of order 4, the quaternion and dihedral group of order 8, and the following three infinite families of dihedral, semi-dihedral, and quaternion groups of 2-power order. We describe these families by three “parameterized presentations”, that is, group presentations whose defining relations have exponents which are arithmetic expressions containing finitely many indeterminate integers as parameters.

\[
D_{2^n} = \langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}} = 1, \quad b^2 = 1, \quad a^b = a^{-1} \rangle \quad (n \geq 4),
\]

\[
SD_{2^n} = \langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}} = 1, \quad b^2 = 1, \quad a^{2^{n-2}} = a^b = a^{-1} \rangle \quad (n \geq 4),
\]

\[
Q_{2^n} = \langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}} = 1, \quad b^2 = a^{2^{n-2}}, \quad a^b = a^{-1} \rangle \quad (n \geq 4).
\]

In a similar way, Blackburn [3] determined group presentations of the 3-groups of maximal class. We modify these presentations and, without a proof, describe the groups as follows. Let \( m \geq 3 \). If \( n = 2m \) is even, then there are seven maximal class groups of order \( 3^n \) which are defined by

\[
P_{u,v,w} = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^3 = d^u, \quad b^{3^{m-1}} = d, \quad c^{3^{m-1}} = d, \quad d^3 = 1, \quad b^a = c, \quad c^a = b^{3^{m-1} - 1}c^{3^{m-1} - 1}d^v, \quad c^b = c^{d^w}, \quad d \text{ central} \rangle
\]

with \((u, v, w) \in \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 0), (0, 2, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1)\}\) If \( n = 2m - 1 \) is odd, then there are six maximal class groups of order \( 3^n \) which are defined by

\[
P_{u,v,w} = \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^3 = d^u, \quad b^{3^{m-1}} = c^{3^{m-1} - 1}d^v, \quad c^{3^{m-1}} = d, \quad d^3 = 1, \quad b^a = c, \quad c^a = b^{3^{m-1} - 1}c^{3^{m-1} - 1}d^v, \quad c^b = c^{d^w}, \quad d \text{ central} \rangle
\]

with \((u, v, w) \in \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2), (0, 2, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 2, 0)\}\)

### 1.1.1 Coclass

In 1980, inspired by Blackburn’s approach to the groups of maximal class, Leedham-Green & Newman [30] started the more general classification by coclass project. They considered the coclass of a \( p \)-group as an invariant and suggested to classify by coclass.

**Definition.** A group \( G \) of order \( p^n \) and nilpotency class \( c \) has coclass \( cc(G) = n - c \).

Together with this definition, Leedham-Green & Newman proposed five conjectures, known as Conjectures A – E, on the structure of the \( p \)-groups of a fixed coclass, see Section A.2. Their suggestion and the related conjectures initiated a deep research project. An important milestone in this project is the complete proof of all five coclass conjectures. Various results have been obtained along the way, until finally two independent proofs emerged, see Shalev [50] and Leedham-Green [32]. A full account of the proofs including further details and references is given in the book of Leedham-Green & McKay [29].
Coclass theory has delivered significant insight into the structure of the $p$-groups of a fixed coclass. For example, Conjecture A, which now is a theorem, states that there exists a function $f(p,r)$ such that every finite $p$-group of coclass $r$ has a normal subgroup of nilpotency class at most 2 and index at most $f(p,r)$, see Section A.2.

The coclass project now continues to investigate the situation in more detail. An important conjecture underpinning this research project is the following, see for example [6].

1.1 Conjecture. Let $p$ be a prime and let $r$ be a positive integer. The finite $p$-groups of coclass $r$ can be divided into finitely many periodicity classes such that the structure of the groups in a periodicity class can be described in a uniform way. In particular:

a) All groups in a periodicity class can be defined by a single parameterized presentation.

b) Many structural invariants of the groups in a periodicity class can be exhibited in a uniform way. For example, their Schur multiplicators and automorphism groups can be described by a single parameterized presentation.

Conjecture 1.1 is rather vague as no precise definition of periodicity class is given. A concrete definition of this term would already be a major step forward in this research area and perhaps also a significant step ahead in proving the conjecture. Nonetheless, one can observe that if this conjecture is true, then a classification of $p$-groups by coclass is possible and would be a powerful tool in the understanding of $p$-groups.

In Section 1.1.3 we report on some evidence supporting Conjecture 1.1. As a first step, we define coclass graphs – an important tool in coclass theory.

1.1.2 Coclass graphs

The coclass graph $G(p,r)$ associated with $p$-groups of coclass $r$ is defined as follows. Recall that $c(H)$ denotes the nilpotency class of a nilpotent group $H$.

**Definition.** The vertices of $G(p,r)$ are the isomorphism types of finite $p$-groups of coclass $r$ where a vertex is identified with a group representing its isomorphism class. Two vertices $G$ and $H$ are connected by a directed edge $G \rightarrow H$ if and only if $G \cong H/\gamma_{c(H)}(H)$.

A group $H$ in $G(p,r)$ is a descendant of a group $G$ if $G = H$ or if there is a path from $G$ to $H$ in $G(p,r)$. If this path has length 1, then $H$ is an immediate descendant of $G$ and $G$ is the parent of $H$. By definition, a coclass graph is a directed graph. However, we visualize it in the Euclidean plane as an undirected graph by drawing the proper descendants of a group in $G(p,r)$ below that group.

**Example.** Well-understood examples are the coclass graphs $G(2,1)$ and $G(3,1)$, which are sketched in Figure 1.1. For $G(3,1)$ we use a more compact notation: A vertex labeled with an integer $m$ stands for $m$ immediate descendants of the corresponding parent.

This example is in some sense misleading as in general the structure of a coclass graph is much more complicated. However, it is known that its structure cannot be arbitrarily wild. The following deep result was obtained in the course of proving the coclass conjectures. We refer to the book of Leedham-Green & McKay [29] and the book of Dixon, du Sautoy, Mann & Segal [7, Chapter 10] for references and background, cf. Section A.2.

**Theorem.** Excluding finitely many groups, the graph $G(p,r)$ is a finite collection of infinite trees such that every tree has exactly one infinite path starting at its root.
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Figure 1.1: The coclass graphs $G(2,1)$ and $G(3,1)$.

A subtree of $G(p,r)$ which is induced by all descendants of a group in $G(p,r)$ is called a descendant tree. A coclass tree of $G(p,r)$ is a descendant tree which is maximal with the property that its root is the initial vertex of exactly one infinite path. This unique maximal path is the mainline of the coclass tree. Using this notation, the graph $G(p,r)$ consists of finitely many coclass trees and finitely many groups not lying in any coclass tree.

Closely connected to coclass trees are infinite pro-$p$ groups, that is, infinite inverse limits of (topologically discrete) finite $p$-groups. The coclass of an infinite pro-$p$ group $S$ with nilpotent quotients $S_j = S/\gamma_j(S)$ for $j \geq 2$ is defined as $cc(S) = r$ if there is an integer $t$ such that $S_j$ is a finite $p$-group of coclass $r$ for all $j \geq t$. Obviously, such a pro-$p$ group defines an infinite path $S_t \to S_{t+1} \to \ldots$ in the coclass graph $G(p,r)$. On the other hand, the inverse limit of the groups on an infinite path in $G(p,r)$ is an infinite pro-$p$ group of coclass $r$. In particular, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism types of these pro-$p$ groups and the coclass trees of $G(p,r)$. It is Conjecture D of the proven coclass conjectures which says that there are only finitely many isomorphism types of infinite pro-$p$ groups of coclass $r$, see Section A.2.

We now introduce some more notation to describe coclass trees in more detail. As usual, the depth of a vertex in a rooted tree is its distance from the root and the depth of a rooted tree is the maximum depth of a vertex in the tree. The width of a rooted tree is the maximum number of groups at the same depth.

**Definition.** Let $T$ be a coclass tree with corresponding pro-$p$ group $S$ and mainline groups $S_t, S_{t+1}, \ldots$. Let $j \geq t$ and $k \geq 0$ be integers.

a) The $j$-th branch $B_j$ of $T$ is the subtree of $T$ induced by the descendants of $S_j$ which are not descendants of $S_{j+1}$.

b) The shaved coclass graph $G_k(p,r)$ is the subgraph of $G(p,r)$ induced by the mainline groups of the coclass trees in $G(p,r)$ and their descendants of distance at most $k$.

Thus, by construction, the branches of a coclass tree are finite subtrees which are pairwise disjoint, see Figure 1.2. The next step is now to investigate the finitely many coclass trees of the graph $G(p,r)$. The aim is to draw conclusions from their graph-theoretic structure to the structure of the groups involved. The following conjecture is a weaker version of Conjecture 1.1a) as only the structure of $G(p,r)$ is considered.

1.2 **Conjecture.** Let $p$ be a prime and let $r$ be a positive integer. The coclass graph $G(p,r)$ can be described by a finite subgraph and periodic patterns.
1.1.3 Shaved coclass graphs and 2-groups of coclass $r$

Newman & O’Brien considered 2-groups and examined the coclass graph $\mathcal{G}(2, r)$ in some detail. They suggested the conjecture that $\mathcal{G}(2, r)$ can be described by a finite subgraph and periodic patterns, see [42, Conjecture P].

Conjecture P. If $T$ is a coclass tree of $\mathcal{G}(2, r)$ with branches $B_t, B_{t+1}, \ldots$, then there exists an integer $d = d(T)$ such that, up to finitely many exceptions, for $j \geq t$ the branches $B_j$ and $B_{j+d}$ are isomorphic as rooted trees.

Two years later, in 2001, du Sautoy [9] used zeta functions and model theory to prove a qualitative version of this conjecture. His proof is non-constructive in the sense that one obtains neither information on the periodicity nor on the groups involved.

In 2008, Eick & Leedham-Green [14] published a constructive proof which is based on an explicit group-theoretic construction. They described the groups in a coclass tree $T$ as suitable group extensions and used cohomology theory to obtain the conjectured graph isomorphisms between the branches of $T$. Their approach has the advantage that not only one obtains detailed information on the periodicity, but also the branch isomorphisms are reflected in the structure of the groups. It is shown that the 2-groups of coclass $r$ can be described by finitely many parameterized presentations with one integer parameter. This generalizes the well-known result for $r = 1$, see Equation (1.1) on page 2, and proves Conjecture 1.1a) for $p = 2$.

This description by parameterized presentations is of great value as it has several applications. For example, Eick [12] proved that the infinitely many groups which are described by a single parameterized presentation also have automorphism group orders which can be described in a uniform way. Moreover, she conjectured a uniform description of the corresponding Schur multiplicators, see [13]. This supports Conjecture 1.1b) for $p = 2$.

du Sautoy and Eick & Leedham-Green did not only discuss the case $p = 2$ as they also considered shaved coclass graphs in general. They proved that the branches of a coclass tree in a shaved coclass graph are isomorphic with some periodicity.

Theorem P. Let $p$ be a prime and let $r$ and $k$ be positive integers. Let $T$ be a coclass tree of the shaved graph $\mathcal{G}_k(p, r)$ with branches $B_t, B_{t+1}, \ldots$. Then there exist integers $d = d(T)$ and $f = f(T, k)$ such that $B_j$ and $B_{j+d}$ are isomorphic as rooted trees for all $j \geq f$. 

In a short form, Theorem P says that the shaved coclass graph $G_k(p,r)$ can be described by a finite subgraph and periodic patterns. Again, Eick & Leedham-Green provided a constructive proof with an explicit value for $d$ and bounds for $f$.

In general, for odd primes the set of depths of the branches in a coclass tree is unbounded and, by [14, Remark 4], there exists an integer $k$ such that almost all vertices of $G(p,r)$ are contained in $G_k(p,r)$ only if either $p = 2$, or both $p = 3$ and $r = 1$. This shows that Conjecture P cannot be adapted to odd primes and the periodic patterns described in Theorem P do not suffice to prove Conjecture 1.2.

Thus, for odd primes, it is necessary to consider the unbounded growth of the branches. Another difficulty is that the number of coclass trees in $G(p,r)$ grows quickly as $r$ grows. For example, Eick [11, Section 6] showed that $G(3,3)$, $G(3,4)$, and $G(5,3)$ have more than $10^3$, $10^{11}$, and $10^{16}$ coclass trees, respectively. On the other hand, it is known that $G(p,1)$ has exactly one coclass tree for every prime $p$. Hence, the coclass graph $G(p,1)$ with $p \geq 5$ is distinguished as a perfect subject of study related to Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2; it seems to be the easiest case for which these conjectures are still open.

### 1.2 Groups of maximal class

The investigation of maximal class groups started long before coclass theory was developed. Though, despite substantial progress made in the last six decades, the classification of maximal class groups is still an open problem in $p$-group theory, see Problem 3 of Shalev’s survey paper on finite $p$-groups [51].

Following Blackburn, the theory of maximal class was advanced by Shepherd [52], Miech [35–39], Leedham-Green & McKay [25–28], Fernández-Alcober [17], and Vera-López et al. [24, 57–65]. We already mentioned that the 2- and 3-groups of maximal class are classified. The 5-groups of maximal class were investigated by Leedham-Green & McKay [28] and, computationally, by Newman [41] and Dietrich, Eick & Feichtenschlager [6]. Their results support Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2. There are partial results for primes greater than 5, see [24, 28], but, nonetheless, a classification in the sense of Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 is still open.

We now follow the philosophy of coclass theory and consider the graph $G(p) = G(p,1)$ associated with $p$-groups of maximal class. It is well-known that $G(p)$ consists of the cyclic group $C_{p^2}$ of order $p^2$ and an infinite coclass tree $T(p)$ with corresponding pro-$p$ group $S$ of coclass 1. The groups on the mainline of $T(p)$ are $S_2, S_3, \ldots$ where $S_j = S/\gamma_j(S)$ for $j \geq 2$, see Figure 1.3. The coclass trees $T(2)$ and $T(3)$ are well-understood. In contrast to these cases, if $p \geq 5$, then the branches of $T(p)$ have unbounded depth, that is, the set of depths of the branches of $T(p)$ is unbounded. If $p \geq 7$, then the set of widths of the branches of $T(p)$ is unbounded, cf. [6, Remark 2.2]. Thus, for $p = 5$, an investigation by computer is still possible, whereas for $p \geq 7$ the size of the branches increases too fast for a complete computational examination.

Coclass theory now proceeds by testing these branches for periodic patterns, and we refer to the results of Newman [41], Dietrich, Eick & Feichtenschlager [6], and Eick, Leedham-Green, Newman & O’Brien [15] for recent computational evidence supporting this approach. The aim of this thesis is to investigate the structure of the coclass tree $T(p)$ in more detail and to pursue Conjectures 1.1a) and 1.2.
1.3 Comment on the notation

Unless otherwise noted, we write all groups multiplicatively. We apply functions from the left and usually write group actions exponentially.

Groups of homomorphisms into abelian groups are written additively; that is, if $B$ is an abelian group (written multiplicatively) and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ are homomorphisms, then we apply the homomorphism $f + g$ to an element $a \in A$ via $(f + g)(a) = f(a)g(a)$. In a similar way, we write the group of 1- and 2-cocycles with coefficients in an abelian group as additive groups.

Throughout this thesis, we denote by $p$ a prime and define $d = p - 1$. In most parts, we assume that $p$ is greater than 3. Usually, the symbols $n, m, e, k,$ and $r$ denote non-negative integers. For an overview of the general notation used in this thesis we refer to the list of symbols at the end of this thesis.
2 The graph \( G(p) \)

We give a survey of the results achieved in this thesis and, for this purpose, we describe the structure of the coclass graph \( G(p) \) and its coclass tree \( T \) in more detail.

2.1 General notation

As a first step, we settle the notation which is used throughout the thesis and, for the sake of completeness, we recall the relevant definitions made in the introduction.

The vertices of the coclass graph \( G(p) \) are the isomorphism types of finite \( p \)-groups of maximal class where a vertex is identified with a group representing its isomorphism class. Two vertices \( G \) and \( H \) are connected by a directed edge \( G \to H \) if and only if \( G \) is isomorphic to the central quotient \( H/\zeta(H) \). A group \( H \) in \( G(p) \) is a descendant of a group \( G \) in \( G(p) \) if \( G \) is isomorphic to the quotient \( H/\gamma_n(H) \) for some \( n \geq 2 \); that is, if \( G = H \) or if there is a path in \( G(p) \) from \( G \) to \( H \). If \( n = c(H) \), the nilpotency class of \( H \), then \( H \) is an immediate descendant of \( G \) and \( G \) is the parent of \( H \). A group having immediate descendants is called capable and a non-capable group is terminal.

We visualize \( G(p) \) in the Euclidean plane as an undirected graph by drawing the proper descendants of a group in \( G(p) \) below that group.

For a fixed primitive \( p \)-th root of unity \( \theta \over \mathbb{C} \), we define

\[
P = C_p(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad T = (\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta], +)
\]

where \( C_p(\theta) \) is the cyclic group of order \( p \) generated by \( \theta \), and \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) is the ring of integers of the \( p \)-th local cyclotomic field \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \). Closely related to \( G(p) \) is the \( p \)-adic space group

\[
S = P \ltimes T
\]

where \( P \) acts via multiplication by \( \theta \) on \( T \). Up to isomorphism, the group \( S \) is the unique infinite pro-\( p \) group of coclass 1. The groups \( P \) and \( T \) are the point group and translation subgroup of \( S \), and for \( n \geq 2 \) we write \( T_1 = T \) and

\[
T_n = \gamma_n(S) \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = S/\gamma_n(S).
\]

It is well-known and proved in Theorem 6.4 that the graph \( G(p) \) consists of the cyclic group of order \( p^2 \) and an infinite coclass tree \( T = T(p) \) with elementary abelian root of order \( p^2 \).

The groups on the mainline of \( T \) are the mainline groups \( S_2, S_3, \ldots \)

For \( n \geq 2 \), the finite subtree \( B_n \) of \( T \) induced by all descendants of \( S_n \) which are not descendants of \( S_{n+1} \) is the \( n \)-th branch of \( T \). For a group \( G \) in \( T \) and a positive integer \( k \) the \( k \)-step descendant tree \( D_k(G) \) of \( G \) is the subtree of \( T \) induced by the descendants of distance at most \( k \) from \( G \). If \( B \) is any subtree of \( T \) with root \( G \), then the shaved subtree \( B[k] \) is the subtree of \( B \) induced by the groups of distance at most \( k \) from \( G \).

The 2- and 3-groups of maximal class are classified and, thus, we assume that \( p \) is a prime greater than 3 throughout the thesis.
2.1.1 Twigs, body, and skeleton

In this paragraph we introduce some important subtrees of a branch; namely, the body, the skeleton, and the twigs of a branch. First, we need some more notation.

2.1 Definition. Depending on $p$, we define the following integer constants.

a) Let $d = p - 1$ be the dimension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, that is, the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-rank of its translation subgroup.

b) Let $c = c(p)$ be $4p - 19$ if $p \geq 7$, and $4$ if $p = 5$.

c) For $n \geq 2$ let $e_n = e_n(p)$ be $\max\{0, n - 2p + 8\}$ if $p \geq 7$, and $\max\{0, n - 4\}$ if $p = 5$.

The following definition has been motivated by Leedham-Green & McKay, see [29, Definition 8.4.8].

2.2 Definition. Let $f : T \wedge T \to T_n$ be a surjective $P$-homomorphism and let $e \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor d$ be a positive integer. Then $f$ defines a new multiplication on the additive group $T/T_{n+e}$ via

$$(a + T_{n+e}) \circ (b + T_{n+e}) = a + b + \frac{1}{2} f(a \wedge b) + T_{n+e} \quad (a, b \in T),$$

and the constructible group or skeleton group defined by $f$ and $e$ is

$$C_{f,e} = P \ltimes (T/T_{n+e}, \circ)$$

where $P$ acts via multiplication by $\theta$. A group in the branch $B_n$ is a skeleton group if it is isomorphic to $C_{h,e}$ for some $e$ and some surjective $P$-homomorphism $h : T \wedge T \to T_n$.

We consider skeleton groups in more detail in Section 7.3 and show that the next definition is well-defined.

2.3 Definition. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer.

a) The body $T_n$ of $B_n$ is the shaved branch $B_n[\varepsilon_n]$.

b) The skeleton $S_n$ of $B_n$ is the subtree of $T_n$ induced by the skeleton groups in $T_n$.

c) The groups in a body not contained in the skeleton are called twig groups. Together with their parents in $T_n$, they form trees with roots in the skeleton of $T_n$ called twigs.

We call $T_n$ and $S_n$ the $n$-th body and $n$-th skeleton, respectively, of the tree $T$.

The definition of the skeleton is motivated by the explicit construction of the groups in Definition 2.2. We prove later in Lemma 6.6 and Section 7.1 that the groups in the $n$-th body $T_n$ can be described in a practical way as group extensions. This is the basis for our further investigations and thus motivates the definition of a body.

2.2 Bounding the depths

As usual, the depth of a vertex in a rooted tree is its distance from the root, and the depth of a rooted tree is the maximum depth of a vertex in the tree. The width of a rooted tree is the maximum number of groups at the same depth. We now investigate the depths of a branch, its body, skeleton, and twigs. The following theorem is proved in Corollaries 7.20 and 7.23 and Theorem 7.21.
2.4 Theorem. Let $n \geq p + 1$ be an integer.

a) The depth of the branch $B_n$ is at most $e_n + c$.
b) The depth of the body $T_n$ and the depth of the skeleton $S_n$ both are $e_n$.
c) The twigs in the body $T_n$ are trees of depth 1.

The bound on the depths of the branches of $T(5)$ is sharp, see Section 10.1. For $p = 7$ computer experiments suggest that the branch $B_n$ has depth at most $n + 2$; that is, in general, the bound in Theorem 2.4a) does not seem not to be sharp.

Theorem 2.4 has some important consequences. First, it shows that the body of a branch is a significant subtree: On the one hand, the difference of the depths of a branch $B_n$ and its body $T_n$ is bounded by the constant $c$ for all $n \geq p + 1$. On the other hand, the ratio of these depths converges to 1 as $n$ tends to infinity. Second, it follows from part c) that the general shape of a body is determined completely by its skeleton. The construction of skeleton groups is given explicitly in Definition 2.2 and a strategy to construct these groups up to isomorphism is in principle developed by Leedham-Green & McKay [28]. But, as they say, in practice it is a complicated procedure which relies on subtle number theory. We elaborate the underlying number theory and report on the corresponding problems in Section 5.4 and Chapter 9.

The next corollary can be deduced from results of Leedham-Green & McKay [28, 29], cf. [6, Remark 2.2]. Here we prove it as a consequence of Theorem 2.4, Corollary 9.4, and Theorem 10.1. Recall that the branches of $T(p)$ have (un)bounded width or depth if the set of widths or depths of the branches is (un)bounded, respectively.

2.5 Corollary. a) The branches of $T(2)$ and $T(3)$ have bounded width and depth.
b) The branches of $T(5)$ have bounded width and unbounded depth.
c) The branches of $T(p)$ with $p \geq 7$ have unbounded width and depth.

2.3 Periodicity of type 1

The first main result of this thesis is a strengthened version of Theorem P, page 5, for the coclass tree $T$ of $G(p)$; the following theorem is proved in Theorem 8.4.

2.6 Theorem. If $n \geq p + 1$, then there is an embedding

$$\iota = \iota_n : T_n \hookrightarrow B_{n+d}$$

of rooted trees such that $\iota(T_n) = B_{n+d}[\epsilon_n]$.

We refer to the periodic pattern described in Theorem 2.6 as the periodicity of type 1; it is sketched in Figure 2.1. This periodicity has been conjectured, due to computer experiments, for a long time, but it has never been proved before. It is motivated by the results of du Sautoy [9] and Eick & Leedham-Green [14], as well as by detailed computer experiments for $p = 5$, see Newman [41] and Dietrich, Eick & Feichtenschlager [6].

In the case of coclass 1, Theorem P asserts that for every positive integer $k$ there is an integer $f = f(k)$ such that the shaved branches $B_n[k]$ and $B_{n+d}[k]$ are isomorphic as rooted trees whenever $n \geq f$. Eick & Leedham-Green [14] determined an upper bound for the least possible value of $f$ and proved that

$$f(k) \leq (6d + 3/2)k + (6d + 15/2)d + 1.$$
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Figure 2.1: The periodicity of type 1.

Using this bound, it follows from Theorem P that a shaved subtree of the body \( T_n \) of depth approximately \( n/(6d) \) can be embedded into \( B_{n+d} \). In contrast, the periodicity mapping \( \iota_n \) of Theorem 2.6 embeds the whole body \( T_n \) of depth \( \iota_n \approx n \) into \( B_{n+d} \). Together with Theorem 2.4, this shows that the periodicity of type 1 proved here is a stronger version of the periodicity of \( T \) proved by Eick & Leedham-Green [14] and du Sautoy [9].

Computational investigations reveal that it is not possible to embed the whole branch \( B_n \) into \( B_{n+d} \). However, it follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.6 that \( B_n \) can be embedded with the exclusion of at most \( c \) levels of groups whenever \( n \geq p+1 \). This indicates that the periodicity mappings of Theorem 2.6 are close to best possible. Though, experiments suggest that the largest subtree of \( B_n \) which can be embedded into \( B_{n+d} \) might be bigger than the body \( T_n \). For \( p = 5 \) it is conjectured that \( B_n \approx [n-1] \cong B_{n+4} \approx [n-1] \) for all \( n \geq 6 \).

We remark that the proof of Theorem 2.6 given here yields an alternative and independent proof for the periodicity of \( T \) considered in [9] and [14].

**Definition.** Let \( n \geq p+1 \). The periodicity class \( \mathcal{P}(G) \) of a group \( G \) in the body \( T_n \) is defined as the infinite sequence of groups

\[
\mathcal{P}(G) = (G, \iota(G), \iota^2(G), \ldots).
\]

As in [14], we prove that the graph isomorphisms induced by Theorem 2.6 are reflected in the structure of the groups; that is, the embeddings can be chosen such that the groups in a periodicity class can be described in a uniform way.

**2.7 Theorem.** Let \( n \geq p + 1 \). If \( G \) is a group in the body \( T_n \), then the groups in the periodicity class \( \mathcal{P}(G) \) can be described by a single parameterized presentation with one integer parameter.

Theorems 2.6 and 2.7 strongly support Conjecture 1.1a) for maximal class groups. An example of explicit parameterized presentations and a proof of Theorem 2.7 are given in Theorem 8.6.
2.4 Periodicity of type 2

We have shown that the body $T_n$ with $n \geq p + 1$ can be embedded into $B_{n+d}$ such that $T_n \cong B_{n+d}^{\varepsilon_n}$ as rooted trees. In order to describe the branch $B_{n+d}$ completely, it is necessary to describe its growth, that is, the difference graph of $B_{n+d}$ and $\iota_n(T_n) = B_{n+d}^{\varepsilon_n}$. Since in general the widths of the branches are unbounded, this graph cannot be isomorphic to a subgraph of $B_n$. However, a conjecture of Eick, Leedham-Green, Newman & O'Brien [15] claims that it can be described by another periodic pattern, that is, a periodicity of type 2.

According to this conjecture, for large enough $n$, the descendant tree of a group at depth $\varepsilon_n$ in $B_{n+d}$ is isomorphic to a descendant tree of a group at depth $\varepsilon_{n-d}$ in $B_n$.

As a first approximation of this conjecture, we consider the difference graph of $T_{n+d}$ and $\iota(T_n)$ in this thesis; that is, we restrict attention to the bodies of $T$ and, thus, ignore at most $\varepsilon$ levels of groups per branch. A periodicity of type 2 for the bodies of $T$ is conjectured as follows, see Figure 2.2. Recall that $D_d(G)$ is the $d$-step descendant tree of a group $G$ in $T$.

2.8 Conjecture. There is an integer $n_0 = n_0(p)$ with the following property: If $n \geq n_0$ and $G$ is a group at depth $\varepsilon_n$ in $T_{n+d}$, then there exists a group $H$ at depth $\varepsilon_{n-d}$ in $T_{n+d}$ such that $D_d(G) \cong D_d(H)$ as rooted trees. The group $H$ is called a periodic parent of $G$.

The main problem is to specify a periodic parent of a given group, and it is expected that a proof of Conjecture 2.8 yields a natural mapping which chooses such periodic parents. Hence, the periodicities of type 1 and 2 would suffice to describe the bodies of $T$ completely by a finite subgraph and periodic patterns, which supports Conjecture 1.2, cf. [15].

![Figure 2.2: A periodic parent of G.](image-url)

It follows from Corollary 9.2 that the degrees of the vertices in the tree $T$ are bounded by a constant and, thus, there are only finitely many trees which can occur as a $d$-step descendant tree of a group in $T$. Therefore, from a graph theoretic point of view, it seems reasonable to propose Conjecture 2.8. Though, the group $G$ and a periodic parent $\Pi(G)$ should not only have isomorphic $d$-step descendant trees, which holds by definition, but also the periodic parent should be chosen carefully such that the groups in the $d$-step descendant tree of $G$ can be constructed somehow from the groups in the $d$-step descendant tree of $\Pi(G)$; such a construction would eventually allow the definition of additional periodicity classes which would support Conjecture 1.1a).
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In this thesis, we investigate the periodicity of type 2 with respect to Conjecture 1.2. The following theorem is proved in Theorem 9.10, cf. Figure 2.3. Recall that the \( p' \)-part of an integer \( u \) is the integer \( v \) with \( p \nmid v \) and \( u = vp^a \) for some integer \( a \geq 0 \).

2.9 Theorem. Let \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \) and let \( G \) be a group at depth \( \epsilon_n \) in the body \( T_{n+d} \). There exists a positive integer \( n_0 = n_0(p) \) such that for all \( n \geq n_0 \) the following holds. If there is a maximal path \( K_0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow \ldots \) in \( T_{n+d} \) such that \( G \) has distance \( k \leq d \) from a group on this path and if the \( p' \)-part of the order of \( \text{Aut}(K_s) \) is the same for all \( \epsilon_{n-d} - k \leq s \leq \epsilon_{n+d} \), then \( G \) has a periodic parent \( \Pi(G) \) of distance \( k \) from this path.

Figure 2.3: The group \( G \) and a periodic parent \( \Pi(G) \).

Our proof of Theorem 2.9 is constructive in the sense that we give an explicit isomorphism between the \( d \)-step descendant trees of \( G \) and \( \Pi(G) \) on a group theoretic level; that is, we describe how the groups in \( D_d(G) \) arise from those in \( D_d(\Pi(G)) \). Note that Conjecture 2.8 is proved for \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \) if the assumptions of Theorem 2.9 are always satisfied. So far, we are not able to contribute to a positive solution of this problem.

In Corollary 9.11, we provide a bound for the integer \( n_0 \) in terms of \( p \) and \( \mu \), an integer which depends on some \( p \)-adic valuations of certain \( p \)-adic integers. Computer experiments with these \( p \)-adic valuations suggest that \( n_0 \leq d(d/2 + 8) - 6 \) if \( p \geq 7 \) and \( n_0 \leq 32 \) if \( p = 5 \). These bounds seem not to be sharp, cf. Section 10.1.

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 2.9; it is proved in Corollary 9.12. Note that the \textbf{\( d \)-step parent} of a group \( G \) in \( G(p) \) of order \( p^n \) with \( n > p \) is \( G/\gamma_{n-d}(G) \).

2.10 Corollary. Let \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \) and \( n \geq n_0 \). Let \( G \) be a capable group at depth \( \epsilon_n \) in the body \( T_{n+d} \) and let \( H \) be the \( d \)-step parent of \( G \). If the automorphism group of \( H \) is a \( p \)-group, then \( H \) is a periodic parent of \( G \).

Corollary 2.10 seems to cover an important special case as the majority of the groups in \( G(p) \) seem to have a \( p \)-group as automorphism group. For example, it is conjectured by Newman [41] that 90% of all groups in \( G(5) \) have a 5-group as automorphism group, cf. [34]. This indicates that a significant part of the growth of \( T_{n+d} \) can be described by using \( d \)-step parents as periodic parents. However, computer experiments suggest that there exist infinitely many \( n \) such that there are capable groups at depth \( \epsilon_n \) in \( T_{n+d} \) whose \( d \)-step parent is \textbf{not} a periodic parent. A remark supporting this observation is given in Section 9.3.3.
Our proof of Theorem 2.9 is based on ideas of Leedham-Green & McKay [28] to construct skeleton groups up to isomorphism and thus uses $p$-adic number theory. As suggested by Leedham-Green & McKay, there are significant differences depending on the residue class $p$ modulo 6, and there occur additional problems if $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$. Hence, we are not able to adapt the previous results to primes $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$. However, computer experiments for $p = 7$ suggest that the situation in general is similar to $p = 5$; that is, for the majority of capable groups the $d$-step parent is a periodic parent, but there also seem to be infinitely many cases where this does not hold.

2.5 The graph $\mathcal{G}(5)$

Using the previous results and the investigation of so-called one-parameter groups by Leedham-Green & McKay [28], we prove the following theorems for the coclass tree $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{G}(5)$, see Section 10.1.

**Theorem.** If $G$ is a capable group at depth $e_n$ in $\mathcal{T}_{n+4}$ with $n \geq 14$, then the 4-step parent of $G$ is a periodic parent.

**Theorem.** The groups in the bodies of $\mathcal{T}$ can be described by finitely many parameterized presentations with at most two integer parameters.

These results strongly support Conjectures 1.1a) and 1.2, and they are close to a positive answer of Problem 3 of Shalev’s survey paper [51]. Moreover, the computational investigation of $\mathcal{G}(5)$ by Dietrich, Eick & Feichtenschlager [6] supports Conjecture 1.1b). However, the coclass tree $\mathcal{T}$ has bounded width and this distinguishes $\mathcal{T}$ from the coclass tree $\mathcal{T}(p)$ with $p > 5$. The structure of 5-groups of maximal class is much more restricted than the structure of $p$-groups of maximal class for $p > 5$.

2.6 Open problems

There are three problems to be solved in order to prove Conjecture 1.2 for the graph $\mathcal{G}(p)$.

1. Complete the proof of Conjecture 2.8 for $p \equiv 5 \mod 6$: Either, it is to show that the assumptions of Theorem 2.9 are always satisfied for large enough $n$, or one has to construct periodic parents for groups which are not covered by Theorem 2.9. As indicated in Theorem 2.9, the $p'$-parts of the orders of some automorphism groups play an important role.

2. Prove Conjecture 2.8 for $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$: If $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$, then there are additional difficulties in the number theory used to construct skeleton groups up to isomorphism. Hence, an alternative proof is needed.

3. Consider the groups in the difference graph of the branch $\mathcal{B}_n$ and its body $\mathcal{T}_n$: Throughout the thesis, we restrict attention to groups in the body $\mathcal{T}_n$ as they can be described in a practical way as certain group extensions. This is not possible for all groups in the difference graph of $\mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{T}_n$. 
However, the question whether Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 hold for coclass 1 is still open and, thus, it is not obvious that the above problems can be solved. We think it is difficult to assess the situation. On the one hand, for \( p \geq 7 \) it is hard to compute significant examples as the branches of the coclass tree \( T(p) \) are too complex for a complete computational investigation. For example, the branches \( B_7 \) and \( B_8 \) of the tree \( T(7) \) already contain 20295 and 317830 capable groups, respectively. Although naive random computer experiments suggest that the \( d \)-step parent is a periodic parent for the majority of groups, the observation that there seem to be infinitely many exceptions is a setback. In general, it remains to describe another construction of periodic parents, and Theorem 2.9 is already a step ahead in solving this challenge. On the other hand, there is strong computational evidence that the graph \( G(p) \) can be described by periodic patterns and, moreover, the new periodicities proved in this thesis indicate that there are a lot of recurrent patterns in the graph \( G(p) \).

### 2.6.1 Generalizations

With respect to Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2, it might be of interest to set the results of this thesis in the context of arbitrary coclass. In particular, a periodicity of type 2 is conjectured by Eick, Leedham-Green, Newman & O’Brien [15] for coclass trees of arbitrary coclass graphs and, therefore, one could ask for a generalization of Theorem 2.9.

There are two aspects of an answer to this question. On the one hand, the proofs in this thesis substantially make use of the specific properties of maximal class groups; that is, they are based explicitly on theory and methods connected to maximal class. This, of course, shows that one cannot expect a generalization by simply transferring the proofs. On the other hand, the cohomological approach used in this thesis is motivated by Eick & Leedham-Green [14] who successfully used cohomology to investigate (shaved) coclass trees for arbitrary coclass. Thus, cohomology seems to be a fruitful approach and we think that one could in principle extend the methods used in this thesis to arbitrary coclass. This, of course, would require much more effort – in particular, because the case of maximal class has not been solved completely yet.
3 Basics of maximal class groups

In this chapter we recall some basic properties of maximal class groups. We introduce the degree of commutativity and point out the connection between maximal class and uniserial actions. Most results of this chapter are well-known and can be found in [29].

3.1 Normal subgroup structure

First, we fix the notation of commutators as we use it throughout the thesis.

**Definition.** Let $G$ be a group and $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in G$ with $n \geq 3$.

a) We define $[z_1, z_2] = z_1^{-1} z_2^{-1} z_1 z_2$ and, by induction, $[z_1, \ldots, z_n] = [[z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}], z_n]$.

If $z_2 = \ldots = z_n = z$, then $[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ is abbreviated by $[z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, z]$.

b) If $X, Y \subseteq G$, then $[X, Y]$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by $\{[x, y] \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

Recall that a maximal class group $G$ of order $p^n$ has lower central series $G = \gamma_1(G) > \ldots > \gamma_n(G) = \{1\}$ where $\gamma_{j+1}(G) = [\gamma_j(G), G]$ for $j \geq 1$. All factors have order $p$, except the first one which has order $p^2$ and which is elementary abelian if $n \geq 3$. The following lemma shows that the terms of the lower central series of a maximal class group determine the normal subgroup structure, see [29, Proposition 3.1.2].

**3.1 Lemma.** If $G$ has maximal class and $N \trianglelefteq G$ has index $p^r$ with $r \geq 2$, then $N = \gamma_r(G)$. The group $G$ has $p + 1$ normal subgroups of index $p$.

**Proof.** The quotient $G/N$ has order $p^r$ and nilpotency class less than $r$, that is, $\gamma_r(G) \leq N$, and $N = \gamma_r(G)$ follows from $[G : \gamma_r(G)] = p^r$. The group $G$ is nilpotent and hence the normal subgroups of index $p$ are exactly the maximal subgroups of $G$. The factor $G/\gamma_2(G)$ is elementary abelian and thus $\gamma_2(G) = \Phi(G)$, the Frattini subgroup of $G$. Therefore, $G$ has $p + 1$ maximal subgroups.

The maximal class groups of order $p^n$ with $n = 2, 3$ can be determined readily. There are two (isomorphism types of) groups of order $p^2$ and both have maximal class. Also, there are two maximal class groups of order $p^3$; they can be described by the group presentations

\[
\langle a, b, c \mid a^p = 1, b^p = 1, c^p = 1, b^a = bc, c^a = c, c^b = c \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a, b, c \mid a^p = c, b^p = c, c^p = 1, b^a = bc, c^a = c, c^b = c \rangle.
\]

If $n$ is greater than 3, then the lower central series can be refined to a composition and chief series by adding an additional term.
Definition. The refined (lower) central series of a maximal class group $G$ of order $p^n$ with $n \geq 4$ is

$$G > P_1(G) > \ldots > P_n(G) = \{1\}$$

where $P_j(G) = \gamma_j(G)$ if $j \geq 2$, and $P_1(G) = C_G(P_2(G)/P_2(G))$ is the 2-step centralizer of $G$. If there is no risk of confusion, then we write $P_j$ for $P_j(G)$.

If we consider a maximal class group with refined central series $G > P_1 > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$, then we implicitly assume that $n \geq 4$.

3.2 Degree of commutativity

An important invariant of a maximal class group is its degree of commutativity. It measures how far down commutation goes inside the 2-step centralizer.

Definition. If $G$ has maximal class and refined central series $G > P_1 > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$, then the degree of commutativity $\text{doc}(G)$ of $G$ is the maximum integer $l$ such that $[P_i, P_j] \leq P_{i+j-1}$ for all $i, j \geq 1$ if $P_1$ is not abelian, and $\text{doc}(G) = n - 3$ if $P_1$ is abelian.

We now provide a lower bound for the degree of commutativity. For a proof, which relies on technical commutator calculations, we refer to [17] and [29, Theorem 3.3.5 & p. 69].

3.2 Theorem. Let $G$ be a maximal class group of order $p^n$ with $n \geq 4$.

a) If $n > p + 1$, then $\text{doc}(G)$ is positive.

b) If $p \geq 7$, then $\text{doc}(G)$ is at least $(n - 2p + 5)/2.$

c) If $p = 2, 3, 5$, then $\text{doc}(G)$ is at least $n - 2$, $n - 4$, and $(n - 6)/2$, respectively.

d) If $n \geq 5$, then $\text{doc}(G/P_n(G)) < \text{doc}(G)$ if and only if $P_1(G)$ is abelian.

The following lemma summarizes some results of Lemmas 3.2.4, 3.3.1, and 3.3.7 in [29]. For a group $G$ and a subset $M \subseteq G$ we denote by $\langle M \rangle$ the subgroup of $G$ generated by $M$. If $M = \{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ is finite, then we also write $\langle M \rangle = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle$.

3.3 Lemma. Let $G$ be of maximal class with refined central series $G > P_1 > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$. Let $s \in G \setminus P_1$ and $s_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$, and $s_j = [s_{j-1}, s] = [s_1, s_{j-1}]$ for $j \geq 2$. If $G$ has positive degree of commutativity, then the following holds.

a) The group $G$ is generated by $\{s, s_1\}$, and $P_j = \langle s_j \rangle P_{j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$.

b) If $t \in G \setminus P_1$, then $t^p \in P_{n-1}$.

c) If $t \in P_2$, then $st$ is a conjugate of $s$, and so $(st)^p = s^p$.

Proof. a) The first assertion follows from $P_2 = \Phi(G)$. For $j \geq 2$ it is a standard result that $P_j = \langle \{[x_1, \ldots, x_j] \mid x_i \in \{s, s_1\}\} \rangle P_{j+1}$, see [29, Lemma 1.1.23]. If $1 < i < n - 1$, then $[s_{i-1}, s, s_1] \in P_{i+2}$ as $G$ has positive degree of commutativity. This implies the assertion.

b) The quotient $G/P_2$ has exponent $p$ and thus $t^p$ lies in $P_2$. Assume, for a contradiction, that $t^p \in P_r \setminus P_{r+1}$ for some $r \leq n - 2$. Then $t^p P_{r+1}$ generates $P_r/P_{r+1}$ and, as $t$ acts trivially on $P_{r+1}/P_{r+2}$, it follows that $t \in K$ with $K = C_G(P_r/P_{r+2})$. The positive degree of commutativity implies that $P_1 \leq K$ and part a) shows that $K \neq G$. The group $P_1$ has index $p$ in $G$ and hence $P_1 = K$. Now $t \in P_1$ is a contradiction.
c) It follows from $G = \langle s \rangle P_1$ that every conjugate of $s$ in $G$ is a conjugate of $s$ by an element of $P_1$. The centralizer of $s$ in $P_1$ is the center $\zeta(G) = P_{n-1}$, and so $s$ has $p^{n-2}$ conjugates in $P_1$. Note that $[s, g] \in P_2$ for all $g \in G$ and so $s^g \in sP_2$. Now $|sP_2| = p^{n-2}$ shows that every element of $sP_2$ is a conjugate of $s$ in $G$ as required. Clearly, $(s^g)^p = (sp)^g = sp$ as $sp$ is central.

\[ \square \]

### 3.3 Maximal class and uniserial action

If $G$ is a group of maximal class with refined central series $G > P_1 > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$, then

$$P_{i+1} = [P_i, G] \quad \text{and} \quad [P_i : P_{i+1}] = p$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$; note that $P_2 = [G, G] = [P_1, G]$. We say that $G$ acts uniserially on $P_1$ and we now generalize this concept.

Let $G$ and $N$ be groups. The group $N$ together with a homomorphism $\varphi: G \to \text{Aut}(N)$ is a $G$-group where $g \in G$ acts on $n \in N$ via $n^g = \varphi(g)(n)$. An abelian $G$-group $N$ is called a $G$-module. For $g \in G$ and $n \in N$ a commutator is defined as

$$[n, g] = n^{-1}n^g \in N.$$  

Analogously, $[H, G] = \langle \{[h, g] \mid h \in H, g \in G\} \rangle$ for any $G$-invariant subgroup $H \leq N$.

**Definition.** Let $N$ and $G$ be finite $p$-groups with $G$ acting on $N$.

a) For a $G$-invariant subgroup $H \leq N$ let $H_1 = H$ and, inductively, $H_i = [H_{i-1}, G]$.

b) The group $G$ acts uniserially on $N$ if $[H_1 : H_2] = p$ for every nontrivial $G$-invariant subgroup $H \leq N$.

If $G$ acts uniserially on $N$ and $H \leq N$ is a $G$-invariant subgroup, then there exists an integer $m$ with $H_m = \{1\}$ such that $H = H_1 > \ldots > H_m = \{1\}$ is a subnormal series of $G$-invariant subgroups. The following lemma shows that all $G$-invariant subgroups of $N$ can be linearly ordered. The proof is from [29, Lemma 4.1.3 & Corollary 4.1.4].

**Lemma.** Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group and let $N$ be a $G$-group of order $p^n$. Then $G$ acts uniserially on $N$ if and only if $N_n \neq \{1\}$; and so $N = N_1 > \ldots > N_{n+1} = \{1\}$, and $[N_i : N_{i+1}] = p$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $G$ acts uniserially on $N$, then the subgroups $N_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ are all the $G$-invariant subgroups of $N$ and they are normal in $N$.

**Proof.** First, we prove that if $G$ acts on $N$ with series $N = N_1 > N_2 > \ldots > N_{n+1} = \{1\}$, then the subgroups $N_1, \ldots, N_{n+1}$ are all the $G$-invariant subgroups of $N$. For $n = 1$ the result is trivial, and so assume that $n \geq 2$ and that the result is true for $n - 1$. Let $M < N$ be a $G$-invariant subgroup of $N$; that is, $M \cap N_2 = N_i$ for some $i \geq 2$ by the inductive hypothesis applied to $N_2$. If $M \leq N_2$, then $M = N_i$. If $M$ is not contained in $N_2$, then $MN_2 = N$ and $[M, G] \leq M \cap N_2 = N_i$ with $i > 2$; that is, $N_2 = [MN_2, G] = [M, G][N_2, G] = N_3$, giving a contradiction.

Now, if $N_n \neq \{1\}$, then $N = N_1 > \ldots > N_{n+1} = \{1\}$, and these are all $G$-invariant subgroups of $N$. This shows that $G$ acts uniserially. Conversely, if $G$ acts uniserially, then $[N_i : N_{i+1}] = p$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and so $N_n \neq \{1\}$. We use induction on $n$ to show that $N_1, \ldots, N_{n+1}$ are normal in $N$. The center $\zeta(N)$ of $N$ is $G$-invariant and, thus, $N_n \leq \zeta(N)$; that is, $N_n$ is normal. Now the inductive hypothesis can be applied to $N/N_n$. \[ \square \]
If $N$ is a $G$-group, then the split extension $G \times N$ is the group with underlying set $G \times N$ and multiplication $(u, n)(v, m) = (uv, n^v m)$; if there is no risk of confusion, then we freely identify $G \times \{1\} = G$ and $\{1\} \times N = N$ and write $un$ for the element $(u, n)$.

3.4 Lemma. Let $G = P \times N$ be a finite $p$-group where $P \cong C_p$. Then $G$ has maximal class if and only if $G$ acts uniserially on $N$.

Proof. If $N_1 = N$, then $N_2 = [N_1, G] = [G, G] = \gamma_2(G)$. Now induction proves that $N_i = \gamma_i(G)$ for all $i \geq 2$.

We end this section with two preliminary lemmas, which are used later in the construction of maximal class groups. The second lemma is a modification of [29, Lemma 4.1.16].

A group $M$ is a central extension of an abelian group $A$ by a group $B$ if there is a normal subgroup $N \leq \zeta(M)$ with $M/N \cong B$ and $N \cong A$; we usually identify $A$ with $N$.

3.5 Lemma. Let $M$ be a finite central extension of a $p$-group $A$ by an abelian $p$-group $B$. We consider $P \cong C_p$ acting on $M$ such that $A$ is $P$-invariant, and we define $E = P \times M$.

a) If $A \leq N \leq M$ and $P$ acts uniserially on $N$, then $E$ acts uniserially on $N$.

b) We assume that $P$ acts uniserially on $A$ and $M/A$ with $|M/A| \geq p^3$. Let $N/A$ be the minimal $P$-invariant subgroup of $M/A$. If $E$ has maximal class and positive degree of commutativity, then $P$ acts uniserially on $N$.

Proof. Note that $[M, M] \leq A$ and $[m, qn] = [m, n][m, q]^n$ for all $m, n \in M$ and $q \in P$.

a) Let $N = N_1 > \ldots > N_m = \{1\}$ be the $P$-uniserial series of $N$ with $A = N_k$ for some $k$; that is, $N_{i+1} = [N_i, P] = [N_i, E]$ for all $i \geq k$. If $i < k$, then $A \leq [N_i, P] \leq [N_i, E]$ and $N_i/1 = [N_i, E]$ follows from $N_{i+1}/A = [N_i, P]/A = [N_i, E]/A$.

b) Let $E > P_1 > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$ be the refined central series of $E$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $A = P_{k+1}$ for some $k \geq 3$, and $P_1 = M$ since $P_2 = M$ and $A \leq P_4$. By Lemma 3.4, the group $E$ acts uniserially on $P_1$ and, hence, $N = P_k$. The $P$-uniserial series of $A$ is $A = P_{k+1} > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$, and it remains to show that $A = [N, P]$. This follows from $A = [P_k, E] = [P_k, M][P_k, P]$ and $[P_k, M] \leq [P_k, P]$ since $M = P_1$ and $E$ has positive degree of commutativity.

3.6 Lemma. Let $E$ and $N$ be finite $p$-groups with $E$ acting on $N$. Let $N \geq C > A \geq D$ be $E$-invariant normal subgroups of $N$ such that $E$ acts uniserially on $N/A$ and $C/D$. If every $E$-invariant subgroup $A \geq U \geq D$ is normal in $N$, then $E$ acts uniserially on $N/D$.

Proof. We use induction on $[A : D]$ and consider $A > D$. If $[A : D] > p$, then there is an $E$-invariant $A > U > D$ and the inductive hypothesis applied to $N \geq C > A > U$ shows that $E$ acts uniserially on $N/U$. Now we can apply the inductive hypothesis to $N \geq C > U \geq D$ and obtain that $E$ acts uniserially on $N/D$, as required. It remains to consider $[A : D] = p$. Assume, for a contradiction, that $U/D$ is a minimal $E$-invariant subgroup of $N/D$ not equal to $A/D$; that is, $U \cap A = D$. Then $N \geq U A \geq A$ and $U A/A \cong U/D$ as $E$-groups. Hence, $U A/A$ is a minimal $E$-invariant subgroup of $N/A$ and $C \geq U A$; that is, $C \geq U$ and $C/D \geq U/D$. This shows that $U = A$ as $A/D$ is the unique minimal $E$-invariant subgroup of $C/D$, a contradiction. Thus $A/D$ is the unique minimal $E$-invariant subgroup of $N/D$. As $E$ acts uniserially on $N/A$ and $C/D$, this proves the lemma.
4 Polycyclic groups and cohomology

Every maximal class group has a subnormal series with cyclic factors. A group with this property is called polycyclic and can be described efficiently by a group presentation on a set of generators chosen through this series. In the first part of this chapter, we recall some relevant properties of polycyclic groups and presentations; we refer to [10, 22, 54] for proofs and further information. The second half is devoted to group cohomology and we recall the connection between group extensions and second cohomology groups, see [23, 47]. In particular, we describe how to compute second cohomology groups of polycyclic groups. These results are motivated by and based on [4, 16, 22].

4.1 Polycyclic groups

A group $G$ is **polycyclic** if it admits a **polycyclic series**: that is, a subnormal series

$$G = G_1 ≥ \ldots ≥ G_{n+1} = \{1\}$$

such that every factor $G_i/G_{i+1}$ is cyclic. It is easy to see that $G$ is polycyclic if and only if $G$ has an ordered generating set $(h_1, \ldots, h_m)$ such that the groups

$$H_i = \langle h_i, \ldots, h_m \rangle, \quad 1 ≤ i ≤ m,$$

with $H_{m+1} = \{1\}$ form a polycyclic series of $G$. In this case, $(h_1, \ldots, h_m)$ is called a **polycyclic sequence** of $G$. The **relative orders** of its elements are $(r_1, \ldots, r_m)$ where $r_i = \text{relord}(h_i)$ is the order of the factor $H_i/H_{i+1}$. The following lemma is well-known and can be proved by induction, see [22, Lemma 8.3].

**Lemma.** If $(h_1, \ldots, h_m)$ is a polycyclic sequence of $G$ with relative orders $(r_1, \ldots, r_m)$, then every element $g ∈ G$ can be written uniquely as $g = h_1^{e_1} \ldots h_m^{e_m}$ with $e_i ∈ \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 ≤ i ≤ m$ and $0 ≤ e_i < r_i$ if $r_i ≠ \infty$.

If $g = h_1^{e_1} \ldots h_m^{e_m}$ as in the lemma, then we refer to $h_1^{e_1} \ldots h_m^{e_m}$ as the **normal form** of $g$ with respect to the polycyclic sequence $(h_1, \ldots, h_m)$.

4.1.1 Polycyclic presentations

We now describe polycyclic groups by certain group presentations. First, we recall the definition of a free group as we use it throughout the thesis.

**Remark.** For a set $\mathcal{G}$, we define $\mathcal{G}^\pm = \{(g, 1), (g, -1) \mid g ∈ \mathcal{G}\}$. We write $g$ and $g^{-1}$ for $(g, 1)$ and $(g, -1)$, respectively, and we define $(g^{-1})^{-1}$ to be equal to $g$. We assume that the symbol $\varepsilon$ is not an element of $\mathcal{G}^\pm$. A **word in $\mathcal{G}$** is either the empty word $\varepsilon$ or it is a **string** $x_1 \ldots x_n$ where $n ∈ \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_n ∈ \mathcal{G}^\pm$. Two words $u$ and $v$ in $\mathcal{G}$ are **equivalent** if one can be obtained from the other by a finite number of insertions or deletions of substrings of
the type $xx^{-1}$ with $x \in \mathcal{G}^\pm$. This gives rise to an equivalence relation on the set of all words in $\mathcal{G}$, and we denote by $[u]$ the equivalence class of such a word $u$. The **free group** $F_\mathcal{G}$ with **free generating set** $\mathcal{G}$ or the **free group** on $\mathcal{G}$ is the set of these equivalence classes with multiplication $[u][v] = [uv]$, where $uv$ is the concatenation of the strings $u$ and $v$. Usually, we abuse notation and rather work with representatives of equivalence classes; that is, we consider the words in $\mathcal{G}$ up to equivalence and write $u = v$ whenever $[u] = [v]$. If $x \in \mathcal{G}^\pm$ and $e \geq 0$ is an integer, then we define $x^e$ and $x^{-e}$ to be equal to the concatenation of $e$ copies of $x$ and $x^{-1}$, respectively.

In general, a **group presentation** $\langle \mathcal{G} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ consists of a set $\mathcal{G}$ and a subset $\mathcal{R}$ of the free group $F_\mathcal{G}$ with free generating set $\mathcal{G}$. The **group defined by the presentation** $\langle \mathcal{G} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is the quotient $G = F_\mathcal{G}/K$ where $K$ is the normal closure of $\mathcal{R}$ in $F_\mathcal{G}$. We abuse notation and also write $G = \langle \mathcal{G} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$.

The set $\mathcal{G}$ corresponds to a generating set of $G$ and the elements of $\mathcal{R}$ are the **defining relators** of $G$. If $\mathcal{G}$ or $\mathcal{R}$ is finite, then we also write $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_n | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{G} | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$, respectively. Often, a relator $r \in \mathcal{R}$ is written as a **relation** $u = v$ where $r = u^{-1}v$. Two words $u$ and $w$ in $\mathcal{G}$ are **equivalent in $G$** if they represent the same element in $G$; that is, if $uK = wK$. Then we write $u =_G w$ or say that $u = w$ in $G$.

**Example.** The group $G$ defined by the presentation $(x, y | x^2 = y, y^2, [x, y])$ has the underlying set $\{1K, xK, yK, xyK\}$ where $K$ is the normal subgroup of the free group on $\{x, y\}$ generated by the defining relators $\{x^{-2}y, y^2, x^{-1}y^{-1}xy\}$. Every word $u$ in $\{x, y\}$ represents an element of $G$ via the projection $u \mapsto uK$; for example, $y^2x$ represents $y^2xK = xyK$ and $y^2x = xy$ in $G$.

We now consider polycyclic groups and recall the definition of a polycyclic presentation. For this purpose, let $\mathcal{G} = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ with $n \geq 1$ be an ordered set of abstract generators and let $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ be a list with $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ or $r_i = \infty$. The set of indices $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $r_i \neq \infty$ is denoted by $I$. A word in the generators $\mathcal{G}$ is called **normalized** if it has the form $g_1^{e_1} \cdots g_n^{e_n}$ with each $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i < r_i$ whenever $i \in I$. Then, a **polycyclic presentation** with **exponents** $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ is a presentation of the form

$$\langle \mathcal{G} | g_i^{r_i} = w_{i,i} \ (i \in I), \ g_k^{g_j} = w_{j,k}^+ \ (j < k), \ g_m^{g_l^{-1}} = w_{l,m}^- \ (l < m \text{ and } l \notin I) \rangle$$

where $w_{u, u}$, $w_{u, v}^-$, and $w_{u, v}^+$ are normalized words in $(g_{n+1}, \ldots, g_n)$. The relations of this presentation are called **power relations** and **conjugate relations**, respectively. Obviously, the group $G$ defined by this presentation is polycyclic. The presentation is called **consistent** if every element in $G$ can be represented by a unique normalized word in $\mathcal{G}$. This is the case if and only if the relative orders of the polycyclic sequence of $G$ corresponding to $(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ coincide with the exponents $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ of the presentation.

Conversely, it is straightforward to describe a given polycyclic group by a consistent polycyclic presentation with generating set corresponding to a polycyclic sequence.

A polycyclic presentation is also called a **power-conjugate presentation**, and we abbreviate the term “polycyclic presentation” by “p.c.p.”

**4.1 Remark.** Let $G = F/K$ be the group defined by the p.c.p. $\langle \mathcal{G} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$, where $F$ is the free group on $\mathcal{G}$ and $K$ is the normal closure of $\mathcal{R}$ in $F$. Let $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ be the projection,
and choose a mapping (transversal) $\tau : G \to F$ with $\pi \circ \tau = \text{id}_G$, whose image consists of normalized words in $G$ and contains $1 \in F$. Note that there is exactly one such mapping if and only if the presentation is consistent. The set $\hat{G} = \{ \tau(g) \mid g \in G \}$ with multiplication $\tau(g) \cdot \tau(h) = \tau(gh)$ is a group which is isomorphic to $G$ via $\hat{G} \to G$, $\tau(h) \mapsto h$. The mapping $\varphi : F \to \hat{G}$, $u \mapsto \tau(\pi(u))$, is a homomorphism and, hence, every word $u$ in $G$ can be considered as an element of $\hat{G}$ via $u \mapsto \varphi(u)$.

We usually identify $G$ with $\hat{G}$; that is, we assume that every element of $G$ is represented by a fixed normalized word in $\hat{G}$. Note that $\hat{G}$ consists of all normalized words if and only if the presentation is consistent. Moreover, we abuse notation and consider every word $u$ in $G$ as an element of $\hat{G}$ via $u \mapsto \varphi(u)$; note that $u =_{\hat{G}} \varphi(u)$ by construction.

We exemplify how we make use of this notation.

**Example.** Let $\hat{A}$ be the group defined by the consistent p.c.p. $\langle x, y \mid x^2 = y, y^2 = 1, y^r = y \rangle$. Following the conventions made in Remark 4.1, we identify $\hat{A}$ with the group of normalized words

$$\hat{A} = \{1, x, y, xy\}.$$ 

Let $\varphi$ be the homomorphism which maps a word $u$ in $\{x, y\}$ onto the unique element in $\hat{A}$ equivalent to $u$ in $A$. Then the multiplication in $\hat{A}$ is the composition of $\varphi$ and the usual concatenation of strings. For example, $x \cdot xy = \varphi(xxy) = \varphi(y^2) = 1$ and $y \cdot x = \varphi(yx) = xy$.

We regard every word $u$ in $\{x, y\}$ as an element of $\hat{A}$ via $u \mapsto \varphi(u)$. For example, we consider $xxyx^2 \in A$ and $xxy \in A$ since $xxyx^2 = x$ in $A$ and $xxy =_{\hat{A}} 1$.

Let $B$ be the group defined by the consistent p.c.p. $\langle x, y \mid x^2 = 1, y^2 = 1, y^r = y \rangle$. By Remark 4.1, we identify $A = \{1, x, y, xy\} = B$

as sets, and every word in $\{x, y\}$ can be considered as an element of $A$ and $B$, respectively. For example, $x^{-1}x^2 \in A$ as $xy^{-1}x^2 = x$ in $A$, and $xy^{-1}x^2 \in B$ as $xy^{-1}x^2 = xy$ in $B$, and we have to take care to minimize the risk of confusion.

### 4.1.2 Consistency

It is not obvious whether a given polycyclic presentation is consistent. In this paragraph, we recall a criterion for consistency as proved in [54].

Let $G$ be the group defined by the p.c.p. $\langle G \mid R \rangle$ with generators $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle$ and exponents $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$, and let $I = \{ i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, r_i \neq \infty \}$. Every word $w$ in $G$ is equivalent in $G$ to a normalized word $w'$ and, in particular, the presentation is consistent if and only if the normalized word $w'$ is unique for every $w$. A process of the determination of a normalized word which is equivalent to $w$ in $G$ is called a collection of $w$, and a collection algorithm is described in detail in [22, Section 8.1.3]. The general idea is to use the power and conjugate relations in $R$ as rewriting rules to successively eliminate minimal non-normalized subwords of $w$, that is, subwords of the type $g_ig_j^{-1}$ with $j < i$ or $g_i^a$ with $i \in I$ and $a \notin \{0, \ldots, r_i - 1\}$. From now on, “collection” always refers to an application of this algorithm; we refer to [22] for more details and proofs.

The following theorem is proved in [54, p. 424], cf. [4, Lemma 2.1] and [16, Section 2.3]; we use the above notation.
4.2 Theorem. The p.c.p. \( \langle G \mid R \rangle \) is consistent if and only if for each of the following pairs of test words the collections of both words coincide, where the non-normalized subwords in brackets are collected first:

\[
\begin{align*}
g_k(g_jg_i) & \quad \text{and} \quad (g_kg_j)g_i \quad \text{for } k > j > i, \\
(g_j^r)g_i & \quad \text{and} \quad g_j^{r^{-1}}(g_jg_i) \quad \text{for } j > i, \ j \in I, \\
g_j(g_i^r) & \quad \text{and} \quad (g_jg_i)g_i^{r^{-1}} \quad \text{for } j > i, \ i \in I, \\
(g_i^r)g_i & \quad \text{and} \quad g_i(g_i^i) \quad \text{for } i \in I, \\
g_j & \quad \text{and} \quad (g_jg_i^{-1})g_i \quad \text{for } j > i, \ i \notin I.
\end{align*}
\]

The application of Theorem 4.2 to a polycyclic presentation in order to test consistency is referred to as doing consistency checks.

Example. Let \( G \) be the group defined by the p.c.p.

\[
\langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \mid g_1^4 = g_3, \ g_2^4 = g_3, \ g_3^4 = 1, \ g_2g_1 = g_2, \ g_3g_1 = g_2^2, \ g_3g_2 = g_3 \rangle.
\]

The exponents of this presentation are \( (4, 4, 4) \) and the normalized words in the generators are \( \{ g_1^e, g_2^e, g_3^e \mid 0 \leq e_1, e_2, e_3 \leq 3 \} \). Consistency checks show that the presentation is not consistent. For example, the collections of \( (g_3g_1)g_3^1 \) and \( g_3(g_1^4) \) yield

\[
(g_3g_1)g_3^1 = g_1g_3g_1 = g_1g_3g_1g_1 = g_1g_4g_1 = g_4 = g_3 \quad \text{and} \quad g_3(g_1^4) = g_3^2
\]

in \( G \). In particular, this shows that \( g_3 = g_2g_2 \) and, thus, \( g_3 = 1 \) in \( G \). One can deduce that \( G \) is isomorphic to the homocyclic group \( C_4 \times C_4 \) of rank 2.

4.2 Cohomology of polycyclic groups

We recall the connection between group extensions and second cohomology groups. Most of these results are standard and can be found in [22, 23, 47]. Afterwards, we use cohomology groups to describe the automorphism groups of certain group extensions. At the end of this section, we describe how to compute second cohomology groups for polycyclic groups. All these results are important tools in further investigations.

4.2.1 Group extensions and cohomology

Let \( N \) be a \( G \)-module. The group \( E \) is an extension of \( N \) by \( G \) if there exists a normal subgroup \( M \leq E \) such that \( E/M \cong G \) and \( M \cong N \). Using these isomorphisms, we usually identify \( N \) and \( G \) with \( M \) and \( E/M \), respectively. If \( \pi: E \to G \) is the projection, then (the image of) a mapping \( \tau: G \to E \) with \( \tau(1) = 1 \) and \( \pi \circ \tau = \text{id}_G \) is a transversal to \( N \) in \( E \). Via such a transversal, the extension \( E \) defines a mapping

\[
\gamma: G \times G \to N, \quad (g, h) \mapsto \tau(gh)^{-1}\tau(g)\tau(h),
\]

which for all \( h, k, l \in G \) satisfies

\[
\gamma(1, h) = \gamma(h, 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(h, k)\gamma(l, h) = \gamma(lh, k)\gamma(l, h)^k.
\]
Conversely, if \( \delta \) is an element of
\[
Z^2(G, N) = \{ \gamma : G \times G \rightarrow N \mid \forall h, k, l \in G : \gamma(h, k)\gamma(l, hk) = \gamma(lh, k)\gamma(l, h)^k, \\
\gamma(1, h) = \gamma(h, 1) = 1 \},
\]
then the group \( E(\delta) \) with underlying set \( G \times N \) and multiplication
\[
(g, n)(h, m) = (gh, n^h m \delta(g, h))
\]
is an extension of \( N \) by \( G \). If there is no risk of confusion, then we identify \( G = G \times \{1\} \) and \( N = \{1\} \times N \) and write \( gn \) for \((g, n)\). By construction, if \( E \) is an extension of \( N \) by \( G \) defining a mapping \( \gamma : G \times G \rightarrow N \) as above, then \( E \cong E(\gamma) \).

The set \( Z^2(G, N) \) carries the structure of an abelian group and is called the group of \( 2 \)-cocycles of \( G \) with coefficients in \( N \). We write it additively even if \( N \) is written multiplicatively, that is, \((\gamma + \delta)(g, h) = \gamma(g, h)\delta(g, h)\) for all \( \gamma, \delta \in Z^2(G, N) \) and \( g, h \in G \).

An extension \( E \) of \( G \) by \( G \) is a \textit{split extension} if there exists a translational \( \tau : G \to E \) which is a group homomorphism. In this case, \( E \) is isomorphic to the group \( G \ltimes N \) with underlying set \( G \times N \) and multiplication \((g, n)(h, m) = (gh, n^h m)\). If \( E \) is a split extension, then a corresponding \( 2 \)-cocycle \( \gamma \) lies in
\[
B^2(G, N) = \{ \gamma \in Z^2(G, N) \mid \exists \delta : G \to N : \gamma(k, h) = \delta(kh)(\delta(k)^h)^{-1}\delta(h)^{-1} \},
\]
which is the group of \( 2 \)-coboundaries of \( G \) with coefficients in \( N \). In particular, the extension \( E(\gamma) \) is a split extension if and only if \( \gamma \in B^2(G, N) \).

Two extensions \( E(\gamma) \) and \( E(\delta) \) of \( G \) by \( N \) are \textit{equivalent} if there exists an isomorphism \( \varphi \) from \( E(\gamma) \) to \( E(\delta) \) with \( \varphi|_N = \text{id}_N \) and \( \varphi|_G = \text{id}_G \), where the restriction to \( G \) is considered as the restriction to the quotient group modulo \( N \). It is well-known that \( E(\gamma) \) and \( E(\delta) \) are equivalent if and only if \( \gamma - \delta \) lies in \( B^2(G, N) \). Thus, the \textit{second cohomology group}
\[
H^2(G, N) = Z^2(G, N)/B^2(G, N)
\]
of \( G \) with coefficients in \( N \) describes all extensions of \( N \) by \( G \) up to equivalence. The elements of \( H^2(G, N) \) are \textit{cohomology classes} and for a cohomology class \( \delta + B^2(G, N) \) we define the extension \( E(\delta + B^2(G, N)) \) as \( E(\delta) \). Note that this definition depends on the chosen coset representative \( \delta \), but for all choices we obtain equivalent extensions.

Two extensions \( E(\gamma) \) and \( E(\delta) \) of \( G \) by \( N \) are \textit{strongly isomorphic} if there exists an isomorphism from \( E(\gamma) \) to \( E(\delta) \) which maps \( N \leq E(\gamma) \) onto \( N \leq E(\delta) \). We now describe a construction of group extensions up to strong isomorphism.

If \( \overline{\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(N) \) denotes the action of \( g \in G \) on \( N \), then the \textit{group of compatible pairs} of \( G \) and \( N \) is defined as
\[
\text{Comp}(G, N) = \{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Aut}(N) \mid \forall g \in G : \beta \circ \overline{\varphi} \circ \beta^{-1} = \overline{\alpha(g)} \}.
\]
Thus, if \((\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Comp}(G, N)\), then \( \beta(n)^{\alpha(g)} = \beta(n^g) \) for all \( g \in G \) and \( n \in N \). It is straightforward, but technical, to prove that a compatible pair \((\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Comp}(G, N)\) acts on \( Z^2(G, N) \) via
\[
\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \beta^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ (\alpha, \alpha),
\]
and \( B^2(G, N) \) is invariant under this action. Therefore, the group of compatible pairs acts on \( H^2(G, N) \), cf. [1, Section 4.2.1] and [22, p. 55]. The following theorem shows that the orbits under this action correspond to extensions up to strong isomorphism.
4.3 Theorem. Let $N$ be a $G$-module and let $\gamma, \delta \in Z^2(G, N)$ be 2-cocycles. The extensions $E(\gamma)$ and $E(\delta)$ are strongly isomorphic if and only if $\delta - \gamma$ lies in $B^2(G, N)$ for some compatible pair $c \in \text{Comp}(G, N)$.

Proof. We sketch the proof as given in [1, Theorem 4.7]. First, if $\varphi : E(\gamma) \to E(\delta)$ is a strong isomorphism, then $(\alpha, \beta) = (\varphi|_G, \varphi|_N)$ is a compatible pair of $G$ and $N$. Let $\varepsilon : G \to N$ be defined by $\varphi((\alpha^{-1}(g), 1)) = (g, \varepsilon(g))$. If $u = (\alpha^{-1}(g), 1)$ and $v = (\alpha^{-1}(h), 1)$ with $g, h \in G$, then it follows from $\varphi(uv) = \varphi(u)\varphi(v)$ that

$$\delta(g, h) = \gamma(\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1})(g, h)\varepsilon(gh)(\varepsilon(g)^h)\varepsilon(h)^{-1},$$

which shows that $\delta(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma \in B^2(G, N)$. Conversely, if $(\alpha, \beta)$ is a compatible pair with $\delta(\alpha, \beta) = \gamma + \psi(\alpha, \beta)$ for some 2-coboundary $\psi$ defined by $\varepsilon : G \to N$, then

$$E(\gamma) \to E(\delta), (g, n) \mapsto (\alpha(g), \varepsilon(\alpha(g))\beta(n)),$$

is a strong isomorphism.

The following example shows that in general a reduction of group extensions up to strong isomorphism is not a reduction up to isomorphism.

Example. Let $G$ be the group of order 32 defined by the consistent p.c.p.

$$\langle a_1, \ldots, a_5 \mid a_1^2 = 1, a_2^2 = a_4, a_3^2 = 1, a_4^2 = 1, a_5^2 = 1, a_2a_1 = a_2a_4,$$

$$a_3a_1 = a_3a_5, a_4a_1 = a_4, a_5a_1 = a_5, a_i^{a_j} = a_i^{2} (2 \leq j < i) \rangle.$$

The center of $G$ is generated by $\{a_4, a_5\}$ and we denote by $N$ and $M$ the cyclic subgroups of $G$ generated by $a_4$ and $a_5$, respectively. One can prove that $G/N$ and $G/M$ are isomorphic, and $N$ and $M$ are characteristic in $G$. This shows that $G$ can be considered as an extension by $M$ and by $N$, respectively, and these two extensions are isomorphic but not strongly isomorphic.

4.2.2 Automorphism groups of extensions

For a group $G$ and a $G$-module $N$ the corresponding groups of 1-cocycles, 1-coboundaries, and the first cohomology group are defined as follows.

$$Z^1(G, N) = \{ \gamma : G \to N \mid \forall g, h \in G : \gamma(gh) = \gamma(g)^h\gamma(h) \},$$

$$B^1(G, N) = \{ \gamma \in Z^1(G, N) \mid \exists n \in N \forall g \in G : \gamma(g) = n^\gamma n^{-1} \},$$

$$H^1(G, N) = Z^1(G, N)/B^1(G, N).$$

Analogously to the group of 2-cocycles, the group of compatible pairs $\text{Comp}(G, N)$ acts on $Z^1(G, N)$ and $H^1(G, N)$, respectively.

We now use cohomology to describe the automorphism groups of certain extensions of $N$ by $G$. For this purpose, let $E$ be an extension of $N$ by $G$. We assume that $N$ is characteristic in $E$ and we may assume that $E = E(\gamma)$ for some 2-cocycle $\gamma \in Z^2(G, N)$. Again, let $\overline{g} \in \text{Aut}(N)$ be the action of $g \in G$ on $N$. In the following lemma, we consider the homomorphism

$$\phi : \text{Aut}(E) \to \text{Aut}(G) \times \text{Aut}(N), \alpha \mapsto (\alpha|_G, \alpha|_N),$$

and determine its kernel and image, see [22, Section 8.9].
4.4 Lemma. \( \ker \phi \cong Z^1(G, N) \) and \( \operatorname{im} \phi = \operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{Comp}(G,N)}(\gamma + B^2(G, N)) \).

Proof. If \( \alpha \in \ker \phi \), then \( \alpha : E \to E \), \((g, n) \mapsto (g, n\psi_\alpha(g))\), for some \( \psi_\alpha \in Z^1(G, N) \). Conversely, if \( \psi \in Z^1(G, N) \) is given, then \((g, n) \mapsto (g, n\psi(g))\) is an automorphism of \( E \) lying in \( \ker \phi \). The mapping \( \ker \phi \to Z^1(G, N), \alpha \mapsto \psi_\alpha \), is a group isomorphism.

It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.3 that \( \operatorname{im} \phi = \operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{Comp}(G,N)}(\gamma + B^2(G, N)) \). \( \square \)

4.2.3 Second cohomology of polycyclic groups

Throughout this section, let \( G \) be a polycyclic group and let \( A \) be a \( G \)-module. We assume that \( G \) and \( A \) are the groups defined by the consistent p.c.p.s \( \langle G \mid R \rangle \) and \( \langle A \mid B \rangle \), respectively, with disjoint generating sets \( G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle \) and \( A = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m \rangle \). By definition, \( G \) and \( A \) consist of normalized words in \( G \) and \( A \), respectively. We now describe a method to compute the second cohomology group \( H^2(G, A) \) by applying consistency checks to a polycyclic presentation containing certain indeterminates. The results of this section are partly from and motivated by [4, Section 2.3] and [22, Section 8.7.2].

As a first step, we determine a consistent p.c.p. of the extension \( E(\gamma) \) defined by a 2-cocycle \( \gamma : G \times G \to A \). Recall that \( E(\gamma) \) has the underlying set \( G \times A \) and multiplication \((f, a)(g, b) = (fg, a^g\beta(f, g))\), and we identify \((f, a)\) with \( fa \) for \( f \in G \) and \( a \in A \). Let \( \tau : G \to E(\gamma) \) be the transversal which maps a normalized word \( g \in G \) onto \( \tau(g) = g \). Via this transversal, every relation \( r \) in \( R \) can be evaluated in \( E(\gamma) \). If \( r \) is a power relation saying \( g_1^m = w_{i,i} \), then there is a tail \( x_r \in A \) such that \( g_1^m = w_{i,i}x_r \) in \( E(\gamma) \); we denote this relation by \( r.x_r \). Analogously, we define \( r.x_r \) for a conjugate relation \( r \) in \( R \). All these tails are collected in a list \( x_\gamma = (x_r)_{r \in R} \) which is called the tail vector defined by \( \gamma \). Finally, let \( C \) be the set of conjugate relations describing the \( G \)-action on \( A \); that is, the elements of \( C \) are of the type \( a^g = w_{a,g} \) with \( a \in A \), \( g \in G \), and \( w_{a,g} \in A \). We now show how \( x_\gamma \) can be used to define a consistent p.c.p. of \( E(\gamma) \) on the generating set \( G \cup A \).

Definition. Let \( x = (x_r)_{r \in R} \) be a list of elements in \( A \). Then \( E(x) \) is the polycyclic presentation with generating set \( G \cup A \) and defining relations \( \{ r.x_r \mid r \in R \} \cup B \cup C \). The group defined by \( E(x) \) is denoted by \( E(x) \).

4.5 Example. We consider the groups
\[
G = \langle g_1, g_2 \mid g_1^2 = 1, g_2^2 = 1, g_2g_1g_2^{-1} = g_2 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad A = \langle a_1 \mid a_1^4 = 1 \rangle.
\]
Let \( C = \{ a_1^{g_1}, a_1^{g_2}, a_1^{g_1g_2} \} \) and, corresponding to the relations \((g_1^2 = 1, g_2^2 = 1, g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1} = g_2)\), we consider a list \( x = (a_1^{g_1}, a_1^{g_2}, a_1^{g_1g_2}) \) of words in \( \{a_1\} \). Then the p.c.p \( E(x) \) is defined as
\[
E(x) = \langle g_1, g_2, a_1 \mid g_1^2 = a_2^2 = a_3^2 = a_4^2 = 1, g_2g_1g_2^{-1} = g_2a_1^{-1}g_2g_1^{-1}a_1, a_1^{g_1} = a_1^{g_2}, a_1^{g_1g_2} = a_1 \rangle,
\]
and one can show that \( E(x) \) has order 16.

4.6 Lemma. a) If \( x = (x_r)_{r \in R} \) is a list of elements in \( A \), then \( E(x) \) is an extension of \( A \) by \( G \) if and only if the presentation \( E(x) \) is consistent.

b) If \( \gamma \in Z^2(G, A) \), then the presentation \( E(x_\gamma) \) is consistent and the extensions \( E(x_\gamma) \) and \( E(\gamma) \) are equivalent.
Proof. a) The quotient of $E(x)$ modulo the normal subgroup $\tilde{A}$ generated by $A$ is isomorphic to $G$. Thus, the group $E(x)$ is an extension of $A$ by $G$ if and only if $A \cong \tilde{A}$, if and only if every element in $E(x)$ is represented by a unique normalized word in $G \cup A$, if and only if $E(x)$ is consistent.

b) The sequence $(g_1, \ldots, g_n, a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is a polycyclic sequence of $E(\gamma)$ and, by construction, the corresponding consistent p.c.p. with generating set $G \cup A$ is $E(x)$. \hfill \Box 

Lemma 4.6 shows that every extension of $A$ by $G$ can be described by an element of

$$A^R = \{(x_r)_{r \in R} | x_r \in A \text{ for all } r \in R\}.$$ 

Note that $A^R$ is an abelian group with addition $(x_r)_{r \in R} + (y_r)_{r \in R} = (x_r y_r)_{r \in R}$.

**Definition.** Using the above construction, every 2-cocycle $\gamma$ defines a unique tail vector $x_\gamma$, which yields a mapping

$$\phi: Z^2(G, A) \to A^R, \quad \gamma \mapsto x_\gamma,$$

and we define

$$Z(G, A) = \phi(Z^2(G, A)) \quad \text{and} \quad B(G, A) = \phi(B^2(G, A)).$$

Obviously, this definition depends on the chosen presentations of $G$ and $A$.

As in [22, Lemma 8.47], we now prove that $\phi$ is a group homomorphism. This allows us to call $Z(G, A)$ and $B(G, A)$ the **group of tail vectors** and **group of coboundary tail vectors** of $G$ in $A$, respectively.

**4.7 Lemma.** The mapping $\phi$ is a group homomorphism with $\ker \phi \leq B^2(G, A)$, and so

$$H^2(G, A) \cong Z(G, A)/B(G, A).$$

**Proof.** If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in Z^2(G, A)$, then $(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(g, h) = \gamma_1(g, h)\gamma_2(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. This implies that $\phi(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \phi(\gamma_1) + \phi(\gamma_2)$ and $\phi$ is a homomorphism. If $\gamma \in \ker \phi$, then $E(x_\gamma)$ is a split extension, which shows that $\gamma \in B^2(G, A)$ as $E(\gamma)$ and $E(x_\gamma)$ are equivalent. Thus, $\phi$ induces a homomorphism $Z^2(G, A) \to Z(G, A)/B(G, A)$ with kernel $B^2(G, A)$. \hfill \Box 

Again, the following definition depends on the chosen presentations of $G$ and $A$.

**4.8 Definition.** If $x \in A^R$ defines a consistent p.c.p. $E(x)$, then the canonical 2-cocycle defined by $x$ is

$$\gamma_x: G \times G \to A, \quad (h, g) \mapsto \tau(gh)^{-1}\tau(g)\tau(h),$$

where $\tau: G \to E(x)$ is the transversal which maps a normalized word $g$ onto $\tau(g) = g$.

**4.9 Lemma.** If $x \in A^R$ defines a consistent p.c.p. $E(x)$, then $\phi(\gamma_x) = x$.

**Proof.** By definition, the value of $\gamma_x(g, h)$ with $g, h \in G$ corresponds to the tail in $A$ occurring at the collection of the product of $\tau(g)$ and $\tau(h)$ in $E(x)$. We use this property to prove the assertion. Let $\tilde{\tau}: G \to E(\gamma_x)$ be the transversal which maps a normalized word $g \in G$ onto $\tilde{\tau}(g) = g$, and denote by $t = (t_r)_{r \in R}$ the tail vector defined by $\gamma_x$. If $r \in R$ is the power-relation $g_r^i = w_{i, r}$, then $E(\gamma_x)$ satisfies $\tilde{\tau}(g_r^i) = \tilde{\tau}(w_{i, r})t_r$ and

$$\tilde{\tau}(g_r^i) = \tilde{\tau}(w_{i, r}) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_x(g_k, g_r^k) = \tilde{\tau}(w_{i, r})\gamma_x(g_i, g_r^i) = \tilde{\tau}(w_{i, r})x_r.$$
If \( r \) is the conjugate relation \( g_i^{g_j} = w_{j,i}^r \), then \( E(\gamma_x) \) satisfies \( \hat{\tau}(g_i)\hat{\tau}(g_j) = \hat{\tau}(w_{j,i}^r)\tau \), and
\[
\hat{\tau}(g_i)\hat{\tau}(g_j) = \hat{\tau}(g_j)\hat{\tau}(w_{j,i}^r)\gamma_x(g_i, g_j)\gamma_x(g_j, w_{j,i}^r)^{-1} = \hat{\tau}(g_j)\hat{\tau}(w_{j,i}^r)x_r.
\]
A similar computation shows that \( t_r = x_r \) if \( r \) is the conjugate relation \( g_i^{g_j} = w_{j,i}^r \); that is, \( t_r = x_r \) for all \( r \in R \). This proves that \( \phi(\gamma_x) = x \). \( \square \)

The following corollary is a consequence of Lemmas 4.6 and 4.9.

4.10 Corollary. If \( x \in A^R \), then the following are equivalent:

1. The presentation \( \mathcal{E}(x) \) is consistent.
2. The group \( E(x) \) is an extension of \( A \) by \( G \).
3. The list \( x \) is a tail vector of \( G \) in \( A \), that is, \( x \in Z(G, A) \).

Thus, the tail vectors in \( Z(G, A) \) are exactly those elements of \( A^R \) which define consistent presentations. By Theorem 4.2, these can be determined by applying consistency checks to a presentation \( \mathcal{E}(x) \) where \( x = (x_r)_{r \in R} \) is a list of indeterminate elements \( x_r \in A \).

We now describe the computation of the group of coboundary tail vectors. By construction, a tail vector \( x \) lies in \( B(G, A) \) if and only if \( E(x) \) is a split extension of \( A \) by \( G \), if and only if the group \( A \) has a complement in \( E(x) \) which is generated by \( \{ga_g \mid g \in G\} \) for some \( a_g \in A \). Such a generating set has to fulfill the relations in \( R \), which imposes necessary and sufficient conditions on \( x \) to be a coboundary tail vector. This allows us to determine the elements of \( B(G, A) \).

As an easy example, we compute the second cohomology group of the elementary abelian group of order \( p^2 \) with coefficients in the cyclic group of order \( p \).

Example. We consider the group \( G = \langle G \mid R \rangle \) and the trivial \( G \)-module \( A = \langle A \mid B \rangle \) with generating sets \( G = \{g_1, g_2\} \) and \( A = \{a_1\} \) and defining relations
\[
R = \{g_1^p = 1, g_2^p = 1, g_2^{g_1} = g_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{a_1^p = 1\}.
\]
For a list \( x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \) of elements in \( A \), the presentation \( \mathcal{E}(x) \) is defined as
\[
\langle g_1, g_2, a_1 \mid g_1^p = x_1, g_2^p = x_2, g_2^{g_1} = x_3, a_1^p = 1, a_1^{g_1} = a_1, a_1^{g_2} = a_1 \rangle.
\]
In order to compute \( Z(G, A) \), we consider \( x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \) as a list of indeterminates in \( A \) and apply consistency checks to the presentation \( \mathcal{E}(x) \), see Theorem 4.2. A straightforward computation shows that \( \mathcal{E}(x) \) is consistent for all choices of \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \). For example, the collections of \( a_1(g_2g_1) \) and \( (a_1g_2)g_1 \) yield
\[
a_1(g_2g_1) = a_1g_1g_2x_3 = g_1a_1g_2x_3 = g_1g_2a_1x_3 \text{ in } E(x)
\]
and
\[
(a_1g_2)g_1 = g_2a_1g_1 = g_2g_1a_1 = g_1g_2x_3a_1 \text{ in } E(x),
\]
that is, they impose no condition on the tail \( x_3 \). Thus, it is shown that
\[
Z(G, A) = A^R \cong C_p^2.
\]
We now determine $B(G, A)$ and consider a generating set $\{g_1u, g_2v\}$ of a complement to $A$ in $E(x)$. The elements $g_1u$ and $g_2v$ have to fulfill the relations of $G$ and, therefore,

$$1 = (g_1u)^p = g_1^p u^p = x_1 \text{ in } E(x)$$

and, analogously, $x_2 = E(x) 1$. It follows from $g_2v = (g_2v)^{g_1u} = g_2x_3v$ in $E(x)$ that $x_3 = E(x) 1$, and $B(G, A) = \{(1, 1, 1)\}$ is trivial. This shows that $H^2(G, A) \cong C_p^3$. 
5 Number theory

The aim of this chapter is to summarize the number theory used in this thesis. In the first part of this chapter, we recall some $p$-adic number theory which can be found in [18, 19, 40]. Afterwards, based on [7], we briefly consider pro-$p$ groups and recall their $\mathbb{Z}_p$-group structure. Motivated by the definition of skeleton groups, see Definition 2.2, we then examine the group of $P$-homomorphisms $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ in the second part; the results provided there are based on [29, Section 8.3]. An action on this group of homomorphisms is defined in the third part, which is motivated by [28, 29]. This action plays an important role in the construction of skeleton groups up to isomorphism.

5.1 The $p$-th local cyclotomic field

The results of this section are standard and can be found in [18, 19, 40].

5.1.1 $p$-adic numbers

The $p$-adic valuation $\nu_p : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ is uniquely defined by $\nu_p(0) = \infty$ and, for $x \neq 0$, by the formula

$$x = p^{\nu_p(x)} \frac{a}{b}, \quad p \nmid ab.$$

The $p$-adic absolute value $| \cdot |_p$ on $\mathbb{Q}$ is defined by $|x|_p = p^{-\nu_p(x)}$ if $x \neq 0$ and $|0|_p = 0$. It is non-archimedean, that is, it satisfies $|x + y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$. The field $\mathbb{Q}$ is not complete with respect to this absolute value and its completion is the field of $p$-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$. By definition, $\mathbb{Q}_p$ consists of equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences. The $p$-adic absolute value can be extended to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ via $|x|_p = \lim_{n \to \infty} |x_n|_p$, where $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ representing $x$.

The ring of $p$-adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the valuation ring $\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x|_p \leq 1\}$ and can be considered as

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_ip^i \mid \forall i : 0 \leq a_i \leq p - 1, a_i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

The group of $p$-adic units $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x|_p = 1\}$ consists of the elements in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ which are invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

A well-known lemma is the following, see [18, Theorem 3.4.6]. We denote by $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ the polynomial defined by $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ via a coefficient-wise reduction modulo $p$.

**Hensel’s Lemma.** Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ and assume that there exist $g_0, g_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ such that $g_0$ is monic, $\gcd(g_0, f) = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, and $f \equiv g_0g_1 \mod p$ (coefficient-wise). Then there exist $h_0, h_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ such that $h_0$ is monic, $g_i \equiv h_i \mod p$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $f = h_0h_1$.

It can be deduced that the $p$-adic integers contain a primitive $(p - 1)$-th root of unity $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $1 < r < p$ represents a generator of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_p^*$, then $\omega$ can be defined as $\omega = \lim_{n \to \infty} r^{(p^n)}$ so that $\omega \equiv r^{(p^n-1)} \mod p^n$ for all positive integers $n$. 
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5.1 Remark. Let \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \) be the algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), see [18, p. 153] and let \( \mathbb{C}_p \) be the completion of \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \), see [18, Proposition 5.7.6]. Both fields are algebraically closed and both have transcendence degree equal to the cardinality of the reals. The same holds for the field \( \mathbb{C} \) of the complex numbers. Now a theorem of Steinitz proves that \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \cong \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}_p \) as fields, see [46, p. 145] for a proof. Thus, there is an embedding from \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) into \( \mathbb{C} \) and every \( p \)-adic root of unity \( u \in \mathbb{Q}_p \) can be considered as a complex root of unity \( u \in \mathbb{C} \).

5.1.2 Cyclotomic fields

Let \( \theta \) be a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). The \( p \)-th local cyclotomic field is defined as \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \); that is,

\[
\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p[X]/(1 + X + \ldots + X^{p-1})\mathbb{Q}_p[X]
\]

and \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \) has \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-basis \( \{1, \theta, \ldots, \theta^{p-2}\} \), see [18, Section 5.6].

The \( p \)-adic absolute value \( | \cdot |_p \) on \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \) and, with respect to this absolute value, \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \) is complete. The valuation ring of \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \) is \( \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \mid |x|_p \leq 1\} \) and coincides with

\[
\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] = \{a_0 + a_1 \theta + \ldots + a_{p-2} \theta^{p-2} \mid a_0, \ldots, a_{p-2} \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}.
\]

The invertible elements in \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) are \( \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \mid |x|_p = 1\} \) and we denote this group of units by

\[
\mathcal{U}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]^*.
\]

The element

\[
\kappa = \theta - 1
\]

is a prime element of \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \); that is, every \( x \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \setminus \{0\} \) can be written uniquely as \( x = \kappa^z u \) for some integer \( z \) and a unit \( u \in \mathcal{U}_p \).

The ring \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) is a principal domain with unique maximal ideal

\[
p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \mid |x|_p < 1\}
\]

generated by \( \kappa \). For \( z \in \mathbb{Z} \) we define

\[
p^z = \{\kappa^z t \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]\},
\]

and the non-zero ideals of \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) are \( p^0, p^1, p^2, \ldots \). The ideal \( p^{p-1} \) is generated by \( p \) and, thus, if \( n = x(p - 1) + i \) with integers \( x \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq i \leq p - 2 \), then \( p^n = p^i p^i \). The factor group \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]/p \) is cyclic of order \( p \) and the residue class field \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]/p \) of \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_p \).

The Galois group

\[
\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \alpha(x) = x\}
\]

is cyclic of order \( p - 1 \) and generated by an automorphism defined by \( \theta \mapsto \theta^h \) for some \( 1 < h < p \) generating \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \), see [40, Satz II.7.13].
5.1.3 Group of units

We now determine the structure of the group of units $\mathcal{U}_p$. The following theorem is proved in [40, Satz II.5.3]; recall that $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a primitive $(p - 1)$-th root of unity.

**Theorem.** The group of units $\mathcal{U}_p$ can be written as $\mathcal{U}_p = C_{p-1}(\omega) \times (1 + p)$.

This direct product is considered as an internal direct product; that is, every $u \in \mathcal{U}_p$ can be written uniquely as $u = \omega^a (1 + t)$ with $0 \leq a \leq p - 2$ and $t \in p$.

The multiplicative group $\mathcal{U}_p^{(1)} = 1 + p$ is the group of **one-units** and, more general, the group of **i-th one-units** with $i \geq 1$ is defined as

$$U_p^{(i)} = 1 + p^i.$$

According to [19, Section 15.7], we can write

$$\mathcal{U}_p = C_{p-1}(\omega) \times C_{p}(\theta) \times U_p^{(2)}.$$

The group of $i$-th one-units has the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module where $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ acts on $u \in U_p^{(i)}$ via $u^z = \lim_{n \to \infty} u^{z_n}$ where $z_n = z \mod p^n$ for $n \geq 1$. In particular, the group of second one-units is a **free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank** $p - 1$, that is, it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^{p-1}$, see [19, Section 15.7].

**Theorem.** As a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, the group $U_p^{(2)}$ is freely generated by $\{1 - (1 - \theta)^h \mid 2 \leq h \leq p\}$.

In particular, the multiplicative group of $i$-th one-units and the additive group $(p^i, +)$ are isomorphic if $i \geq 2$; for a proof we refer to [40, Satz II.5.5 & p. 146].

5.2 **Theorem.** For $i \geq 2$ the power series

$$\exp(x) = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \ldots \quad \text{and} \quad \log(1 + x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \ldots$$

define isomorphisms $\exp: p^i \to U_p^{(i)}$ and $\log: U_p^{(i)} \to p^i$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules with $\exp^{-1} = \log$.

5.1.4 The unit group as a centralizer

If $R$ is a commutative ring, then we denote by $M(m, R)$ the $R$-module of all $m \times m$ matrices over $R$, and the centralizer $C_{M(m,R)}(M)$ of a matrix $M \in M(m, R)$ is defined as the additive group

$$C_{M(m,R)}(M) = \{ N \in M(m, R) \mid NM = MN \}.$$

Recall that $d = p - 1$, see Definition 2.1, and we denote by

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

the companion matrix of $1 + X + \ldots + X^d$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. 
5.3 Lemma. a) If \( R \in \{ \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p \} \), then \( C_{M(d,R)}(\mathfrak{G}) \) is a ring and

\[
C_{M(d,R)}(\mathfrak{G}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i \mathfrak{G}^i \mid \forall i : a_i \in R \right\}.
\]

b) An isomorphism \( C_{\text{GL}(d,\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle) \cong \mathcal{U}_p \) is induced by \( \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i \mathfrak{G}^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i \theta^i \).

c) There exists \( \mu \in \text{GL}(d,\mathbb{Z}_p) \) of order \( d \) such that \( \mathfrak{G}^\mu = \mathfrak{G}^j \) for some \( 1 < j < d \) and

\[
N_{\text{GL}(d,\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle) = \{ \mu^i u \mid 0 \leq i \leq d, u \in C_{\text{GL}(d,\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle) \}.
\]

Proof. For a positive integer \( m \) let \( I_m \) be the \( m \times m \) identity matrix.

a) If \( M \in C_{M(d,R)}(\mathfrak{G}) \), then it follows from \( M\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G} M \) that \( M \) is determined uniquely by the entries of its first row. By induction, the first row of \( \mathfrak{G}^i \) is the \( (i+1) \)-th row of \( I_d \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq d-1 \) and, thus, \( M \) can be written as an \( R \)-linear combination of \( \{ \mathfrak{G}^0, \ldots, \mathfrak{G}^{d-1} \} \).

b) By definition, \( \mathfrak{G}^0 + \mathfrak{G}^1 + \ldots + \mathfrak{G}^d = 0I_d \) and, by part a), there is a field isomorphism \( C_{M(d,\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathfrak{G}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \); that is, \( C_{M(d,\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathfrak{G}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) as rings and \( C_{\text{GL}(d,\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle) \cong \mathcal{U}_p \).

c) The group \( C = C_{\text{GL}(d,\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle) \) is a normal subgroup of \( N = N_{\text{GL}(d,\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle) \) and the quotient \( N/C \) embeds into \( \text{Aut}(\langle \mathfrak{G} \rangle) \cong C_d \). We choose \( \mu \) as the matrix describing the action of a generator of \( G(\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)/\mathbb{Q}_p) \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) with respect to \( \{1, \theta, \ldots, \theta^{d-1}\} \). Then \( \mu \) lies in \( N \setminus C \), which proves the assertion. \( \square \)

5.2 Pro-\( p \) groups and \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-modules

We briefly consider pro-\( p \) groups and recall their \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-operator group structure. For proofs and background we refer to [7, Chapter 1].

A directed set is a non-empty partially ordered set \((\Lambda, \geq)\) with the property that for every \( \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda \) there exists \( \nu \in \Lambda \) with \( \nu \geq \lambda \) and \( \nu \geq \mu \). An inverse system of groups over \( \Lambda \) is a family of groups \( (G_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \) with homomorphisms \( \pi_{\lambda,\mu} : G_\lambda \to G_\mu \) whenever \( \lambda \geq \mu \) such that \( \pi_{\lambda,\lambda} = \text{id}_{G_\lambda} \) and \( \pi_{\mu,\nu} \circ \pi_{\lambda,\mu} = \pi_{\lambda,\nu} \) whenever \( \lambda \geq \mu \geq \nu \). The inverse limit

\[
\lim_{\Lambda} G_\lambda = \lim_{\Lambda} (G_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}
\]

is the subgroup of the Cartesian product \( \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda \) consisting of all elements \( (g_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \) with \( \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(g_\lambda) = g_\mu \) whenever \( \lambda \geq \mu \). If every \( G_\lambda \) is a finite group, then we furnish \( \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda \) with the product topology of discrete spaces. In this way, \( \lim_{\Lambda} G_\lambda \) with the induced topology becomes a topological group, that is, a group which is a topological space such that group multiplication and inversion are continuous. Now a pro-\( p \) group can be defined as a group which is isomorphic as a topological group to an inverse limit of finite \( p \)-groups.

The prototype of a pro-\( p \) group is the additive group of the \( p \)-adic integers \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), which is isomorphic to the inverse limit of the additive groups \( (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) where all homomorphisms are projections. Clearly, a finite group is pro-\( p \) if and only if it is a \( p \)-group.

Every pro-\( p \) group \( G \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-operator group and \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) acts on \( g \in G \) as

\[
g^z = \lim_{n \to \infty} g^n z_n \quad \text{where} \quad z_n = z \mod p^n.
\]

If \( g, h \in G \) with \( gh = hg \) and \( y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), then \( g^{yz} = g^y g^z \), \( g^{yz} = (g^y)^z \), and \( (gh)^z = g^zh^z \). In particular, if \( G \) is abelian, then \( G \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module.
The group $G$ is **finitely generated** (as a topological group), if there exists a finite subset $X \subseteq G$ such that the only open subgroup of $G$ containing $\langle X \rangle$ is $G$. For example, $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$ is generated (topologically) by $\{1\}$, whereas $\langle 1 \rangle = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ as abstract groups.

**Theorem.** If $G$ and $H$ are pro-$p$ groups and $G$ is finitely generated, then every (abstract) group homomorphism $G \to H$ is continuous.

This implies that every homomorphism from a finitely generated pro-$p$ group $G$ to a pro-$p$ group $H$ is compatible with the action of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Moreover, the identity $\text{id}_G: G \to G$ is continuous and, hence, the topology of $G$ is determined uniquely by the group structure.

We conclude this paragraph with a lemma concerning $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules. Recall that a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module is **free of rank** $r$ if it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Lemma.** Every free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank is pro-$p$, and every finitely generated abelian pro-$p$ group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^r \times N$ for some finite $p$-group $N$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

### 5.3 Homomorphisms from $T \wedge T$

Throughout this section, we write

$$P = C_p(g) \quad \text{and} \quad T = (\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta], +)$$

where $g$ acts on $T$ via multiplication by $\theta$; that is, the group $P \times T$ is isomorphic to the $p$-adic space group of maximal class. For positive integers $n$ and $e$ let

$$T_n = (p^{n-1}, +) \quad \text{and} \quad A_e = T/T_{e+1}.$$

Unless otherwise noted, we assume that $e \leq \epsilon_n$, see Definition 2.1. It is the aim of this section to determine the structure of the group of $P$-homomorphisms $T \wedge T \to T$ and $T/T_n \wedge T/T_n \to A_e$, respectively. These groups are of special interest as they are connected to the construction of skeleton groups, cf. Definition 2.2.

#### 5.3.1 The exterior square $T \wedge T$

Let $F$ be the free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module generated freely by $T \times T$. We write $F$ multiplicatively and define $U \leq F$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule generated by the elements $(a+b, c)(a, c)^{-1}(b, c)^{-1}$, $(a, b+c)(a, b)^{-1}(c, b)^{-1}$, and $(a, b)^2(a, zb)^{-1}$ with $a, b, c \in T$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. The **tensor product** of $T$ is defined as the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module

$$T \otimes T = F/U$$

and we write $a \otimes b = (a, b)U$ for $a, b \in T$. It is a $P$-module via $(a \otimes b)^g = a^g \otimes b^g$.

The **exterior square** of $T$ is

$$T \wedge T = (T \otimes T)/V$$

where $V$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule of $T \otimes T$ generated by $\{a \otimes a \mid a \in T\}$. We write $a \wedge b = (a \otimes b)V$, and, by definition, $a \wedge b = (b \wedge a)^{-1}$ for all $a, b \in T$. The $\mathbb{Z}_pP$-module structure of $T \wedge T$ is defined by

$$(\theta^i \wedge \theta^j)\sum_{l=0}^dl_i a^l_i = \prod_{i=0}^d (\theta^{i+1} \wedge \theta^{i+1})a_i.$$ 

In particular, $T \wedge T$ is not a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$-module as $(a \wedge b)^1 + \theta + \ldots + \theta^d \neq (a \wedge b)^0 = 0$ in general.

The following theorem is a modification of [29, Proposition 8.3.5].
5.4 Theorem. The \( \mathbb{Z}_p P \)-module \( T \wedge T \) is the direct product of a free \( \mathbb{Z}_p P \)-module of rank \( d/2 - 1 \) generated freely by \( \{1 \wedge \theta^2, \ldots, 1 \wedge \theta^{d/2}\} \), and a free \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module generated by the element \( z = \prod_{0 \leq i < j < d} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j \).

Proof. Clearly, \( M = \{ \theta^i \wedge \theta^j \mid 0 \leq i < j < d \} \) is a free generating set for \( T \wedge T \) as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module. As a \( \mathbb{Z}_p P \)-module, \( T \wedge T \) is generated by \( \{1 \wedge \theta, \ldots, 1 \wedge \theta^{d/2}\} \) since

\[
\theta^i \wedge \theta^j = (1 \wedge \theta^{j-i}) \theta^i \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \wedge \theta^j = (1 \wedge \theta^{p-j})^{-\theta^j}
\]

for all \( 0 \leq i < j < d \). For \( 2 \leq i \leq d/2 \), we define \( B_i \) as the \( \mathbb{Z}_p P \)-submodule of \( T \wedge T \) generated by \( \{1 \wedge \theta^i\} \), and \( B_1 = \{ z^c \mid c \in \mathbb{Z}_p \} \). By definition, \( z^\theta = z \) and \( B_1 \) has \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-rank 1.

If \( 2 \leq i \leq d/2 \), then \( B_i \) has \( \mathbb{Z}_p P \)-rank 1 and a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-basis of \( B_i \) is given by

\[
N_i = \{ 1 \wedge \theta^i, \ldots, \theta^{d-i} \wedge \theta^d, 1 \wedge \theta^{d-i+1}, \ldots, \theta^{i-1} \wedge \theta^d \}.
\]

Now let \( N = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d/2} N_i \) and consider a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-linear combination \( m = \prod_{u \in N} u^{a_u} \) with \( m = 1 \). If \( M' = M \cap N \), then this linear combination can be written as

\[
m = \prod_{u \in M'} u^{a_u} \prod_{i=1}^{d/2} (\theta^i \wedge \theta^d)^{a_j}
\]

with \( a_1, \ldots, a_{d-2} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). If \( \langle M' \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-submodule of \( T \wedge T \) generated by \( M' \), then

\[
\theta^j \wedge \theta^d \equiv (\theta^{j-1} \wedge \theta^j)(\theta^j \wedge \theta^{j+1})^{-1} \mod \langle M' \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p}
\]

for all \( 1 \leq j \leq d/2 - 1 \). This implies that \( a_1 = \ldots = a_{d-2} = 0 \) and, moreover, \( a_u = 0 \) for all \( u \in N \) since \( M \) is a free generating set. Therefore, \( B = \langle N \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \) is freely generated by \( N \) as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module, and it is freely generated by \( \{1 \wedge \theta^2, \ldots, 1 \wedge \theta^{d/2}\} \) as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p P \)-module. For \( 2 \leq k \leq d/2 \) let \( \alpha_k = g + \ldots + g^{\theta^k} \). A straightforward computation shows that

\[
(1 \wedge \theta)^{g-1} = \prod_{k=2}^{d-1} (1 \wedge \theta^k)g^{1-k} = \prod_{k=2}^{d/2} (1 \wedge \theta^k)(g^{1-1}\alpha_k)
\]

and \( \theta^i \wedge \theta^{i+1} \equiv 1 \wedge \theta \mod B \) for all \( 0 \leq i \leq d/2 - 1 \); that is, \( z \equiv (1 \wedge \theta)^{d-1} \mod B \). This proves that \( \{ z \} \cup N \) generates \( T \wedge T \) as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module. Another computation shows that \( (1 \wedge \theta)^a \notin B \) for all \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\} \); that is, \( B_1 \cap B = \{1\} \). This proves the theorem. \( \square \)

5.3.2 Homomorphisms (I)

As free \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-modules of finite rank, the groups \( T \wedge T \) and \( T \) are pro-\( p \) and, therefore, every homomorphism \( T \wedge T \to T \) and \( T \to T \to A_e \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module homomorphism.

The element \( z \in T \wedge T \) of Theorem 5.4 is a fixed point under the action of \( P \) and, by Theorem 5.4, every \( P \)-homomorphism \( T \wedge T \to T \) is uniquely determined by its values on \( 1 \wedge \theta^2, \ldots, 1 \wedge \theta^{d/2} \). The elements in \( A_e \) fixed by \( g \in P \) are precisely those in the unique minimal \( P \)-invariant subgroup \( A_e^P = T_e/T_{e+1} \).
5.5 Definition. Let $3 \leq k \leq d/2 + 1$ and let $\widehat{z} \in A^P_k$ be a generator.

a) The $P$-homomorphism $f_k: T \wedge T \rightarrow T$ is defined by

$$f_k(z) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_k(1 \wedge \theta^{j-1}) = \delta_{j,k} \quad (3 \leq j \leq d/2 + 1)$$

where $\delta_{u,v}$ is the Kronecker delta with $\delta_{u,v} = 1$ if $u = v$ and $\delta_{u,v} = 0$ if $u \neq v$.

b) The $P$-homomorphism $f_k: T \wedge T \rightarrow A_e$ is defined as $\widehat{f}_k = \pi \circ f_k$ where $\pi: T \rightarrow A_e$ is the projection, and $\widehat{f}_2: T \wedge T \rightarrow A_e$ is defined by

$$\widehat{f}_2(z) = \widehat{z} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{f}_2(1 \wedge \theta^j) = 0 \quad (2 \leq j \leq d/2).$$

It follows from Theorem 5.4 that

$$\widehat{f}_2(1 \wedge \theta) = (d - 1)^{-1} \widehat{z} \quad \text{and} \quad f_k(1 \wedge \theta) = \theta + \ldots + \theta^{p+1-k}.$$  

We regard $\text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, T)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$-module via $(u f)(v) = u(f(v))$ where $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$, $f \in \text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, T)$, and $v \in T \wedge T$. The following corollary is from [29, Theorem 8.3.7].

5.6 Corollary. a) As a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$-module, $\text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, T)$ is freely generated by $f_3, \ldots, f_{d/2+1}$.

b) $\text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, A_e)$ is the direct sum of $d/2 - 1$ summands isomorphic to $A_e$, generated by the homomorphisms $f_3, \ldots, f_{d/2+1}$, and a summand of order $p$ generated by $\widehat{f}_2$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.4, every $P$-homomorphism $T \wedge T \rightarrow T$ is uniquely determined by its values on $1 \wedge \theta^2, \ldots, 1 \wedge \theta^{d/2}$; that is, every element of $\text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, T)$ can be written uniquely as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$-linear combination of $f_3, \ldots, f_{d/2+1}$. Every $P$-homomorphism from $T \wedge T$ to $A_e$ maps $z$ onto an element of $A^P_{e+1}$.

The projection $A_{e+1} \rightarrow A_e$ has kernel $A^P_{e+1}$, and Corollary 5.6 proves the following.

5.7 Corollary. A $P$-homomorphism $f: T \wedge T \rightarrow A_e$ can be lifted to a $P$-homomorphism $T \wedge T \rightarrow A_{e+1}$ and $T \wedge T \rightarrow T$, respectively, if and only if $f(z) = 0$.

We now show that $\text{Hom}_P(T/T_n \wedge T/T_n, A_e)$ and $\text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, A_e)$ can be identified if $n \geq p$ and, as assumed, $e \leq e_n$. In particular, a $P$-homomorphism $T \wedge T \rightarrow A_e$ can be applied to elements of $T/T_n \wedge T/T_n$.

5.8 Lemma. If $n \geq p$ and $e \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor d$, then

$$\text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, A_e) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_P(T/T_n \wedge T/T_n, A_e), \quad f \mapsto \tilde{f},$$

with $\tilde{f}: (a + T_n) \wedge (b + T_n) \mapsto f(a \wedge b)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. It follows from $e \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor d$ that there exists an integer $x \geq 1$ such that $u \in T_{xe+1}$ and $p^x v \in T_{e+1}$ for all $u \in T_n$ and $v \in T$. This shows that $f(a \wedge b) = 0$ whenever $a \in T_n$ or $b \in T_n$, that is, $\tilde{f}$ is well-defined. Clearly, the mapping $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ is an injective homomorphism. It is surjective since every $P$-homomorphism $T/T_n \wedge T/T_n \rightarrow A_e$ is already determined by its values on $\prod_{0 \leq i < j < d}(\theta^i + T_n) \wedge (\theta^j + T_n)$ and $(1 + T_n) \wedge (\theta + T_n)$ for $2 \leq j \leq d/2$.

We end this paragraph with an easy observation. Recall that $T$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$-module.

5.9 Lemma. A $P$-homomorphism $f: T \wedge T \rightarrow T$ is surjective if and only if im $f \nsubseteq T_2$. 

5.3.3 Homomorphisms (II)

It is proved in Corollary 5.6 that every $P$-homomorphism $f: T \land T \to T$ can be written as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$-linear combination

$$f = \sum_{k=3}^{d/2+1} c_k f_k.$$ 

We now introduce a new set of $P$-homomorphisms describing $\text{Hom}_P(T \land T, T)$, cf. [29, Theorem 8.3.1]. For this purpose, for an integer $j$ with $p \nmid j$ we consider the ring automorphism $\sigma_j: \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$ defined by

$$\sigma_j: \theta \mapsto \theta^j \mod p.$$ 

**Definition.** If $2 \leq a \leq d/2$, then the $P$-homomorphism $F_a: T \land T \to T$ is defined by

$$F_a: T \land T \to T, \quad x \land y \mapsto \sigma_a(x)\sigma_{1-a}(y) - \sigma_a(y)\sigma_{1-a}(x).$$

By definition, the image of $F_a$ lies in the maximal ideal $p$ of $T$ for all $2 \leq a \leq d/2$: that is, the $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$-submodule of $\text{Hom}_P(T \land T, T)$ generated by $\{F_2, \ldots, F_{d/2}\}$ is a proper submodule. However, it is shown in the following lemma that every $P$-homomorphism $T \land T \to T$ can be written as a $\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)$-linear combination of $F_2, \ldots, F_{d/2}$, where $u F_a: T \land T \to \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)$ with $u \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)$ is defined as usual.

**5.10 Lemma.** Every $P$-homomorphism $f: T \land T \to T$ can be written uniquely as

$$f = \sum_{k=2}^{d/2} c_k F_k$$

with $c_2, \ldots, c_{d/2} \in p^* \text{ where } p^* = -\frac{(p-3)^2}{4}$. If $f$ is surjective, then $c_a \in p^{-1} \setminus T$ for some $a$.

**Proof.** For all $2 \leq a \leq d/2$, the homomorphism $F_a$ can be written uniquely as

$$F_a = \sum_{k=2}^{d/2} (\theta^{(1-a)k} - \theta^{ka}) f_{k+1};$$

that is, the change matrix from $B_1 = \{F_2, \ldots, F_{d/2}\}$ to $B_2 = \{f_3, \ldots, f_{d/2+1}\}$ is

$$M = (\theta^{(1-a)k} - \theta^{ka})_{2 \leq a,k \leq d/2}.$$ 

In [29, Theorem 8.3.7], a free generating set $B'_2$ of $\text{Hom}_P(T \land T, T)$ is given and it follows from the proof of [29, Proposition 8.3.8] that the basis change matrix from $B_1$ to $B'_2$ has non-zero determinant lying in $p^{-p^*} \setminus p^{-p^*+1}$. The basis change matrix from $B'_2$ to $B_2$ is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$ and, thus, the determinant of $M$ lies in $p^{-p^*} \setminus p^{-p^*+1}$. □

5.4 The action of $p$-adic units

Again, we write $T = (\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta], +)$ and $T_n = (p^{n-1}, +)$ for $n \geq 1$. Let $P = C_p(g)$ where $g$ acts on $T$ via multiplication by $\theta$. In this section, the group of $P$-homomorphisms from $T \land T$ to $T_{e+1}$ is denoted by

$$H_e = \text{Hom}_P(T \land T, T_{e+1}),$$

and, using Corollary 5.7, we identify the group of liftable $P$-homomorphisms $T \land T \to A_e$ with $H_0/H_e$. We now describe an action of the unit group $U_p = \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]^*$ on $H_0$, which is reconsidered in Chapter 9. The results of this section are based on [28, 29].
5.4.1 Definition of the action

We identify the ring automorphism $\sigma_j : \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$ defined by $\theta \mapsto \theta^{j \mod p}$ with its continuation to a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear transformation of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)$. We make use of Lemma 5.10 and let a unit $z \in \mathcal{U}_p$ act on $\text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, T)$ via

$$(cF_a)^z = z^{-1}\sigma_a(z)\sigma_{1-a}(z)cF_a \quad (c \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta), \ 2 \leq a \leq d/2).$$

A motivation for this action is given in Chapter 9. With respect to this definition, it is natural to examine the following homomorphism.

**Definition.** For $2 \leq a \leq d/2$ let

$$\rho_a : \mathcal{U}_p \to \mathcal{U}_p, \ z \mapsto z^{-1}\sigma_a(z)\sigma_{1-a}(z).$$

Recall that the group of units is

$$\mathcal{U}_p = C_{p-1}(\omega) \times C_p(\theta) \times \mathcal{U}_p^{(2)}$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a primitive $(p-1)$-th root of unity and $\mathcal{U}_p^{(2)} = 1 + p^2$, see Section 5.1.3. By definition, $\rho_a(\theta) = 1$ and $\rho_a(\omega) = \omega$ and, thus, it remains to investigate the restriction of $\rho_a$ to the group of second one-units. The logarithm mapping of Theorem 5.2 preserves the action of $\sigma_j$ and, thus, it can be used to translate $\rho_a$ to an additive mapping $\tau_a$ from $(p^2, +)$ to $(p^2, +)$, which allows us to use linear algebra.

**Definition.** For $2 \leq a \leq d/2$ let

$$\tau_a : p^2 \to p^2, \ z \mapsto -z + \sigma_a(z) + \sigma_{1-a}(z).$$

We also identify $\tau_a$ with its continuation to a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear transformation of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)$. We conclude this paragraph with an important observation on $H_e = \text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, T_{e+1})$.

**Lemma.** The groups $H_0, H_1, \ldots$ are invariant under the action of $\mathcal{U}_p$.

**Proof.** If $z \in \mathcal{U}_p$, then $F^z_a$ maps $u \wedge v \in T \wedge T$ onto $z^{-1}F_a(zu \wedge zv)$. Thus, if $f : T \wedge T \to T_{e+1}$ is a $P$-homomorphism, then $f^z = \mu_z^{-1} \circ f \circ (\mu_z \wedge \mu_z)$ where $\mu_z$ is the automorphism of $T$ defined by multiplication by $z$. This shows that $f^z \in H_e$.

5.4.2 Eigenvalues of $\tau_a$

We show that $\tau_a$ has $p$-adic eigenvalues and can be diagonalized. Let $2 \leq r \leq d$ be a primitive $(p-1)$-th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_p$ such that $r \equiv \omega \mod p$, see Section 5.1.1. The results of this paragraph are all from [28].

**Lemma.** Let $2 \leq k \leq p$.

a) The eigenvalues of $\sigma_r$ are $\{\omega^0, \omega^1, \ldots, \omega^{d-1}\}$.

b) If $v \in p^k \setminus p^{k+1}$ is an eigenvector of $\sigma_r$, then the corresponding eigenvalue is $\omega^k$.

c) There is an eigenvector $v_k \in p^k \setminus p^{k+1}$ of $\sigma_r$ with eigenvalue $\omega^k$.

d) If $a \equiv r^i \mod p$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$, then $v_k$ is an eigenvector of $\sigma_a$ with eigenvalue $\omega^{ik}$.
Proof. a) The minimal polynomial \( \mu(X) \) of \( \sigma_r \) divides \( X^d - 1 \). Since the minimal polynomial of \( \theta \) over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) has degree \( d \), it follows from \( \mu(\sigma_r)(\theta) = 0 \) that \( \mu(X) = X^d - 1 \). The roots of \( \mu(X) \) in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) are exactly the \( (p - 1) \)-th roots of unity \( 1, \omega, \omega^2, \ldots, \omega^{d-1} \).

b) We can assume that \( v \equiv (\theta - 1)^k \) mod \( (p^{k+1}, +) \). Then
\[
\sigma_r(v) \equiv (\theta - 1)^k(1 + \theta + \ldots + \theta^{r-1})^k \equiv r^k(\theta - 1)^k \equiv \omega^k v \mod (p^{k+1}, +).
\]

By part a), the eigenvalue corresponding to \( v \) is \( \omega^k \).

c) By part a), there is an eigenvector \( w_k \) of \( \sigma_r \) with eigenvalue \( \omega^k \). Let \( w_k \in p^l \setminus p^{l+1} \) and write \( l = xd + i \) with integers \( x \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq i \leq d - 1 \); that is, \( p^l = p^xp^i \). Thus, the quotient of \( w_k \) by an appropriate power of \( p \) is an eigenvector lying in \( p^k/p^{k+1} \).

d) This follows from \( \sigma_a = \sigma^l_a \).

We now show that \( \tau_a \) can be diagonalized.

**Definition.** Let \( 2 \leq k \leq p \).

a) Let \( v_k \in p^k \setminus p^{k+1} \) be an eigenvector of \( \tau_a \) with eigenvalue \( \omega^k \).

b) Let \( V_k \) be the intersection of \( p^2 \) with the subspace generated by \( v_k \).

c) Let \( W_k \) be the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-submodule of \( U_p^{(2)} \) generated by \( \exp(v_k) \).

**Corollary.** Let \( 2 \leq a \leq p \) with \( a \equiv r^i \) mod \( p \) and \( 1 - a \equiv r^j \) mod \( p \).

a) The element \( v_k \) is an eigenvector of \( \tau_a \) with eigenvalue \( \omega^k + \omega^{ik} - 1 \) for all \( 2 \leq k \leq p \).

b) The group \( (p^2, +) \) is the direct sum of the \( \tau_a \)-invariant \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-modules \( V_2, \ldots, V_p \).

c) If \( a_2v_2 + \ldots + a_pv_p \in p^e \) with \( a_2, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), then \( a_kv_k \in p^e \) for all \( 2 \leq k \leq p \).

**Proof.** a) This follows from the definition.

b) It follows from part a) that \( W = \{v_2, \ldots, v_p\} \) is a \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-basis of \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \). Thus, the set \( W \) is \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-linearly independent and its \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-span lies in \( p^2 \). Now the assertion follows from \( p^xv_k \in p^{k+xd} \setminus p^{k+xd+1} \) for all integers \( x \geq 0 \) and \( 2 \leq k \leq p \).

c) A \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-basis of \( p^e \) is given by \( \{p^{x_2}v_2, \ldots, p^{x_p}v_p\} \) for suitable integers \( x_2, \ldots, x_p \geq 0 \).

Using the exponential mapping, the results of the previous corollary can be transferred to the group of second one-units.

**5.11 Corollary.** Let \( 2 \leq a \leq d/2 \) with \( a \equiv r^i \) mod \( p \) and \( 1 - a \equiv r^j \) mod \( p \).

a) The group \( U_p^{(2)} \) is the direct product of the \( \rho_a \)-invariant \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-modules \( W_2, \ldots, W_p \).

b) If \( \exp(v_2)^{a_2} \ldots \exp(v_p)^{a_p} \in 1 + p^e \) for some \( a_2, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), then \( \exp(v_k)^{a_k} \in 1 + p^e \) for all \( 2 \leq k \leq p \).

The following lemma can be found in [28, Proposition 2.6].

**5.12 Lemma.** Let \( 2 \leq a \leq d/2 \). If \( p \equiv 5 \) mod \( 6 \), then \( \ker \tau_a \) is trivial. If \( p \equiv 1 \) mod \( 6 \) and \( a \) is the unique solution of the congruence \( X^2 - X + 1 \equiv 0 \) mod \( p \) lying in the given range, then \( \ker \tau_a \) has dimension \( d/3 \).
5.4.3 Stabilizers

Motivated by Lemma 5.12, we consider a prime $p \equiv 5 \mod 6$ in this paragraph. Recall that the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear mapping

$$\tau_a : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, \quad z \mapsto -z + \sigma_a(z) + \sigma_{1-a}(z),$$

has eigenvectors $v_2, \ldots, v_p$ for all $2 \leq a \leq d/2$. We denote the eigenvalue of $\tau_a$ corresponding to $v_k$ by

$$\omega_{a,k} = \omega^{ik} + \omega^{jk} - 1$$

where $0 \leq i, j < d$ are defined by $a \equiv r^i \mod p$ and $1 - a \equiv r^j \mod p$. By Lemma 5.12, there exists an integer $p_{a,k}$ which is maximal with respect to $\omega_{a,k} \equiv 0 \mod p^{p_{a,k}}$. For $e \geq 2$ and $2 \leq a \leq d/2$ we define

$$v_{a,k,e} = \max\{\lfloor (e-k)/d \rfloor - p_{a,k}, 0\}.$$

5.13 Lemma. Let $2 \leq a \leq d/2$ and $u = \exp(v_2)^{a_2} \ldots \exp(v_p)^{a_p}$ with $a_2, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\rho_a(u)$ lies in $U_p^{(e)} = 1 + p^e$ if and only if $a_k \equiv 0 \mod p^{v_{a,k,e}}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq p$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.2, Corollary 5.11, and Lemma 5.12 that $\rho_a(u) \in 1 + p^e$ if and only if $\exp(\tau_a(a_2v_2 + \ldots + a_pv_p)) \in 1 + p^e$, if and only if $\exp(v_2)^{a_2\omega_{a,2}} \ldots \exp(v_p)^{a_p\omega_{a,p}}$ lies in $1 + p^e$, if and only if $a_k\omega_{a,k}v_k \in \mathbb{P}^e$ for all $2 \leq k \leq p$, if and only if $a_k \in p^{e-k-p_{a,k,d}}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq p$. \hfill \Box

By Lemma 5.12, Lemma 5.13 does not hold for primes $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$, which distinguishes the case $p \equiv 5 \mod 6$ from $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$. Based on Lemma 5.13, we now provide the main result of this section and consider the stabilizer $\text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_a)$ of a surjective $P$-homomorphism $f : T \wedge T \rightarrow T$. Recall that $p^* = -(p-3)^2/4$ and, if $A$ is an abelian group, then $A^{[p]} = \{ap \mid a \in A\}$.  

Proof. Let $a \equiv r^i \mod p$ and $1 - a \equiv r^j \mod p$. By definition, the dimension of $\ker \tau_a$ is the number of values of $k$ in the range $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$ for which $\omega^{ik} + \omega^{jk} = 1$. For such a value of $k$, we regard $\omega$ as a complex number, see Remark 5.1. Then $\omega^{ik}$, 0, and 1 form the vertices of an equilateral triangle in the complex plane. This happens if and only if $\omega^{ik}$ is a primitive 6-th root of unity and $\omega^{jk} = \omega^{-ik}$. If $\omega^{ik}$ is a primitive 6-th root of unity, then 6 divides $p - 1$. Thus $\ker \tau_a$ is trivial if $p \equiv 5 \mod 6$.

Now let $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$. The primitive 6-th roots of unity modulo $p$ are exactly the solutions of the congruence $X(1 - X) \equiv 1 \mod p$. If $2 \leq a \leq d$ is such a solution, then $p + 1 - a$ is another one. In particular, $a \not\equiv d/2 - 1$ and, thus, there is exactly one solution in the given range. It follows from $a \equiv \omega^i \mod p$ that $\omega^i$ is a primitive 6-th root of unity modulo $p$ and, thus, $1 - \omega^i \equiv \omega^{-i} \mod p$. Hence, $1 - a \equiv \omega^{-i} \mod p$ and $\tau_a$ has eigenvalues $\{\omega^{ik} + \omega^{-ik} - 1 \mid 0 \leq k \leq d - 1\}$. As above, $\omega^{ik} + \omega^{-ik} = 1$ if and only if $\omega^{ik}$ is a primitive 6-th root of unity; that is, if and only if $k$ and 6 are coprime. In $\{0, 1, \ldots, d - 1\}$ there are $d/2$ even integers and $d/6$ odd integers divisible by 3. This proves the lemma. \hfill \Box
5.14 Theorem. Let \( f: T \times T \to T \) be a surjective \( P \)-homomorphism. There is a positive integer \( e_0 = e_0(p) \) such that
\[
\text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_e)[p] = \text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_{e+d})
\]
and
\[
\text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_e) \leq C_{U_p^{(2)}}(H_i/H_{i+3d})
\]
for all \( e \geq e_0 \) and \( i \geq 0 \).

Proof. We write \( f = \sum a_{ij} F_a \) and, if \( u \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \), then \( \nu(u) \) is defined by \( u \in \mathbb{P}^{\nu(u)} \setminus \mathbb{P}^{\nu(u)+1} \) with \( \nu(0) = \infty \). First, we consider \( u \in \text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_e) \) and define \( t_a \in \mathbb{P}^2 \) by
\[
\rho_a(u) = 1 + t_a \quad (2 \leq a \leq d/2).
\]
Then \( \sum_a c_a t_a \kappa^{-e} F_a \in H_0 \), and Lemma 5.10 shows that \( c_a t_a \in \mathbb{P}^{p^*+e} \) for all \( a \); that is, \( \nu(c_a) + \nu(t_a) \geq p^* + e \). Since \( f \) is surjective, there is \( a' \) with \( \nu(c_{a'}) < 0 \); that is, \( t_{a'} \in \mathbb{P}^{p^*+e+1} \) and \( \rho_{a'}(u) = 1 + t_{a'} \). Thus, by Lemma 5.13, we can assume that \( e \) is chosen large enough (depending only on \( p \)) such that \( \nu(t_a) \geq (p-1)/4 \) for all \( a \); that is, \( p^* + dv(t_a) \geq d - 1 \). This implies that
\[
\nu(c_a + pv(t_a)) \geq p^* + e + dv(t_a) \geq e + d - 1
\]
for all \( a \); that is, \( \sum_a c_a d_a t_a F_a \in H_{e+d} \). Clearly, \( u^j \) stabilizes \( f + H_e \) and, by induction, \( \sum_a c_a t_a^j F_a \in H_e \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq p \) and \( t_a \in \mathbb{P}^2 \). Thus, we can assume that \( e \) is chosen large enough (depending only on \( p \)) such that \( \nu(t_a) \geq (p-1)/4 \) for all \( a \); that is, \( u \in C_{U_p^{(2)}}(H_i/H_{i+3d}) \) for all \( i \geq 0 \).

We now consider \( u \in \text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_{e+d}) \) and define \( t_a \in \mathbb{P}^2 \) by \( \rho_a(u) = 1 + t_a \). Note that \( \nu(t_a) + \nu(c_a) \geq p^* + e + d \) for all \( a \), and there is \( a' \) with \( \nu(t_{a'}) \geq p^* + e + d + 1 \). By Lemma 5.13, we can assume that \( e \) is chosen large enough, depending only on \( p \), such that there exists \( v \in U_p^{(2)} \) with \( v^p = u \). We define \( d_a \in \mathbb{P}^2 \) by \( \rho_a(v) = 1 + d_a \) and it follows from \( t_a = \sum_{i=1}^p \binom{p}{i} d_a^i \) that \( \nu(d_a) = \nu(t_a) - d \) for all \( a \). Thus, we can assume that \( e \) is chosen such that \( \nu(d_a) \geq 3d - p^* - 1 \) for all \( a \); that is, \( v \in C_{U_p^{(2)}}(H_i/H_{i+3d}) \) for all \( i \). Hence, if \( \sum_{a=2}^{d/2} h_a F_a \in H_i \), then \( \sum_{a=2}^{d/2} h_a d_a^j F_a \in H_{i+3dj} \) for all \( i, j \geq 0 \). If we write
\[
\sum_{a=2}^{d/2} c_a t_a F_a = g_1 + \ldots + g_p \quad \text{with} \quad g_i = \binom{p}{i} \sum_{a=2}^{d/2} c_a d_a^i F_a,
\]
then \( g_1 + \ldots + g_p \in H_{e+d} \) implies that \( g_1 \in H_{e+d} \); that is, \( \sum_a c_a d_a F_a \in H_e \). This shows that \( v \in \text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_e) \), which proves the lemma.

5.15 Corollary. The bound in Theorem 5.14 can be chosen as
\[
e_0 = d^2/2 + d(\mu + 2)
\]
where \( \mu = \max\{p_{a,k} \mid 2 \leq a \leq d/2, 2 \leq k \leq p\} \). If \( p = 5 \), then \( d^2/2 + d(\mu + 2) = 20 \).
Proof. Let \( u \in \text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_e) \) and write \( u = \exp(v_2)^{t_2} \cdots \exp(v_p)^{t_p} \) and \( \rho_a(u) = 1 + t_a \) for \( 2 \leq a \leq d/2 \). It follows as in the proof of Theorem 5.14, that there exists \( a' \) with \( a_k \equiv 0 \mod p^{v_{a',k,p^*+d+1}} \) for all \( 2 \leq k \leq p \), see Lemma 5.13. We require that
\[
v_{a',k,p^*+e+1} \geq v_{a,k,3d-p^*-1}
\]
for all \( 2 \leq k \leq p \) and \( 2 \leq a \leq d/2 \), as then \( \nu(t_a) \geq 3d - p^* - 1 \geq (p-1)/4 \) for all \( a \). A straightforward computation shows that this holds if \( e \geq e_0 \) with \( e_0 \) as in the corollary.

Now let \( u \in \text{Stab}_{U_p^{(2)}}(f + H_{e+d}) \) and write \( u = \exp(v_2)^{t_2} \cdots \exp(v_p)^{t_p} \) and \( \rho_a(u) = 1 + t_a \) for \( 2 \leq a \leq d/2 \). Again, there is \( a' \) with \( a_k \equiv 0 \mod p^{v_{a',k,p^*+e+d+1}} \) for all \( 2 \leq k \leq p \). It can be proved as above that, if \( e \geq e_0 \), then \( \nu(t_a) \geq 4d - p^* - 1 \) for all \( a \). We require that there is \( v \in U_p^{(2)} \) with \( v^p = u \); that is, \( a_k \equiv 0 \mod p \) for all \( 2 \leq k \leq p \). This holds if \( v_{a',k,p^*+e+d+1} > 1 \) for all \( 2 \leq k \leq p \) and it follows readily that this is the case if \( e \geq e_0 \). Hence, all requirements in the proof of Theorem 5.14 are satisfied if \( e \geq e_0 \). If \( p = 5 \) and \( r = 2 \), then \( p_{2,2} = p_{2,3} = p_{2,4} = 0 \) and \( p_{2,5} = 1 \); that is, \( \mu = 1 \).

5.16 Remark. In order to specify the bound \( e_0 \), one has to determine the value of \( \mu \) and, therefore, the \( p \)-adic valuations of the \( p \)-adic eigenvalues
\[\{\omega_{a,k} = \omega^{ik} + \omega^{jk} - 1 \mid 2 \leq k \leq p\}\]
of \( \tau_a \) for all \( 2 \leq a \leq d/2 \). Recall that \( i \) and \( j \) are defined by \( 1 - a \equiv r^j \mod p \) and \( a \equiv r^i \mod p \) and, thus, a necessary and sufficient condition for \( \omega_{a,k} \neq 0 \) to be a multiple of \( p \) is that \( (1-a)^k \equiv 1 - a^k \mod p \). It follows from Section 5.1.1 that
\[
\omega^i \equiv r^{ip^{n-1}} \equiv a(p^{n-1}) \mod p^n \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^j \equiv r^{jp^{n-1}} \equiv (1-a)(p^{n-1}) \mod p^n
\]
for all \( n \geq 1 \). Thus \( p^n \) divides \( \omega^{ik} + \omega^{jk} - 1 \) if and only if \( p^n \) divides \( a^{kp^{n-1}} + (1-a)kp^{n-1} - 1 \). Computer experiments lead to the conjecture that \( \mu \leq 2 \), that is, \( e_0 \leq d^2/2 + 4d \).
6 The space group of maximal class

We consider the \( p \)-adic space group \( S \) of maximal class in more detail and elaborate its connection with finite \( p \)-groups of maximal class. As a first step, we recall basic properties of \( S \) and its impact on the coclass graph \( G(p) \). Afterwards, we determine standard polycyclic presentations of an integral version of \( S \) and its nilpotent quotients. Finally, we compute some automorphism groups and second cohomology groups. The notation set up in this chapter is used throughout the rest of this thesis.

6.1 Basic definitions

Most results of this section are from [29]. If \( \theta \) is a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), then the \textbf{\( p \)-adic space group of maximal class} is defined as

\[
S = P \rtimes T
\]

where

\[
P = C_p(\theta) \quad \text{acts on} \quad T = (\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta],+)
\]

via multiplication by \( \theta \). The groups \( P \) and \( T \) are the point group and translation subgroup of \( S \), respectively. Recall that \( p \) is the ideal of \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) generated by \( \kappa = \theta - 1 \), and we define

\[
T_n = (p^{n-1},+)
\]

for all positive integers \( n \). Now let \( n \geq 2 \). It is straightforward to prove that

\[
T_n = \gamma_n(S) \quad \text{and} \quad T_n = [T_{n-1},P].
\]

The quotient \( S/T_n \) has maximal class and we write

\[
S_n = P \rtimes T/T_n.
\]

If \( n \geq 4 \), then the 2-step centralizer of \( S_n \) is \( P_1(S_n) = T/T_n \). For an integer \( e \geq 0 \) we denote by

\[
A_e = T/T_{e+1}
\]

the \( S \)-module \( T/T_{e+1} \) of order \( p^e \). Since \( T \) acts trivially on \( A_e \), the \( S \)-module \( A_e \) can also be considered as a \( P \)- and \( S_n \)-module, respectively. Thus, the groups \( S_n \) and \( P \) both act uniserially on \( A_e \) with series \( A_e > T_2/T_{e+1} > \ldots > T_{e+1}/T_{e+1} = \{0\} \).

Recall that \( d = p - 1 \) is the dimension of \( S \), that is, the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-rank of \( T \). We denote by

\[
n = \varpi d + i
\]

the decomposition with integers \( \varpi \geq 0 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq d \). The next lemma follows from the results of Section 5.1.
6.1 Lemma. Let \( n \geq 2 \) and \( e \geq 1 \) be integers and write \( n = pd + i \).

a) Multiplication by \( p \) is a \( \mathbb{P} \)-module isomorphism \( T_e \to T_{e+d} \), \( u \mapsto pu \).

b) As a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module, \( T_n \) is generated freely by

\[
\{ p^f - w_{n,1}, \ p^f \theta - w_{n,2}, \ldots, \ p^f \theta^{d-i} - w_{n,d-i+1}, \ p^{f+1} \theta^{d-i+1}, \ldots, \ p^{f+1} \theta^{d-1} \}
\]

where \( w_{n,1}, \ldots, w_{n,d-i+1} \) are certain \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linear combinations of \( \{ p^f \theta^{d-i+1}, \ldots, p^f \theta^{d-1} \} \).

c) The exponent of \( T/T_n \) is \( p^f \) if \( i = 1 \) and \( p^{f+1} \) if \( 2 \leq i \leq d \).

d) The exponent of \( T/T_n \) with \( e \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \right\rfloor \) is a divisor of \( p^f \).

Proof. We prove only part b). Parts c) and d) can be deduced from b), and part a) follows from the definition. Since \( T_n = p^f T_1 \), the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module \( T_n \) is generated freely by

\[
\{ p^f - w_{n,1}, \ p^f \theta - w_{n,2}, \ldots, \ p^f \theta^{d-i} - w_{n,d-i+1}, \ p^{f+1} \theta^{d-i+1}, \ldots, \ p^{f+1} \theta^{d-1} \}
\]

where \( w_{n,1}, \ldots, w_{n,d-i+1} \) are certain \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linear combinations of \( \{ p^f \theta^{d-i+1}, \ldots, p^f \theta^{d-1} \} \). If \( H \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module generated by the set of the lemma, then \( p^{f+1} T \leq T_n \) shows that \( H \leq T_n \), and \( |T/H| \leq |T/T_n| = p^n \) implies that \( T_n = H \).

6.2 Definition. Let \( n = pd + i \) and \( e \) be positive integers. Every element in \( T_n \) can be written uniquely as \( p^f \kappa^{-1} u \) for some \( u \in T \), and we define \( \iota_{n,e} \) as the \( \mathbb{P} \)-module isomorphism

\[
\iota_{n,e} : T_n/T_{n+e} \to A_e, \quad p^f \kappa^{-1} u + T_{n+e} \mapsto u + T_{e+1}.
\]

6.1.1 The integral version of \( S \)

As an uncountable group, the \( p \)-adic space group \( S \) cannot be described by a polycyclic presentation and, hence, we now define an integral, polycyclic version. The integral version \( S_\mathbb{Z} \) of \( S \) is defined as

\[
S_\mathbb{Z} = P \rtimes T_{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{with} \quad T_{\mathbb{Z}} = (\mathbb{Z}[\theta], +),
\]

where \( P = C_p(\theta) \) acts on \( T_{\mathbb{Z}} \) via multiplication. Again, the groups \( P \) and \( T_{\mathbb{Z}} \) are the point group and translation subgroup of \( S_\mathbb{Z} \). For \( n \geq 2 \) we write

\[
T_{\mathbb{Z},n} = \gamma_n(S_{\mathbb{Z}}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\mathbb{Z},n} = S_\mathbb{Z}/T_{\mathbb{Z},n}.
\]

Then \( T_{\mathbb{Z},n} \) is the principal ideal of \( T_{\mathbb{Z}} \) generated by \( \kappa^{n-1} \) and, as a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module, it has a generating set as given in Lemma 6.1b). The composition of the embedding \( S_{\mathbb{Z}} \to S \) and the projection \( S \to S_n \) is surjective with kernel \( T_{\mathbb{Z},n} \) and, thus, we can identify \( S_{\mathbb{Z},n} \cong S_n \).

The groups \( (S_n)_{n \geq 2} \) form an inverse system where all homomorphisms are projections. Its inverse limit is \( P \rtimes D \) where \( D \) is the inverse limit of \( (T_{\mathbb{Z}}/T_{\mathbb{Z},n})_{n \geq 2} \), that is,

\[
D = \{(t_1 + T_{\mathbb{Z},2}, t_1 + t_2 + T_{\mathbb{Z},3}, \ldots) \mid \forall i : t_i \in T_{\mathbb{Z},i}\},
\]

Recall that \( pT_{\mathbb{Z},i} = T_{\mathbb{Z},i+d} \) for all \( i \geq 2 \) and, thus, it can be shown that

\[
D \to T, \quad (t_1 + T_{\mathbb{Z},2}, t_1 + t_2 + T_{\mathbb{Z},3}, \ldots) \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 1} t_i,
\]

is a well-defined isomorphism of \( P \)-modules, that is, \( S \cong \lim_{\longleftarrow} S_n \) and \( S \) is a pro-\( p \) group. Up to isomorphism, the groups \( P, S_2, S_3, \ldots \) are all finite quotients of \( S \). This does not hold for \( S_\mathbb{Z} \); for example, if \( p = 2 \), then \( S_\mathbb{Z} \cong C_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \) has quotients which are not 2-groups.
Lemma. If $N \leq T$ is a normal subgroup of $S$ of finite index, then $N = T_j$ for some $j$.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider $N < T$. It follows from [7, Lemma 1.18] that $S/N$ is a finite $p$-group and, hence, $\gamma_l(S) = T_l \leq N$ for some $l \geq 2$. The group $N/T_l$ is a $P$-invariant subgroup of $T/T_l$ in $S_l$ and, by Lemma 3.4, there is $j \leq l$ with $N/T_l = T_j/T_l$; that is, $N = T_j$.

In summary, it seems more natural to use the $p$-adic space group $S$ instead of the integral version $S_\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, the group $S_\mathbb{Z}$ can be described by a polycyclic presentation. We use both versions, $S$ and $S_\mathbb{Z}$, according to our requirements.

Remark. It is shown in [7, Section 4.6] that every finitely generated pro-$p$ group can be described by a finite (pro-$p$) presentation with certain generators and relations. However, we do not adapt the theory presented in Chapter 4 to finitely presented pro-$p$ groups since, for our concerns, it is sufficient to switch to the polycyclic group $S_\mathbb{Z}$ if necessary.

6.2 Connection with the graph $G(p)$

As a motivation for the investigation of $S$, we recall its impact on the coclass graph $G(p)$. First, we provide a preliminary lemma, see [29, Lemma 8.2.1].

6.3 Lemma. Let $G$ be a maximal class group with positive degree of commutativity and refined central series $G > P_1 > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$. Let $s \in G \setminus P_1$ and $s_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$. If $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, or if $k = n-1$ and $s^p = (ss_1)^p = 1$, and if $u > 0$, let $A = P_u/P_{u+k}$. If $A$ is abelian and has order $p^k$, then $A$ is isomorphic to $A_k$ as a $P$-module where $\theta$ acts on $A$ by conjugation by $s P_{u+k}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, the element $s^p$ is central and so conjugation by $s$ induces an action of $\theta$ on $A$. For $i \geq 2$ we inductively define $s_i = [s_{i-1}, s] \in P_{i}$ and consider $T$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module generated by $\{\kappa^i \mid i \geq 0\}$ subject to the relations $p\kappa^j + (\kappa_1^0)\kappa^{j+1} + \ldots + (\kappa_1^{p-1})\kappa^{j+p-1} = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$. Let the mapping $f: T \to A$ be defined by

$$f: a_0 + a_1 \kappa + \ldots + a_r \kappa^r \mapsto s_u^{a_0}s_{u+1}^{a_1}\ldots s_{u+r}^{a_r}P_{u+k}$$

for all $a_0, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $r \geq k-1$. If $f$ is well-defined, then $f$ obviously is a surjection with kernel $T_{k+1}$. If $t \in T$, then $f(\theta t) = f(t + \kappa t) = f(t)^s P_{u+k}$ as $s_i^k = s_is_{i+1}$ for all $i$ and, thus, $f$ is a $P$-homomorphism. It remains to prove that $f$ is well-defined. For all $i \geq u$, it follows by induction from basic commutator identities that

$$(ss_i)^p = s^p s_i^{p}\kappa^{i}_{1}\kappa^{i+1}_{1}\ldots \kappa^{i+p-1}_{1}w$$

for some $w$ lying in the normal subgroup of $G$ generated by the set of all commutators in $\{s, s_i\}$ of weight at least 2 in $s_i$, see [29, Corollary 1.1.7]. Since $P_u/P_{u+k}$ is abelian, this normal subgroup is trivial and so is $w$. We now show that $s^{-p}(ss_i)^p \in P_{u+k}$ for all $i \geq u$. If $i > 1$, then $s_i \in P_2$ and $s^p = (ss_i)^p$ by Lemma 3.3. Now let $i = 1$ and $u = 1$. 
If $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$, then $u + k \leq n - 1$ and $s^p, (ss_1)^p \in P_{n-1} \leq P_{u+k}$ by Lemma 3.3. If $k = n - 1$, then $s^p = (ss_1)^p = 1$ is given. This shows that

$$s^p_i s_1 \cdots s_{i+p-1} \in P_{u+k}$$

for all $i \geq u$; that is, $f$ is well-defined.

The proof of the following theorem is from [29, Proposition 8.2.3], and it implies the subsequent corollary.

**6.4 Theorem.** The graph $G(p)$ consists of the cyclic group of order $p^2$ and an infinite coclass tree $T$ with root $S_2$. The tree $T$ has a unique maximal infinite path $S_2 \to S_3 \to \ldots$

*Proof.* Except for the cyclic group of order $p^2$, every maximal class group is connected in $G(p)$ to the group $S_2$. It remains to show that the descendant tree $T$ of $S_2$ has exactly one infinite path starting at $S_2$. Obviously, $S_2 \to S_3 \to \ldots$ is such a path in $T$. Let $G$ be a group in $T$ which lies on an infinite path. We assume that $|G| = p^n$ with $n > p + 1$ so that $G$ has positive degree of commutativity. Let $G > P_1 > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$ be the refined central series of $G$ and choose $s \in G \setminus P_1$ and $s_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$. Since $G$ has infinitely many descendants, it follows from Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 that $P_1$ is abelian and $s^p = (ss_1)^p = 1$ in $G$. Now we can apply Lemma 6.3 and obtain that $P_1 \cong T/T_n$ as $P$-modules where $\theta \in P$ acts on $P_1$ by conjugation by $s$. This shows that $G \cong P \ltimes T/T_n = S_n$.

**6.5 Corollary.** Let $G$ be of maximal class with refined central series $G > P_1 > \ldots > P_n = \{1\}$ where $P_1$ is abelian and $n > p + 1$.

a) If $G$ has a descendant in $G(p)$, then $G \cong S_n$.

b) If there exist $s \in G \setminus P_1$ and $s_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$ with $s^p = (ss_1)^p = 1$, then $G \cong S_n$.

Another important property of the space group $S$ is revealed in the following lemma. Recall that $T_n$ is the $n$-th body of the tree $T$, see Section 2.1.1.

**6.6 Lemma.** Every group at depth $e$ in $T_n$ with $n \geq p + 1$ is an extension of $A_e$ by $S_n$.

*Proof.* Let $G$ be a group at depth $e > 0$ in the body $T_n$ with refined central series $G > P_1 > \ldots > P_{n+e} = \{1\}$. By Theorem 3.2, the degree of commutativity $l$ of $G$ is positive and hence $P_n$ is abelian as $e \leq \epsilon_n$. Let $s \in G \setminus P_1$ be arbitrary. It follows from Lemma 6.3 that $P_n$ and $A_e$ are isomorphic as $P$-modules where $\theta \in P$ acts on $P_n$ by conjugation by $s$. Since $e \leq \epsilon_n$ and $|G| = p^{n+e}$, it can be deduced from Theorem 3.2 that $l \geq e - 1$ and, hence, $P_1/P_n$ acts trivially on $P_n$. The group $S_n$ acts on $P_n$ via $S_n \cong G/P_n$ and, thus, $P_n \cong A_e$ as $S_n$-modules.

This shows that every group at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$ with $n \geq p + 1$ can be described by a certain element of the second cohomology group $H^2(S_n, A_e)$. This is the basis for our further investigations and we determine $H^2(S_n, A_e)$ in a later section. The proof of Lemma 6.6 cannot be extended to groups at depth $e > \epsilon_n$ in the branch $B_n$, which is the reason why we restrict attention to the groups in the body $T_n$.

We conclude this paragraph with a preliminary lemma.
6.7 Lemma. Let $G$ be an extension of $A_e$ by $S_n$.

a) Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ such that $G/N$ has maximal class. If $N < A_e$ or if $N = A_e = \gamma_m(G)$ for some $m$, then $G$ has maximal class.

b) The group $G$ has maximal class if and only if $\gamma_n(G) = A_e$.

Proof. Part b) follows from part a) and Lemma 3.1. We now prove a). The group $G$ acts uniserially on $A_e$ with series $A_e = B_1 > \ldots > B_{e+1} = \{0\}$, and $N$ is a term of this series. If $N = A_e$ and $N = \gamma_m(G)$, then $G/N$ has order $p^n$ and $m = n$ as $G/N$ has maximal class.

By induction, $B_i = \gamma_{n+i-1}(G)$ and $G$ has maximal class. If $N < A_e$, then $N = B_i$ for some $i > 1$ and $\gamma_n(G/B_i) = B_1/B_i$ as $G/B_i$ has maximal class. This shows that $\gamma_n(G) \leq B_1$ and hence $\gamma_n(G) = B_1$. By induction, $\gamma_{n+j}(G) = B_{1+j}$, and $G$ has maximal class.

6.3 Standard presentations

It follows from Lemma 6.6 that every group at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$ is an extension of $A_e$ by $S_n$, and we want to use the cohomological methods described in Section 4.2.3 to examine these extensions. For this purpose, we now define standard p.c.p.s for $S_Z$ and its quotients $S_n$ for $n \geq p$. These presentations are used throughout the rest of this thesis and we use Fraktur characters to increase their recognition value. First, we fix an abstract generating set

$$S = \{g, t_1, \ldots, t_d\}$$

for the group $S_Z$ where $g$ corresponds to the generator $\theta$ of the point group $P$ and $\{t_1, \ldots, t_d\}$ corresponds to the $Z$-basis $\{1, \theta, \ldots, \theta^{d-1}\}$ of the translation subgroup $T_Z$. As a set of relations we choose

$$\mathcal{R} = \{g^p = 1, \quad t_k^l = t_k, \quad t_j^0 = t_{j+1}, \quad t_j^q = t_{j-1} \cdots t_{j-1}^{-1} \mid l < k \text{ and } j < d\}.$$ 

It is easy to see that $\langle S \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is a consistent p.c.p. describing the group $S_Z$, and we call it the standard p.c.p. of $S_Z$. If we identify $S_Z$ with the group defined by this presentation, then $S_Z$ consists of normalized words in $S$, and its point group and translation subgroup are $P = \langle g \rangle$ and $T_Z = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_d \rangle$, respectively. Note that the abelian translation subgroup is now written multiplicatively.

Let $n \geq p$. We write $n = \lfloor d \rfloor + i$ with integers $\lfloor d \rfloor \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$, and $q = p^r$. By Lemma 6.1, the quotient $S_n = S_Z/T_{Z,n}$ has a consistent p.c.p. on $S$ with defining relations

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \{g^q = 1, \quad t_k^i = t_k, \quad t_j^0 = t_{j+1}, \quad t_j^q = t_{j-1}^{i+1} \cdots t_{j-1}^{i+1} \mid l < k \text{ and } j < d\} \cup \{t_1^i = w_{n,1}, \ldots, t_{d-i+1}^i = w_{n,d-i+1}, \quad t_d^q = 1\}$$

where $w_{n,1}, \ldots, w_{n,d-i+1}$ are certain normalized words in $\{t_1^q, \ldots, t_d^q\}$, and $t_1^{i+1} \cdots t_d^{i+1}$ is the normalized word corresponding to the element $t_1^{-1} \cdots t_d^{-1}$. We call this presentation the standard p.c.p. of $S_n$.

For $e \geq 1$ we fix a consistent p.c.p. $\langle A_e \mid C_e \rangle$ of $A_e = T/T_{e+1}$ such that $S$ and $A_e$ are disjoint, and we call it the standard p.c.p. of $A_e$. If $e \geq d$, then we make use of the standard p.c.p. of $S_{e+1}$ and define $A_e = A$ where $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$ corresponds to the generating set $\{t_1, \ldots, t_d\}$ of $T_Z$ such that the relations $C_e$ correspond to a subset of $\mathcal{R}_{e+1}$.

For $e \geq 1$ let $M_e$ be the set of words in $S \cup A_e$ which consists of the conjugate relations describing the $S_Z$-module structure of $A_e$, cf. Section 4.2.3.
The next definition summarizes the definitions of $S$ and $S_Z$ which are used in this thesis. Recall that $\mathfrak{g} \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the companion matrix of $1 + X + \ldots + X^d$, see Section 5.1.4.

6.8 Definition. a) The group $S_Z$ can be regarded as $S_Z = C_p(\theta) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ or $S_Z = \langle S \mid R \rangle$.

b) We abuse notation and identify $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\{t_1, \ldots, t_d\}$ with the generator $\theta$ of $P$ and the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis $\{1, \theta, \ldots, \theta^{d-1}\}$ of $T$, respectively. Thus, $S$ is isomorphic to the group $S_{Z_n}$ with elements $\{g^{e_0}t_1^{e_1} \ldots t_d^{e_d} \mid 0 \leq e_0 < d, e_1, \ldots, e_d \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ and multiplication defined by $S$.

The group $S$ can be described as

$$S = C_p(\theta) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta], +) \quad \text{or} \quad S = C_p(\mathfrak{g}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p^d \quad \text{or} \quad S = S_{Z_p}.$$ 

In all cases, the point group $P$ and translation subgroup $T$ are defined accordingly. Unless otherwise noted, we assume that $S = S_{Z_p}$.

c) If $n \geq p$ and $e \geq d$, then $S_n$ and $A_e$ are defined as $S_n = \langle S \mid R_n \rangle$ and $A_e = \langle A \mid C_e \rangle$.

If $n \geq p$, then every word in $S$ can be considered as an element of $S$, $S_Z$, and $S_n$, respectively, and we have to make sure that there is no risk of confusion, cf. Remark 4.1. For example, the word $g^{-1}t_dg$ can be considered as

$$g^{-1}t_dg = t_1^{-1} \ldots t_d^{-1} \quad \text{in } S \quad \text{and in } S_Z, \quad \text{and} \quad g^{-1}t_dg = t_d^{n+1} \cdots t_d^{n+d} \quad \text{in } S_n.$$ 

An advantage of this description is the existence of canonical transversals $S_n \rightarrow S$ and $S_n \rightarrow S_Z$ which map a normalized word $s \in S_n$ onto $s \in S$ and $s \in S_Z$, respectively.

Recall that the $\mathbb{Z}_pP$-module structure on $T$ now is given by $t \sum_{i=0}^d a_i \theta^i = \prod_{i=0}^d (t \theta)^{a_i}$.

6.3.1 The groups $\{S_{n+kd} \mid k \geq 0\}$

We show that the groups in $\{S_{n+kd} \mid k \geq 0\}$ with $n \geq p$ can be described by a single parameterized presentation with one integer parameter, that is, by a group presentation whose defining relations have exponents which are arithmetic expressions containing an indeterminate integer as parameter. First, we need some more notation.

If $w$ is a word in a finite alphabet $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$, then we write $w = w(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ to emphasize the alphabet. If $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ is some other alphabet, then $w(b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ arises from $w$ by replacing every occurrence of $a_i$ in $w$ by $b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

6.9 Remark. We consider the defining relations in $R_n$. Then $w_{n,j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq d - i + 1$ can be written as

$$w_{n,j} = w_{n,j}(p_{d-i+2}, \ldots, p_d^i)$$ 

and, for $k \geq 0$, the word $w_{n+kd,j}$ is given by $w_{n+kd,j} = w_{n,j}(p_{d-i+2}^{s+k}, \ldots, p_{d}^{s+k})$.

By definition, the exponents $l_{n,1}, \ldots, l_{n,d}$ are all congruent to -1 modulo $p^x$ and, by induction, for all $1 \leq j \leq d$ the value of $l_{n+kd,j}$ is

$$l_{n+kd,j} = p^k(l_{n,j} + 1) - 1.$$ 

A proof is straightforward, but technical, and for details we refer to Section A.1.1. Using these equalities, one can parameterize the relations in $R_n$ with the integer $k \geq 0$ to describe the relations in $R_{n+kd}$. This shows that the groups in $\{S_{n+kd} \mid k \geq 0\}$ can be described by a single parameterized presentation with parameter $k$. 

A consequence of Remark 6.9 is the following.

6.10 Remark. If \( e \geq d \), then the groups in \( \{ A_{e+kd} \mid k \geq 0 \} \) can be described by a single parameterized presentation with parameter \( k \). Analogously, the sets in \( \{ M_{e+kd} \mid k \geq 0 \} \), which describe the \( S_2 \)-module structures on \( A_{e+kd} \) for \( k \geq 0 \), can be described by a parameterization with parameter \( k \).

6.11 Remark. Let \( e \geq d \) and denote by \( \pi_k \): \( T_2 \rightarrow A_{e+kd} \) the projection. If \( u \in T_2 \) then there exists \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that the elements in \( \{ \pi_k(u) \mid k \geq k_0 \} \) can be described by a parameterized word with parameter \( k \).

Example. We consider \( p = e = 5 \) and \( n = 6 \). Let \( k \geq 0 \) and \( q = 5^k \).

a) The standard p.c.p. of \( S_6 \) has generators \( S = \{ 0, t_1, \ldots, t_4 \} \) and relations

\[
\mathcal{R}_6 = \{ t_j^5 = t_j, t_j^q = t_{j+1}, t_j^q = t_j^{1/4}t_4^q \mid i < j \text{ and } l < 4 \} \cup \{ 0^5 = 1, t_1^5 = t_4^5, t_2^5 = t_3^5, t_3^5 = t_4^5, t_4^5 = 1 \}.
\]

The standard p.c.p. of \( S_{6+4k} \) has generating set \( S \) and relations

\[
\mathcal{R}_{6+4k} = \{ t_j^5 = t_j, t_j^q = t_{j+1}, t_j^q = t_j^{1/4}t_4^q \mid i < j \text{ and } l < 4 \} \cup \{ 0^5 = 1, t_1^5 = t_4^5, t_2^5 = t_3^5, t_3^5 = t_4^5, t_4^5 = 25^1 = 1 \}.
\]

b) The standard p.c.p. of \( A_{5+4k} \) has generators \( A = \{ a_1, \ldots, a_4 \} \) and relations

\[
\mathcal{C}_{5+4k} = \{ a_j^5 = a_j, a_1^5 = a_4^5, a_2^5 = a_3^5, a_3^5 = a_1^5, a_4^5 = 1 \mid i < j \}.
\]

The set \( M_{5+4k} \) is defined by

\[
\{ a_i^l = a_i, a_i^q = a_{i+1}, a_i^q = a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}a_3^{-1}a_4^{-1} \mid l < 4 \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq 4 \}.
\]

c) Let \( \pi_k \): \( T_2 \rightarrow A_{5+4k} \) be the projection. If \( u = t_1^{e_1}t_2^{e_2}t_3^{e_3}t_4^{e_4} \in T_2 \) with \( e_1, \ldots, e_4 > 0 \), then

\[
\pi_k(u) = t_1^{e_1}t_2^{e_2}t_3^{e_3}t_4^{20q - e_4}
\]

for all \( k \geq \min\{ i \mid 5^{i+1} \geq e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4/4 \} \).

6.4 Automorphism groups

The automorphism group of \( S \) plays an important role in further investigations and therefore is examined in this section. For this purpose, we consider \( S \) as the split extension of its translation subgroup \( T \) by its point group \( P \) and use the cohomological methods described in Chapter 4.

6.4.1 The automorphism group of \( S \)

Recall that the ring automorphism \( \sigma_j \): \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) with \( p \nmid j \) is defined by \( \theta \rightarrow \theta^{j \mod p} \), and every unit \( u \in U_p \) acts on \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\theta] \) via multiplication. We transfer these actions to elements of \( \text{Aut}(T) \) for all three descriptions of \( S \), see Definition 6.8.
The group of 1-cocycles $Z^1(P,T)$ consists of the mappings $\delta_t : P \to T$ with $t \in T$ where

$$\delta_t : P \to T, \quad g^i \mapsto \tau_i^{-1}. $$

Note that $\bar{\tau_i}^{-1}$ is a unit if $p \nmid i$, see Section A.1.2.

**6.12 Theorem.** The automorphisms of $S$ are $\{\alpha(j,c,t) \mid 1 \leq j \leq d, c \in U_p, t \in T\}$ where

$$\alpha(j,c,t) : S \to S, \quad g^i a \mapsto g^j \sigma_j(a) \delta_i(g^j) \quad (0 \leq l \leq d, a \in T).$$

**Proof.** For the proof, we regard $S = P \times T$ with $P = C_p(\mathfrak{G})$ and $T = \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ as in Definition 6.8. The group $T$ is characteristic in $S$ and we consider the homomorphism

$$\phi : \text{Aut}(S) \to \text{Aut}(P) \times \text{Aut}(T), \quad \alpha \mapsto (\alpha|_P, \alpha|_T),$$

with $\text{Aut}(P) \cong C_d$ and $\text{Aut}(T) = GL(d, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. For $t \in T$ let the automorphism $\alpha_t : S \to S$ be defined by $\alpha_t|_T = \text{id}_T$ and $\alpha_t : (\mathfrak{G}, s) \mapsto (\mathfrak{G}, s + t(\mathfrak{G}^0 + \ldots + \mathfrak{G}^{j-1}))$ if $1 \leq i \leq d$. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that

$$\ker \phi = \{\alpha_t : S \to S \mid t \in T\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{im} \phi = \text{Comp}(P,T),$$

and a preimage of $(\alpha, N) \in \text{Comp}(P,T)$ under $\phi$ is given by $S \to S$, $(\mathfrak{G}, s) \mapsto (\mathfrak{G}, s + t(\mathfrak{G}^0 + \ldots + \mathfrak{G}^{j-1}))$. Now the theorem follows from Lemma 5.3 and a translation to the group $S$ defined as $S = S_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, see Definition 6.8. \hfill \Box

**Remark.** a) It follows from the definition that

$$(\alpha(j,1,1) \mid 1 \leq j \leq d) \cong \mathcal{G}(Q_p(\theta)/Q_p),$$

$$(\alpha(1,u,1) \mid u \in U_p) \cong U_p,$$ and

$$(\alpha(1,1,t) \mid t \in T) \cong Z^1(P,T).$$

Using the group actions defined implicitly in Theorem 6.12, the group $\text{Aut}(S)$ is of the isomorphism type $\mathcal{G}(Q_p(\theta)/Q_p) \rtimes [U_p \rtimes Z^1(P,T)]$.

b) The inverse of $\alpha(j,c,t)$ is $\alpha(l, \sigma_l(c)^{-1}, \sigma_l(\delta_{l-1}(\mathfrak{G}^j))^{-1})$ with $l = j^{-1} \mod p$.

**Corollary.** The inner automorphisms of $S$ are $\{\alpha(1,c,t) \mid c \in C_p(\theta), t \in T_2\}$.

**Proof.** Let $g^j t, g^j s \in S$ be arbitrary with $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ and $s, t \in T$. If $i > 0$, then

$$(g^i s)^{g^j t} = g^j s^{\theta^j(t^{-1})^{\theta^{i-1}}} = g^j s^{\theta^j(t^{-1})^{1+\theta+\ldots+\theta^{i-1}}},$$

and conjugation with $g^j t$ induces the automorphism $\alpha(1, \theta^j, t^{1-\theta})$ with $t^{1-\theta} \in T_2$. \hfill \Box
6.4.2 The automorphism group of $S_n$

6.13 Theorem. If $n \geq \max\{4, p\}$, then $\text{Aut}(S) \to \text{Aut}(S_n)$, $\alpha \mapsto \alpha|_{S_n}$, is surjective.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S_n)$ and let $\tau : S_n \to S$ be the transversal which maps a normalized word $s \in S_n$ onto $s \in S$. The 2-step centralizer $P_1(S_n) = T/T_n$ is characteristic in $S_n$ and, thus, $\alpha(g) = g^a$ for some $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $a \in T/T_n$. The automorphism

$$\alpha(j, 1, \tau(a)(1^{\theta_1} + \ldots + \theta^{j-1}))|_{S_n} \circ \alpha^{-1}$$

fixes $g \in S_n$, and we can assume that $\alpha(g) = g$ and $\alpha(t) = c$ for some $c \in T/T_n$. Note that there exists $e \in Z_p[\theta]$ such that $c$ can be written as $c = t_1^e$. Since $t_1 = t_1^{e l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq d$, this implies that $\alpha|_{T/T_n}$ is the multiplication with $eT_n$, and so $e \notin T_2$. Hence, $e \in U_p$ and $\alpha(g^t) = g^t e$ proves that $\alpha = \alpha(1, e, 1)|_{S_n}$. \hfill $\Box$

We remark that Theorem 6.13 holds for all $n \geq 4$. However, we restrict attention to $n \geq p$ as our proof uses the standard p.c.p. of $S_n$ which we have only defined for $n \geq p$.

Corollary. If $n \geq \max\{4, p\}$, then the following hold.

a) $\alpha(j, c, t)|_{S_n} = \text{id}_{S_n}$ if and only if $j = 1$, $c \in U_p^{(n-1)}$, and $t \in T_n$.

b) $\text{Aut}(S_n) = \{\alpha|_{S_n} : S_n \to S_n \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)\}$ and $|\text{Aut}(S_n)| = (p - 1)^2 p^{2n-3}$.

6.5 Cohomology

Using the methods developed in Section 4.2.3, we now compute the cohomology groups $H^2(S_z, A_e)$ and $H^2(S_n, A_e)$ for $n \geq p + 1$ and $1 \leq e \leq t_n$. First, we recall the relevant definitions and introduce some more notation.

Recall that $S_z$ and $S_n$ are the groups defined by their standard p.c.p.s $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ and $\langle S \mid R_n \rangle$, respectively, with generating set $S = \{g, t_1, \ldots, t_d\}$. Since we restrict attention to these presentations, we adopt the notation of a tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$. By definition, a tail vector $x$ of $S_n$ in $A_e$ is a list $x = (x_r)_{r \in R_n}$ of elements in $A_e$. Now, if $r \in R_n$ is the conjugate relation of $t_1^0$ or $t_1^i$, then we write $x_{0,j} = x_r$ and $x_{i,j} = x_r$, respectively. The tails of the power relations of $g, t_1, \ldots, t_d$ are denoted by $x_{0,0}, \ldots, x_{d,d}$. Hence, from now on we write $(x_{i,j}) = (x_{i,j})_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq d}$ for $(x_r)_{r \in R_n}$ and, in a similar way, we treat a tail vector of $S$ in $A_e$.

Recall that $A_e = \langle A_e \mid C_e \rangle$ and the set $M_e$ contains the conjugate relations describing the $S_n$-action on $A_e$. The following definition describes the extension defined by a tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$, cf. Sections 4.2.3 and 6.3.

6.14 Definition. Let $x = (x_{i,j})_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq d}$ be a list of elements in $A_e$. Then $E(x)$ is the polycyclic presentation with generating set $S \cup A_e$ and relations

$$\{t_k^i = t_k x_{i,k}, \ t_1^0 = t_{j+1} x_{0,j}, \ t_1^i = t_1^{n-1} \ldots t_1^d x_{0,d} \mid l < k \text{ and } j < d\} \cup \{g^e = x_{0,0}, \ t_1^0 = w_{n,j} x_{j,j}, \ t_1^{j+1} = x_{l,l} \mid j \leq d - 1 < l\} \cup C_e \cup M_e.$$

The group defined by $E(x)$ is denoted by $E(x)$. 
6.15 Remark. By the construction in Section 4.2.3, the tail vector $x_\gamma = (x_{i,j})$ defined by the 2-cocycle $\gamma \in Z^2(S_n, A_e)$ consists of the tails

$$x_{0,0} = \prod_{i=1}^d \gamma(g, g^i) = \prod_{i=1}^d \gamma(g^i, g)^{d-i},$$

$$x_{i,j} = \prod_{k=1}^{d-i} \gamma(t_k^i, t_k)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$ with $q = \text{reloid}(t_i)$,

$$x_{i,j} = \gamma(t_i, t_j)\gamma(t_i, t_j)^{-1}$$

for $0 < i < j < p$, and

$$x_{0,i} = \gamma(t_i, g)\gamma(g, t_i^0)^{-1}$$

for $0 < i < p$.

For example, $x_{i,j}$ with $0 < i < j < p$ is defined by $(t_i, 1)(t_j, x_{i,j}) = (t_j, 1)(t_i, 1)$ in $E(\gamma)$.

6.16 Remark. Let $\gamma \in Z^2(S_n, T_n/T_{n+e})$ be a 2-cocycle defining an extension $E(\gamma)$ of $T_n/T_{n+e}$ by $S_n$. We use the $\mathbb{P}$-module isomorphism $\iota_{n,e} : T_n/T_{n+e} \to A_e$ of Definition 6.2 to obtain a 2-cocycle $\gamma' = t_{n,e} \circ \gamma$ of $S_n$ with coefficients in $A_e$. The extensions $E(\gamma)$ and $E(\gamma')$ are isomorphic via $(s,a) \mapsto (s, \iota_{n,e}(a))$, and $E(\gamma') \cong E(x_{\gamma'})$ by Lemma 4.6. Thus, every extension of $T_n/T_{n+e}$ by $S_n$ can be described by a tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$.

6.5.1 The second cohomology of $S_Z$

For an $S_Z$-module $A$ with $T_Z$ acting trivially on $A$ we write $A^{S_Z} = A^P$ for the subgroup of fixed points under this action.

6.17 Lemma. If $A$ is an $S_Z$-module with $T_Z$ acting trivially on $A$, then

$$H^2(S_Z, A) \cong (A^P)^3 \times A^{d/2-1}.$$

Proof. Using the methods described in Section 4.2.3, that is, standard presentations and consistency checks, it is straightforward, but technical, to prove that

$$Z(S_Z, A) \cong (A^P)^3 \times A^{d/2-1} \times B(S_Z, A) \quad \text{with} \quad B(S_Z, A) \cong A^{d-1}.$$

A detailed proof is given in Section A.1.3. □

Remark. An analysis of the proof of Lemma 6.17 shows that the tail vectors $(x_{i,j})$ lying in $Z(S_Z, A)$ can be parameterized as follows. The elements

- $x_{1,3}, \ldots, x_{1,d/2+1} \in A$,
- $x_{0,1}, \ldots, x_{0,d-1} \in A$,
- and $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in A^P$

can be chosen arbitrarily and determine

- $x_{0,0} = k_1$,
- $x_{1,2} = k_2 \prod_{k=3}^{d/2+1} x_{1,k}^{\alpha_k}$ with $\alpha_k = g + \ldots + g^{p-k}$,
- $x_{1,i} = x_{1,d/2+1}^{i-1}$ for $d/2 + 2 \leq i \leq d$,
- $x_{i,j} = x_{1,d-3+i}^{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i < j \leq d$, and
- $x_{0,d} = k_3 \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{0,k}^{\beta_k}$ with $\beta_k = 1 + g + \ldots + g^{d-k}$.

A complement to $B(S_Z, A)$ in $Z(S_Z, A)$ is generated by the tail vectors with trivial tails $x_{0,1}, \ldots, x_{0,d-1}$.
6.5.2 Bounding the order of \( H^2(S_n, A_e) \)

Recall that \( n \geq p + 1 \) and \( 1 \leq e \leq \varepsilon_n \). The point group \( P \) of \( S_n \) acts on a 1-cocycle \( \gamma \in Z^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \) via

\[
\gamma^\theta: T_{Z,n} \to A_e, \quad t \mapsto \gamma(t^\theta)^{-1},
\]

and this action gives rise to an action on the first cohomology group \( H^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \), cf. Section 4.2.1. The group of fixed points under this action is denoted by \( H^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e)^P \).

We start with a preliminary lemma.

6.18 Lemma. \( H^1(S_n, A_e) \cong H^1(S_n, A_e) \) and \( H^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e)^P = \text{Hom}_P(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \cong A_n \).

Proof. We write \( A = A_e \). If \( \gamma \in Z^1(S_n, A) \), then \( \gamma(gt) = \gamma(g)\sum_{k=0}^{t-1}g^k(t) \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) and \( t \in T_Z \), and the restriction \( \gamma|\mathcal{T}_Z \) is a homomorphism. In particular, it follows from \( \gamma(g^ijs^\theta t) = \gamma(g^i)(s)^\theta \gamma(g)t \) for \( s, t \in T_Z \) that \( \gamma|\mathcal{T}_Z \) is a \( P \)-homomorphism; that is, the values \( \gamma(g) \) and \( \gamma(t) \) describe \( \gamma \) completely. Conversely, if \( a, b \in A \) and \( f \in \text{Hom}_P(T_Z, A) \) is defined by \( f(t_1) = b \), then a 1-cocycle \( \gamma_{a,b} \in Z^1(S_n, A) \) is defined by

\[
\gamma_{a,b}: S_n \to A, \quad g^lt \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{t-1}g^k(f(t)) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d, \ t \in T_Z).
\]

The mapping \( A \times A \to Z^1(S_n, A) \), \( (a, b) \mapsto \gamma_{a,b} \), is an isomorphism.

Every \( a \in A \) defines a \( P \)-homomorphism \( f_a: T_Z \to A \) via \( t_1 \mapsto a \). If \( s \in T_{Z,n} \) with \( s = t^{(\theta-1)^{-1}} \) for some \( t \in T_Z \), then \( T_{Z,n} \leq T_{Z,e+1} \) implies that \( f_a(s) = f_a(t)^{(\theta-1)^{-1}} = 1 \), and \( f_a \) induces a \( P \)-homomorphism \( T_z/T_{Z,n} \to A \); that is, \( Z^1(S_{Z,n}, T_z) \cong A \times A \) follows as above. Now it is easy to deduce that \( H^1(S_n, A_e) \cong H^1(S_{Z,n}, A_e) \). Note that \( H^1(T_{Z,n}, A) = \text{Hom}(T_{Z,n}, A) \) and \( H^1(T_{Z,n}, A)^P = \text{Hom}_P(T_{Z,n}, A) \cong A \) as shown above.

A sequence \( M_1 \rightrightarrows M_2 \rightrightarrows \ldots \) of groups \( M_1, M_2, \ldots \) and group homomorphisms \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots \) is exact, if \( \ker \alpha_{j+1} = \text{im} \alpha_j \) for all \( j \geq 1 \). We now use an exact sequence of cohomology groups to prove an upper bound for the order of \( H^2(S_n, A_e) \). For this purpose, we introduce some more notation, cf. [66, Section 11.8].

6.19 Definition. a) Let \( \tau: S_n \to S_Z \) be the transversal which maps a normalized word \( s \in S_n \) onto \( \tau(s) = s \), and define \( \gamma: S_n \times S_n \to T_{Z,n} \) as \( (u, v) \mapsto \tau(uv)^{-1} \tau(u) \tau(v) \). The transgression homomorphism \( \text{tr}: \text{Hom}_P(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \to H^2(S_n, A_e) \) is defined as

\[
\text{tr}: f \mapsto f \circ \gamma + B^2(S_n, A_e).
\]

b) For \( i = 1, 2 \) the restriction homomorphism \( \text{res}: H^i(S_n, A_e) \to H^i(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \) is defined as

\[
\text{res}: \delta + B^i(S_n, A_e) \mapsto \delta' + B^i(T_{Z,n}, A_e),
\]

where \( \delta' \) is the restriction of \( \delta \) to \( T_{Z,n} \) and \( T_{Z,n} \times T_{Z,n} \), respectively.

c) For \( i = 1, 2 \) the inflation homomorphism \( \text{inf}: H^i(S_n, A_e) \to H^i(S_Z, A_e) \) is defined as

\[
\text{inf}: \delta + B^i(S_n, A_e) \mapsto \delta' + B^i(S_Z, A_e),
\]

where \( \delta' = \delta \circ \pi \) and \( \delta' = \delta \circ (\pi, \pi) \), respectively, with \( \pi: S_Z \to S_n \) the projection.

These homomorphisms clearly depend on the groups \( S_n \) and \( A_e \). However, we omit corresponding labels whenever domain and codomain of these mappings follow from the context.
6.20 Theorem. The sequence \(0 \rightarrow H^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e)^P \xrightarrow{\inf} H^2(S_n, A_e)\) is exact.

Proof. By [66, Theorem 11.9.1], the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence yields an exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow H^1(S_n, A_e) \xrightarrow{\inf} H^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \xrightarrow{\res} H^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e)^P \xrightarrow{\inf} H^2(S_n, A_e) \xrightarrow{\inf} H^2(T_{Z}, A_e).
\]

It follows from Lemma 6.18 that \(\inf: H^1(S_n, A_e) \rightarrow H^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e)\) is an isomorphism, that is, \(\res = 0\), which proves the theorem. 

The following corollary is a consequence of Lemmas 6.18 and 6.17 and Theorem 6.20.

6.21 Corollary. The order of \(H^2(S_n, A_e)\) is at most \(|A_e|^{p^2/2}|A_e|^{d/2} = p^{e d/2+3}\).

6.5.3 The second cohomology of \(S_n\)

Again, let \(n \geq p + 1\) and \(1 \leq e \leq \ell\) in this paragraph. We define certain subgroups of \(H^2(S_n, A_e)\) and, as a first step, we determine the group of coboundary tail vectors.

6.22 Lemma. The tail vector \(x = (x_{i,j})\) of \(S_n\) in \(A_e\) is a coboundary tail vector if and only if \(x_{0,1}, \ldots, x_{0,d-1} \in A_e\) and \(x_{0,d} = \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{0,k}^{-\beta_k}\) with \(\beta_k = 1 + g + \cdots + g^{d-k}\), and all other tails are trivial; that is,

\[
B(S_n, A_e) \cong A_e^{d-1}.
\]

Proof. Consistency checks as in the proof of Lemma 6.17 show that every coboundary tail vector of \(S_n\) in \(A_e\) is of the type as in the lemma and, conversely, every list of tails as given in the lemma lies in \(B(S_n, A_e)\). For these consistency checks, note that \(t^0_a = t^{1,n,1} \cdots t^{n,1}_{d}\) in \(S_n\) and \(d^{n,j} = a^{-1}\) in \(A_e\) for all \(a \in A_e\) and \(1 \leq j \leq d\), see Remark 6.9 and Lemma 6.1. 

For a \(P\)-homomorphism \(f: T/T_n \wedge T/T_n \rightarrow A_e\) let the 2-cocycle \(\Gamma_f: S_n \times S_n \rightarrow A_e\) be defined as

\[
\Gamma_f: (g^i a, g^j b) \mapsto f(a^{g^i} \wedge b)^{1/2} \quad (a, b \in T/T_n).
\]

If \(\Gamma_f \in B^2(S_n, A)\) and \(a, b \in T/T_n\), then \(\Gamma_f(a \wedge b) = \Gamma_f(b \wedge a)\) and, thus, \(f(a \wedge b) = 1\). Hence, there is an embedding

\[
\Hom_P(T/T_n \wedge T/T_n, A_e) \rightarrow H^2(S_n, A_e), \quad f \mapsto \Gamma_f + B^2(S_n, A_e).
\]

We now use Lemma 5.8 and consider a \(P\)-homomorphism \(T \wedge T \rightarrow A_e\) as a \(P\)-homomorphism \(T/T_n \wedge T/T_n \rightarrow A_e\), and vice versa.

6.23 Definition. a) If \(f: T \wedge T \rightarrow A_e\) is a \(P\)-homomorphism, then \(x_f = x_{\Gamma_f}\) denotes the tail vector of \(S_n\) in \(A_e\) defined by the 2-cocycle \(\Gamma_f\), cf. Remark 6.15.

b) The group

\[
H(n,e) = \{ x_f \mid f \in \Hom_P(T \wedge T, A_e) \}
\]

consists of the hom tail vectors of \(S_n\) in \(A_e\).

6.24 Lemma. The hom tail vector \(x_f = (x_{i,j})\) satisfies \(x_{i,j} = 1\) if \(i = 0\) or \(i = j\), and \(x_{i,j} = f(t_j \wedge t_i)\) if \(1 \leq i < j \leq d\). The group of hom tail vectors is of the type

\[
H(n,e) \cong C_p \times A_e^{(p-3)/2}.
\]

Proof. This follows from the definition, cf. Remark 6.15 and Corollary 5.6. 

By Theorem 6.20, the transgression homomorphism
\[
\text{tr}: \text{Hom}_P(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \to H^2(S_n, A_e), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \gamma + B^2(S_n, A_e),
\]
is an embedding where \( \gamma \in Z^2(S_n, T_{Z,n}) \) is defined by \( \gamma(g, h) = \tau(gh)^{-1}\tau(g)\tau(h) \) and \( \tau: S_n \to S_Z \) is the canonical transversal with \( \tau(s) = s \). Recall that \( x_{f,\gamma} \) is the tail vector defined by the 2-cocycle \( f \circ \gamma \), cf. Remark 6.15.

**Definition.** The group of mainline tail vectors of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) is defined as
\[
M(n, e) = \{ x_{f,\gamma} \mid f \in \text{Hom}_P(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \}.
\]

**6.25 Lemma.** The mainline tail vector \( x_{f,\gamma} = (x_{i,j}) \) satisfies \( x_{i,j} = 1 \) if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq d \) or if \( i = 0 \) and \( j < d \). If \( x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{d,d} \) are trivial, then \( x_{0,d} \) is trivial as well. The group of mainline tail vectors is of the type
\[
M(n, e) \cong A_e.
\]

**Proof.** By Theorem 6.20, the group \( M(n, e) \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Hom}_P(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \cong A_e \). Let \( x = (x_{i,j}) \) be the mainline tail vector defined by \( f \in \text{Hom}_P(T_{Z,n}, A_e) \). By Remark 6.15, the tail \( x_{i,j} \) is trivial if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq d \) or if \( i = 0 \) and \( j < d \). If \( n = xd + i \) with integers \( x \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq d \), then
\[
\begin{align*}
x_{i,i} &= f(w_i) \quad \text{with} \quad w_i = t_i^{-1}w_{i+1}^{-1} \in T_{Z,n} \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i \leq d - i + 1, \\
x_{j,j} &= f(w_j) \quad \text{with} \quad w_j = t_j^{-1}w_{j+1}^{-1} \in T_{Z,n} \quad \text{if} \quad d - i + 2 \leq j \leq d,
\end{align*}
\]
where the inverses of \( w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{d-i+1} \) are computed in \( T_Z \). The tail \( x_{0,d} \) is
\[
x_{0,d} = f(w) \quad \text{with} \quad w = t_1^{-1}t_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots t_d^{-1} \in T_{Z,n},
\]
and a straightforward, but technical, computation shows that \( w = w_1^{-1} \cdots w_d^{-1}u \) for some word \( u \) in \( \{w_{d-i+2}, \ldots, w_d\} \); for a detailed proof we refer to Section A.1.4. This implies that \( x_{0,d} = x_{1,1} \cdots x_{d,d}f(u) \) which proves the lemma. \( \square \)

**Definition.** The group of twig tail vectors of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) is defined as
\[
T(n, e) = \{ (x_{i,j}) \mid x_{0,0}, x_{0,d} \in A_e^P \text{ and } x_{i,j} = 1 \text{ if } (i, j) \notin \{(0,0),(0,d)\} \}.
\]

Consistency checks as in the proof of Lemma 6.17 prove the following lemma.

**Lemma.** The elements of \( T(n, e) \) are tail vectors of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \), and \( T(n, e) \cong C^2_p \).

We have defined four subgroups of \( Z(S_n, A_e) \) and the following theorem shows that these subgroups suffice to describe \( Z(S_n, A_e) \) completely.

**6.26 Theorem.** The group \( Z(S_n, A_e) \) of tail vectors of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) can be written as
\[
Z(S_n, A_e) = B(S_n, A_e) \oplus M(n, e) \oplus H(n, e) \oplus T(n, e),
\]
and so
\[
H^2(S_n, A_e) \cong C^3_p \rtimes A_e^{(p-1)/2}.
\]

**Proof.** By construction, the sum of the right side of the equation is a direct sum and a subgroup of \( Z(S_n, A_e) \) of order \( p^{(3d/2-1)+3} \). By Corollary 6.21 and Lemmas 4.7 and 6.22, the order of \( Z(S_n, A_e) \) is at most \( p^{(3d/2-1)+3} \). \( \square \)
6.27 Remark. The results of the previous paragraphs show that the exact sequence of Theorem 6.20 splits; that is,

\[ H^2(S_n, A_e) \cong H^1(T_{Z,n}, A_e)^P \times H^2(S_Z, A_e), \]

and a splitting \( H^2(S_Z, A_e) \rightarrow H^2(S_n, A_e) \) in terms of tail vectors is given by \((x_{i,j}) \mapsto (y_{i,j})\) where \(y_{i,j} = x_{i,j}\) if \((i, j) \notin \{(1, 1), \ldots, (d, d)\}\) and \(y_{j,j} = 1\) for all \(1 \leq j \leq d\).

6.5.4 Notation

First, we introduce a set of canonical coset representatives of \(B(S_n, A_e)\) in \(\mathcal{Z}(S_n, A_e)\).

6.28 Remark. It is well-known that two 2-cocycles which differ by a 2-coboundary define isomorphic extensions, and the same holds for two tail vectors which differ by a coboundary tail vector. Thus, we consider \(\mathcal{Z}(S_n, A_e)/B(S_n, A_e)\) and, from now on, we identify

\[ \mathcal{Z}(S_n, A_e)/B(S_n, A_e) = M(n,e) \oplus H(n,e) \oplus T(n,e), \]

where the direct sum on the right side is a complement to \(B(S_n, A_e)\) in \(\mathcal{Z}(S_n, A_e)\), see Theorem 6.26. In particular, we assume that every tail vector \((x_{i,j})\) of \(S_n\) in \(A_e\) has trivial coboundary tails \(x_{0,1}, \ldots, x_{0,d-1}\). Hence, if \(G\) is a maximal class group at depth \(e\) in the body \(T_n\), then there exists a tail vector \(x \in M(n,e) \oplus H(n,e) \oplus T(n,e)\) such that \(G \cong E(x)\).

Following the convention made in Remark 6.28, every tail vector \(x\) of \(S_n\) in \(A_e\) can be written uniquely as

\[ x = t + h + m \]

where \(t \in T(n,e)\), \(h \in H(n,e)\), and \(m \in M(n,e)\). We call \(t\), \(h\), and \(m\) the twig, hom, and mainline component of \(x\), respectively.

The aim of the following chapter is to determine the tail vectors of \(S_n\) in \(A_e\) which define the groups in the body \(T_n\). For this discussion, a more subtle notation is required. In particular, the following subgroups and subsets of \(\mathcal{Z}(S_n, A_e)\) play an important role.

6.29 Definition. a) Recall that the group of hom tail vectors \(H(n,e)\) consists of the tail vectors \(x_f\) with \(f \in \text{Hom}_P(T \land T, A_e)\). We now define

\[ \hat{H}(n,e) = \{x_f \in H(n,e) \mid f \text{ surjective}\}, \]

\[ L(n,e) = \{x_f \in H(n,e) \mid f \text{ liftable to a } P\text{-homomorphism } T \land T \rightarrow T\}, \]

\[ \hat{L}(n,e) = \hat{H}(n,e) \cap L(n,e). \]

b) Adding the group of twig tail vectors, we define

\[ \text{TH}(n,e) = T(n,e) \oplus H(n,e) \text{ and} \]

\[ \hat{\text{TH}}(n,e) = T(n,e) \oplus \hat{H}(n,e) \text{ as sets if } e \geq 2, \text{ and, } \hat{\text{TH}}(n,1) = \text{TH}(n,1) \setminus \{0\}. \]

Using Remark 6.28, these subsets are considered as subsets of \(\mathcal{Z}(S_n, A_e)/B(S_n, A_e)\).
The following corollary is a consequence of Corollaries 5.6 and 5.7.

6.30 Corollary. The group $H(n, e)$ of hom tail vectors can be written as

$$H(n, e) = L(n, e) \oplus H_t(n, e)$$

where $L(n, e) \cong A^{d/2-1}_e$ and $H_t(n, e) \cong C_p$ is generated by $x_j^2$, see Definition 5.5.

The subscript ‘t’ indicates that the elements of $H_t(n, e)$ have similar properties as the twig tail vectors of $S_n$ in $A_e$; we comment on these properties the following chapter. For $e \geq 2$, Figure 6.1 depicts the subset and superset relations between the subgroups and subsets defined in this paragraph.

![Figure 6.1: Subgroups and subsets of $Z(S_n, A_e)$.](image-url)
7 Cohomological description of maximal class groups

The aim of this chapter is to describe the groups in the bodies $T_{p+1}, T_{p+2}, \ldots$ of the coclass tree of $G(p)$ using cohomology. It is proved in Lemma 6.6 that every group at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$ is an extension of the $S$-module $A_e$ by the mainline group $S_n$, and these extensions can be described by elements of the second cohomology group $H^2(S_n, A_e)$. We have seen that

$$H^2(S_n, A_e) \cong \mathbb{Z}(S_n, A_e)/B(S_n, A_e) = T(n, e) \oplus H(n, e) \oplus M(n, e)$$

for $n \geq p+1$ and $1 \leq e \leq e_n$, where the equation on the right side follows from the conventions made in Remark 6.28. The representation of the second cohomology group as a group of tail vectors allows an explicit construction of the extensions defined by their elements: For a tail vector $x$ of $S_n$ in $A_e$ the corresponding extension $E(x)$ is the group defined by the presentation $E(x)$, see Definition 6.14. The definition of this presentation depends on the standard p.c.p.s of the groups $S_n$ and $A_e$, see Section 6.3, and the elements of $E(x)$ are normalized words in $S \cup A_e$ where $S = \{g, t_1, \ldots, t_d\}$.

In this chapter, we characterize the tail vectors of $S_n$ in $A_e$ which define the groups in the bodies of the coclass tree. More precisely, we describe a set of tail vectors of $S_n$ in $A_e$ which define, up to isomorphism, all the groups at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$. Thus, as a first step, we characterize the tail vectors defining the groups in question. The second step is then to discuss the isomorphism problem, that is, the construction of the groups up to isomorphism.

Unless otherwise noted, let $n \geq p + 1$ and $1 \leq e \leq e_n$ throughout this chapter.

7.1 Tail vectors defining maximal class groups

Let $x$ be a tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$. Recall that $x$ can be written uniquely as $x = t + h + m$ where $t$, $h$, and $m$ are the twig, hom, and mainline component of $x$, respectively. If $u, v \in E(x)$ with $u = v$ in $E(x)$, then we also say that $E(x)$ satisfies $u = v$.

We start with two preliminary lemmas.

7.1 Lemma. Let $x$ be a tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$ with twig component $t = (t_{i,j})$. Then $E(x)$ satisfies

$$g^p = t_{0,0} \quad \text{and} \quad (gt_1)^p = t_{0,0}t_{0,d}.$$  

Proof. Let $m = (m_{i,j})$ be the mainline component of $x$. Recall that $t^0_d = t^{n,1}_d \ldots t^{n,d}_d$ in $S_n$ and $l_{n,1}, \ldots, l_{n,d}$ are all congruent to -1 modulo the exponent of $A_e$, see Remark 6.9 and Lemma 6.1. This shows that $E(x)$ satisfies

$$g^p = t_{0,0} \quad \text{and} \quad (gt_1)^p = t^{l_{n,1}+1}_1 \ldots t^{l_{n,d}+1}_d m_{0,d} l_{0,0} t_{0,d}.$$  

Hence, the lemma is proved if $(gt_1)^p = 1$ in $E(m)$. This follows from Lemma 6.25 and Remark 6.28 and a straightforward, but technical, computation. For a detailed proof we refer to Section A.1.4.
If $\pi: A_e \to A_i$ with $i \leq e$ is the projection, then we apply $\pi$ to a tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$ by applying it to the corresponding tails of the vector. Recall that a maximal class group is capable if it has an immediate descendant in the coclass graph $G(p)$.

7.2 Lemma. Let $x \in Z(S_n, A_e)$ such that $E(x)$ has maximal class.

a) If $i \leq e$ and $\pi: A_e \to A_i$ is the projection, then $E(\pi(x)) \cong E(x)/\gamma_{n+1}(E(x))$.

b) If $E(x)$ is capable, then $g^p = (gt_1)^p = 1$ in $E(x)$.

Proof. a) By construction, $E(\pi(x))$ is the tail vector defined by the 2-cocycle $\pi \circ \gamma_x$, see Definition 4.8, and $E(x) \cong E(\gamma_x)$ and $E(\pi(x)) \cong E(\pi \circ \gamma_x)$. Clearly, the projection $E(\gamma_x) \to E(\pi \circ \gamma_x)$ has kernel $\gamma_{n+1}(E(\gamma_x))$.

b) Let $G$ be an immediate descendant of $E(x)$ and let $g, t_1 \in E(x)$ under the projection $G \to E(x)$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $g^p$ and $(gt_1)^p$ lie in the kernel of this projection, that is, $g^p = (gt_1)^p = 1$ in $E(x)$.

7.1.1 Mainline tail vectors

In this paragraph, we consider the tail vectors of $S_n$ in $A_e$ which define extensions isomorphic to the mainline group $S_{n+e}$. The following lemma motivates the notion of the group of mainline tail vectors.

7.3 Lemma. Let $x$ be a tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$ such that $E(x)$ has maximal class. Then $E(x) \cong S_{n+e}$ if and only if $x \in M(n, e)$.

Proof. If $E(x) \cong S_{n+e}$, then the 2-step centralizer $P_1(E(x))$ is abelian and $g^p = (gt_1)^p = 1$ in $E(x)$ by Lemma 7.2; that is, $x$ has trivial tails $x_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq d$, and $x \in M(n, e)$ by Lemma 7.1. Conversely, if $x \in M(n, e)$ and $E(x)$ has maximal class, then $P_1(E(x))$ is abelian and $E(x)$ satisfies $g^p = (gt_1)^p = 1$ by Lemma 7.1; that is, $E(x) \cong S_{n+e}$ by Corollary 6.5.

We now construct an explicit mainline tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$ which defines $S_{n+e}$. For this purpose, let $\tau: S_n \to S_{n+e}$ be the canonical transversal with $\tau(s) = s$ and define

$$\gamma_{n,e}: S_n \times S_n \to T_n/T_{n+e}, \quad (u, v) \mapsto \tau(uv)^{-1}\tau(u)\tau(v),$$

and

$$\gamma'_{n,e} = \iota_{n,e} \circ \gamma_{n,e} \in Z^2(S_n, A_e),$$

where $\iota_{n,e}: T_n/T_{n+e} \to A_e$ is the $P$-isomorphism of Definition 6.2. Recall that $x_{\gamma_{n,e}}$ is the tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$ defined by the 2-cocycle $\gamma'_{n,e}$, cf. Remark 6.15.

Definition. The mainline tail vector $m_{n,e}$ of $S_n$ in $A_e$ is defined as $m_{n,e} = x_{\gamma'_{n,e}}$.

7.4 Lemma. a) The groups $S_{n+e}$, $E(\gamma_{n,e})$, and $E(m_{n,e})$ are isomorphic.

b) The extensions $E(\gamma_{n,e})$ and $S_{n+e}$ are equivalent.

c) As tail vectors, $m_{n,e} = m_{n+1,e}$, and $m_{n,e} \in M(n, e)$.

d) If $e \geq 1$ and $\pi: A_e \to A_{e-1}$ is the projection, then $\pi(m_{n,e}) = m_{n,e-1}$.

Proof. a) This follows from the construction and Remark 6.16.

b) The isomorphism $E(\gamma_{n,e}) \to S_{n+e}, (u, a) \mapsto \tau(u)a$, proves the equivalence.
c) Lemma 7.3 and part a) show that \( m_{n,e} \in M(n, e) \). It follows from Remarks 6.9 and 6.15 and the construction of the 2-cocycles \( \gamma'_{n,e} \) and \( \gamma'_{n+d,e} \) that \( m_{n,e} = m_{n+d,e} \).

d) The tail vector \( \pi(m_{n,e}) \) is defined by \( \pi \circ \gamma'_{n,e} \). If \( \pi' : S_{n+e} \to S_{n+e-1} \) is the projection, then \( \pi \circ \gamma'_{n,e} = t_{n,e-1} \circ \pi' \circ \gamma_{n,e} \). For \( m \geq 0 \) let the canonical transversal \( S_n \to S_{n+m} \) be denoted by \( \tau_m \). Then \( \pi' \circ \tau_e = \tau_{e-1} \) implies that \( \pi' \circ \gamma_{n,e} = \gamma_{n,e-1} \).

### 7.1.2 The characterization of tail vectors

Again, we write a tail vector \( x \) of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) as \( x = t + h + m \) where \( t \in T(n, e) \) is a twig tail vector, \( h \in H(n, e) \) is a hom tail vector, and \( m \in M(n, e) \) is a mainline tail vector. We use this notation in this paragraph.

First, we show that the mainline component of a tail vector decides whether the corresponding extension has maximal class. Part (2) of the proof of the following theorem is motivated by [29, Lemma 8.1.3].

#### 7.5 Theorem

**If** \( x = t + h + m \) **is a tail vector of** \( S_n \) **in** \( A_e \), **then** \( E(x) \) **has maximal class if and only if** \( E(m) \) **has maximal class.**

**Proof.** Let \( y \) be a tail vector of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \). It is sufficient to prove the following.

1. **If** \( E(y) \) **has maximal class, then** \( E(y + t) \) **has maximal class.**
2. **If** \( E(y) \) **has maximal class, then** \( E(y + h) \) **has maximal class.**

**Ad (1):** Let \( s = g \) and \( s_1 = t_1 \) in \( E(y) \), and iteratively define \( s_{j+1} = [s_j, s] \). Since \( E(y) \) has maximal class, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that \( s_{n+e-1} \neq 1 \) in \( E(y) \). Note that \( E(y) = E(y + t) \) as sets, and the same construction with \( s' = g \) and \( s'_1 = t_1 \) in \( E(y + t) \) yields elements \( s', s'_1, \ldots \in E(y + t) \). By definition, the tails of \( t \) are central and have order dividing \( p \) and, as \( n \geq p + 1 \), the exponents of \( t_d \) in \( s_1, \ldots, s_d \) are all divisible by \( p \), cf. Definition 6.14. Thus, by construction, \( s'_j = s_j \) as words for \( 1 \leq j \leq d \) and there is a central \( a \in E(y) \) such that the collection of \( s_p a \) in \( E(y) \) yields a word equal to \( s'_p \). The exponents of \( t_1, \ldots, t_d \) in \( s_p \) are divisible by \( p \) and, hence, \( s'_j = s_j \) as words for all \( j > p \). This shows that \( s'_{n+e-1} \neq 1 \) in \( E(y + t) \), and \( E(y + t) \) has maximal class.

**Ad (2):** Recall that \( h \) is the tail vector defined by a 2-cocycle \( \Gamma_f \) for some \( P \)-homomorphism \( f : T / T \to A_e \), see Definition 6.23, and let \( \gamma_y : S_n \times S_n \to A_e \) be the canonical 2-cocycle defined by \( y \), see Definition 4.8. We use restrictions of these cocycles to define the extensions

\[
\tilde{M} < M < E(\gamma_y) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{N} < N < E(\gamma_y + \Gamma_f)
\]

of \( A_e \) by \( T_{n-1}/T_n \) and \( T/T_n \), respectively. By definition, \( E(\gamma_y) = E(\gamma_y + \Gamma_f) \) as sets. By part (1), we can assume that \( y \) has a trivial twig component and, thus, \( M \) and \( N \) both have a complement \( P = C_p(g) \). The restriction of \( \Gamma_f \) to \( T_{n-1}/T_n \times T_{n-1}/T_n \) is trivial and, therefore, \( M \) and \( N \) are isomorphic as \( P \)-modules. It follows from Lemma 3.5b) that \( P \) acts uniserially on \( M \) and, hence, on \( N \). Lemma 3.5a) shows that \( E(\gamma_y + \Gamma_f) \) acts uniserially on \( N \) and, by construction, on \( N/A_e \). Now Lemma 3.6 proves that \( E(\gamma_y + \Gamma_f) \) acts uniserially on \( N \) and, by Lemma 3.4, the group \( E(\gamma_y + \Gamma_f) \) has maximal class. □

We now provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a tail vector of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) to define an extension in the body \( T_n \). Recall the definition of the set \( TH(n, e) \), see Definition 6.29.
7.6 Corollary. Let $x = t + h + m$ be a tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$. Then $E(x)$ lies in the body $T_n$ if and only if the tail vector $m$ defines a group of maximal class and $t + h \in \overline{T}(n, e)$.

Proof. By Theorem 7.5, the tail vector $x$ defines a group of maximal class if and only if $m$ does. Let $E(x)$ be of maximal class. Clearly, the group $E(x)$ lies in $T_n$ if and only if $S_{n+1}$ is not a quotient of $E(x)$. Hence, Lemma 7.3 proves the case $e = 1$. We now consider $e > 1$ and the projection $\pi: A_e \to A_1$. Then $S_{n+1}$ is not a quotient of $E(x)$ if and only if $E(\pi(x))$ is not isomorphic to $S_{n+1}$, if and only if $\pi(h) \neq 0$, if and only if the homomorphism $T \wedge T \to A_e$ defining $h$ is surjective, see Lemma 5.9. 

7.2 Isomorphism problem

Every group at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$ is isomorphic to a group defined by a tail vector $x = t + h + m$ of $S_n$ in $A_e$ where $m$ defines $S_{n+e}$ and $t + h$ lies in $\overline{T}(n, e)$. In this section, we attack the isomorphism problem and develop a criterion when two maximal class extension defined by tail vectors are isomorphic.

7.2.1 Compatible pairs

Our main tool for solving the isomorphism problem is the group of compatible pairs, and, as a first step, we recall some definitions made in Section 4.2.1.

The group of compatible pairs of $S_n$ and $A_e$ is defined as

$$\text{Comp}(n, e) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Aut}(S_n) \times \text{Aut}(A_e) \mid \forall a \in A_e : \beta(a)^{\alpha(a)} = \beta(a^\alpha)\}$$

and acts on the group of 2-cocycles $Z^2(S_n, A_e)$ via

$$\gamma^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \beta^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ (\alpha, \alpha).$$

The group $B^2(G, N)$ of 2-coboundaries is invariant under this action and, hence, the group of compatible pairs acts on $H^2(G, N)$. If $\gamma, \delta \in H^2(S_n, A_e)$ are cohomology classes, then the extensions $E(\delta)$ and $E(\gamma)$ are strongly isomorphic if and only if $\gamma^c = \delta$ for some compatible pair $c \in \text{Comp}(n, e)$, see Theorem 4.3. In general, there are extensions which are isomorphic but not strongly isomorphic. However, in the case of maximal class extensions, the action of the compatible pairs can be used to obtain a reduction up to isomorphism, see [14, Theorem 20].

7.7 Lemma. If $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are cohomology classes in $H^2(S_n, A_e)$ defining groups of maximal class, then $E(\gamma) \cong E(\delta)$ if and only if $\gamma^c = \delta$ for some compatible pair $c \in \text{Comp}(n, e)$.

Proof. If $\gamma$ and $\delta$ define groups of maximal class, then $A_e$ is the $n$-th term of the lower central series of the corresponding group extensions. Thus, every isomorphism from $E(\delta)$ to $E(\gamma)$ is a strong isomorphism and Theorem 4.3 proves the lemma. 

Recall that $H^2(S_n, A_e) \cong Z(S_n, A_e)/B(S_n, A_e)$ is identified with

$$T(n, e) \oplus H(n, e) \oplus M(n, e),$$

and we assume that every tail vector of $S_n$ in $A_e$ has trivial coboundary tails. We now show how a compatible pair acts on this group.
Definition. If \( c = (\alpha, \beta) \) is a compatible pair of \( S_n \) and \( A_e \) and if \( x \) is a tail vector of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \), then \( x^c \) is the tail vector defined by the 2-cocycle
\[
\gamma^c = \beta^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ (\alpha, \alpha),
\]
where \( \gamma : S_n \times S_n \to A_e \) is any 2-cocycle defining \( x \), for example \( \gamma = \gamma_x \) as in Definition 4.8. Recall that \( x^c \) is modified by a suitable coboundary tail vector so that \( x^c \) has trivial coboundary tails.

The group of compatible pairs of \( S \) and \( A_e \) is denoted by
\[
\text{Comp}(e) = \{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Aut}(S) \times \text{Aut}(A_e) \mid \forall a \in A_e : \beta(a)^\alpha(g) = \beta(a^g) \}
\]
and, by Theorem 6.13, the mapping
\[
\text{Comp}(e) \to \text{Comp}(n, e), \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\alpha|_{S_n}, \beta),
\]
is surjective. This furnishes \( Z(S_n, A_e)/B(S_n, A_e) \) with the structure of a \( \text{Comp}(e) \)-module, and the following corollary transfers Lemma 7.7 to extensions defined by tail vectors.

7.8 Corollary. If \( x \) and \( y \) are tail vectors of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) defining extensions of maximal class, then \( E(x) \cong E(y) \) if and only if \( x^c = y \) for some compatible pair \( c \in \text{Comp}(e) \).

Proof. The groups \( E(x) \) and \( E(y) \) are isomorphic if and only if \( E(\gamma x) \cong E(\gamma y) \), if and only if there is \( c \in \text{Comp}(n, e) \) with \( \gamma^c_x \equiv \gamma^c_y \mod B^2(S_n, A_e) \), if and only if \( x^c = y \).

Lemma 7.3 and Corollary 7.8 show that the tail vectors of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) which define the mainline group \( S_{n+e} \) all lie in the same \( \text{Comp}(e) \)-orbit as the mainline tail vector \( m_{n, e} \).

7.9 Corollary. If \( x \in M(n, e) \) defines a group of maximal class, then \( x^c = m_{n, e} \) for some compatible pair \( c \in \text{Comp}(e) \).

We now reconsider some subsets of \( Z(S_n, A_e)/B(S_n, A_e) \) defined in Definition 6.29 and show that they are invariant under the action of \( \text{Comp}(e) \). This is the basis for the proof of the subsequent theorem.

7.10 Lemma. The sets \( \text{TH}(n, e), \text{T}(n, e), \text{and} \widetilde{\text{TH}}(n, e) \) are \( \text{Comp}(e) \)-invariant.

Proof. Let \( c = (\alpha, \beta) \) be an element of \( \text{Comp}(e) \). First, we consider a hom tail vector \( x_f \in H(n, e) \) of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) defined by a \( P \)-homomorphism \( f : T \times T \to A_e \). We define
\[
f^c = \beta^{-1} \circ f \circ (\alpha \wedge \alpha)|_{T \times T}
\]
and note that \( f^c \) is a \( P \)-homomorphism as well. By construction, \( x_f^c \) and \( x_{f^c} \) are the tail vectors defined by the 2-cocycles \( (\Gamma_f)^c \) and \( \Gamma_{f^c} \), respectively, and
\[
(\Gamma_f)^c|_{T/T_n \times T/T_n} = \Gamma_{f^c}|_{T/T_n \times T/T_n}.
\]
Hence, it follows from Remark 6.15 that \( t = (x_f)^c - x_{f^c} \) has trivial tails \( t_{1,1}, \ldots, t_{d,d} \) and \( t_{i,j} \) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq d \); that is, \( t \) is a twig tail vector and \( x_f^c \in \text{TH}(n, e) \).

Analogously, it is straightforward to prove that \( x^c \in \text{T}(n, e) \) for all twig tail vectors \( x \in \text{T}(n, e) \) of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \). If \( f : T \times T \to A_e \) is a surjective \( P \)-homomorphism, then \( f^c \) is surjective as well. Again, \( (x_f)^c - x_{f^c} \in \text{T}(n, e) \), which proves the lemma.
The main result of this paragraph is given in the following theorem.

**7.11 Theorem.** A complete set of isomorphism types of groups at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$ is given by

$$\{E(m_{n,e} + y) \mid y \in \hat{T}H(n, e)\}.$$ 

If $x, y \in \hat{T}H(n, e)$, then

$$E(m_{n,e} + x) \cong E(m_{n,e} + y)$$

if and only if $x^c = y$ for some $c \in \text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}(e)}(m_{n,e})$.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be a group at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$. By Remark 6.28, there is a tail vector $x = m + y$ with $m \in M(m, e)$ and $y \in \hat{T}H(n, e)$ such that $E(x) \cong G$. Theorem 7.5 and Corollary 7.6 show that $m$ defines the mainline group $S_{n+e}$ and $y$ lies in $\hat{T}H(n, e)$. By Corollary 7.9, there is a compatible pair $c$ with $m^c = m_{n,e}$; that is, $E(x) \cong E(m_{n,e} + y^c)$ with $y^c \in \hat{T}H(n, e)$ by Lemma 7.10. By Theorem 7.5 and Corollary 7.6, all groups in the set of the theorem have depth $e$ in the body $T_n$. Now the assertion follows from Corollary 7.8 and Lemma 7.10. \qed

**7.2.2 Aut($S$)-module action**

Every group at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$ is isomorphic to a group $E(m_{n,e} + y)$ for some $y \in \hat{T}H(n, e)$, and two groups $E(m_{n,e} + y)$ and $E(m_{n,e} + x)$ with $x, y \in \hat{T}H(n, e)$ are isomorphic if and only if $x^c = y$ for some $c \in \text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}(e)}(m_{n,e})$. We now consider this stabilizer in more detail and write

$$\Sigma_{n,e} = \text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}(e)}(m_{n,e}).$$

As a first step, we use the $P$-isomorphism $\iota_{n,e}: T_n/T_{n+e} \to A_e$ of Definition 6.2 to define a homomorphism from $\text{Aut}(S)$ to $\text{Aut}(A_e)$.

**7.12 Definition.** If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$, then $\xi_{n,e}(\alpha) \in \text{Aut}(A_e)$ is defined as

$$\xi_{n,e}(\alpha) = \iota_{n,e} \circ \alpha|_{T_n/T_{n+e}} \circ \iota_{n,e}^{-1}.$$ 

By definition, if $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$ acts trivially on $P = S/T$, then $\xi_{n,e}(\alpha) = \alpha|_{A_e}$.

**7.13 Lemma.** The group $\Sigma_{n,e}$ can be described by

$$\Sigma_{n,e} = \{ (\alpha, \xi_{n,e}(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \}.$$ 

**Proof.** We consider the 2-cocycle $\gamma_{n,e}: S_n \times S_n \to T_n/T_{n+e}$ of Section 7.1.1 and write $\gamma = \gamma_{n,e} + B^2(S_n, T_n/T_{n+e})$ for the corresponding cohomology class. Recall that $\gamma'_{n,e}$ is defined as $\gamma'_{n,e} = \iota_{n,e} \circ \gamma_{n,e}$. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that

$$\text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}(S_n, T_n/T_{n+e})}(\gamma) = \{ (\alpha|_{S_n}, \alpha|_{T_n/T_{n+e}}) \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(E(\gamma_{n,e})) \}.$$ 

By Lemma 7.4, the extensions $S_{n+e}$ and $E(\gamma_{n,e})$ are equivalent which implies that

$$\text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}(S_n, T_n/T_{n+e})}(\gamma) = \{ (\alpha|_{S_n}, \alpha|_{T_n/T_{n+e}}) \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S_{n+e}) \},$$

and, by Theorem 6.13,

$$\text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}(S_n, T_n/T_{n+e})}(\gamma) = \{ (\alpha|_{S_n}, \alpha|_{T_n/T_{n+e}}) \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \}.$$
The $P$-isomorphism $\iota_{n,e}$ defines an isomorphism
\[
\text{Comp}(S_n, T_n/T_{n+e}) \to \text{Comp}(S_n, A_e), \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\alpha, \iota_{n,e} \circ \beta \circ \iota_{n,e}^{-1}),
\]
and
\[
\beta^{-1} \circ \gamma_{n,e} \circ (\alpha, \alpha) \equiv \gamma_{n,e} \mod B^2(S_n, T_n/T_{n+e})
\]
with $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$ and $\beta \in \text{Aut}(T_n/T_{n+e})$ if and only if
\[
\iota_{n,e} \circ \beta^{-1} \circ \iota_{n,e}^{-1} \circ \gamma'_{n,e} \circ (\alpha, \alpha) \equiv \gamma'_{n,e} \mod B^2(S_n, A_e).
\]
This implies that
\[
\text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}(e)}(\gamma'_{n,e} + B^2(S_n, A_e)) = \{(\alpha, \xi_{n,e}(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)\},
\]
which proves the lemma.

Lemma 7.13 shows that $\text{TH}(n, e)$ can be considered as an $\text{Aut}(S)$-module. We conclude this section with a remark on this action, and we summarize the main results of this section in a corollary.

7.14 Remark. a) The group $\text{Aut}(S)$ acts on $\text{TH}(n, e)$ via
\[
\text{Aut}(S) \to \Sigma_{n,e}, \quad \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \xi_{n,e}(\alpha)).
\]
b) The automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$ acts on $f \in \text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, A_e)$ via
\[
f^\alpha = \xi_{n,e}(\alpha)^{-1} \circ f \circ (\alpha \wedge \alpha)|_{T \wedge T}: T \wedge T \to A_e,
\]
and the proof of Lemma 7.10 shows that $(x_f)^\alpha - x_{f^\alpha} \in T(n, e)$.

7.15 Corollary. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a set of $\text{Aut}(S)$-orbit representatives in $\hat{\text{TH}}(n, e)$, then, up to isomorphism, a complete list of groups at depth $e$ in the body $T_n$ is given by
\[
(E(m_{n,e} + y) \mid y \in \mathcal{M}).
\]

7.3 Twigs, skeleton, and capable groups

Using the results of the previous sections, we investigate the skeleton and twigs of a body, see Definition 2.3. In particular, we determine the depths of a body and its skeleton, and we show that the twigs are subtrees of depth 1.

7.3.1 Capability

We start with a preliminary lemma. Recall that $L(n, e) \subseteq H(n, e)$ is the subset of hom tail vectors which are induced by liftable $P$-homomorphisms $T \wedge T \to A_e$, see Definition 6.29.
7.16 Lemma. If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$ and $x_f \in L(n,e)$, then $(x_f)^\alpha = x_{f^\alpha}$ lies in $L(n,e)$.

Proof. Let $h: T \wedge T \to A_{e+1}$ be a lifting of $f$ and let $\pi: A_{e+1} \to A_e$ be the projection. By definition, $f^\alpha = \pi \circ h^\alpha$ and $f^\alpha$ is liftable, that is, $x_{f^\alpha} \in L(n,e)$. It follows from Remark 7.14 that $x_f^\alpha = x_{f^\alpha}$ is a twig tail vector and it remains to show that $x_f^\alpha$ has a trivial twig component. If $e < e_n$, then a 2-cocycle inducing $x_f$ is $\Gamma_f = \pi \circ \Gamma_h$, see Definition 6.23, and it follows from $\Gamma_f^0 = \pi \circ \Gamma_h^0$ that $\Gamma_f^0$ induces a tail vector with trivial twig component.

The construction of the 2-cocycle $\Gamma_h: S_n \times S_n \to A_{e+1}$ requires $e_n < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor d$, see Lemma 5.8, and we can use the same argument as above if $e = e_n < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor d$. However, if $e = e_n = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor d$, which is possible only for $p \in \{5, 7\}$, then we can define $\Gamma_h$ in a similar way as a 2-cocycle $S_2 \times S_2 \to A_{e+1}$. In this case, we regard $\Gamma_f$ and $\Gamma_h$ as 2-cocycles from $S_2 \times S_2$ to $A_e$ and $A_{e+1}$, respectively. The tail vector $x_f^\alpha$ has a trivial mainline component and, using Remark 6.27, it is induced by $\Gamma_f^0 = \pi \circ \Gamma_h^0$. Now the results of Section 6.5.1 imply that $x_f^\alpha$ has a trivial twig component. \qed

Recall that $\hat{L}(n,e) \subseteq L(n,e)$ is the subset consisting of the hom tail vectors which are induced by surjective $P$-homomorphisms. The following lemma shows that the capable groups in the body $T_n$ are basically defined by the elements of $\hat{L}(n,e)$.

7.17 Lemma. If $e < e_n$ and $y \in \hat{L}(n,e)$, then $E(m_{n,e} + y)$ is capable if and only if $y$ lies in $\hat{L}(n,e)$.

Proof. Let $\pi: A_{e+1} \to A_e$ be the projection. First, we assume that $E(x)$ with $x = m_{n,e} + y$ is capable, that is, $y = x_f$ for some $P$-homomorphism $f: T \wedge T \to A_e$, see Lemmas 7.2 and 7.1. We can assume that an immediate descendant of $E(x)$ is defined by $x' = m_{n,e+1} + x_k + t$ with $t \in T(n,e+1)$ and $k: T \wedge T \to A_{e+1}$. The groups $E(\pi(x'))$ and $E(x)$ are isomorphic and it follows from Theorem 7.11 and Lemmas 7.13, 7.4, and 7.16 that $x_f = \pi(x_k) = (x_{\pi(x_k)})^\alpha = x_{\pi(x_k)}$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$. Thus, $f$ can be lifted to $k^\alpha: T \wedge T \to A_{e+1}$ and, therefore, $y \in \hat{L}(n,e)$. Conversely, let $x = m_{n,e} + x_f$ with $x_f \in \hat{L}(n,e)$. If $k: T \wedge T \to A_{e+1}$ is a lifting of $f$, then $E(m_{n,e+1} + x_k)$ is an immediate descendant of $E(x)$. \qed

Lemma 7.17 also motivates the notation of the group $T(n,e)$. If a tail vector has a non-trivial twig component, then the corresponding group extension is terminal; that is, it is a twig in the branch of the coclass tree. The same happens if a tail vector involves a non-trivial element of $H_i(n,e)$, see Corollary 6.30.

7.3.2 Depths of skeleton, body, and branch

Let $n \geq p + 1$ and $e \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \rfloor d$ in this paragraph. We start with two preparing lemmas.

7.18 Lemma. If $f: T \wedge T \to T_n$ is a $P$-homomorphism and $a \in T_n$ and $b \in T_{n-1}$, then $f(a \wedge c) \in T_{n+e}$ and $f(b \wedge c) \in T_{n+d}$ for all $c \in T$.

Proof. We write $n = td + i$ with integers $t \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then $a = t^{p^i}$ for some $t \in T$ and $f(a \wedge b) = f(t \wedge b)^{p^i} \in T_{n+td}$. It follows from the choice of $e$ that $T_{n+td} \leq T_{n+e}$. Analogously, $f(b \wedge c) \in T_{n+d}$ follows from $b \in T_p$. \qed
7.3. Twigs, skeleton, and capable groups

Let $f: T \sqcap T \rightarrow T_n$ be a surjective $P$-homomorphism and denote by $f': T \sqcap T \rightarrow T_n/T_{n+e}$ the composition of the projection $T_n \rightarrow T_n/T_{n+e}$ and $f$. It follows from Lemma 7.18 that $T'$ can be applied to elements of $T/T_{n+e} \sqcap T/T_{n+e}$. Thus, by Definition 2.2, the skeleton group $C_{f,e}$ defined by $f$ and $e$ is isomorphic to

$$C_{f',e} = P \ltimes (T/T_{n+e}, \circ) \quad \text{with} \quad a \circ b = a b f'(a \wedge b)^{1/2} \quad (a, b \in T/T_{n+e}),$$

and, from now on, we identify $C_{f,e}$ with $C_{f',e}$.

7.19 Lemma. Let $f: T \sqcap T \rightarrow T_n$ be a surjective $P$-homomorphism.

a) The group $C_{f,e}$ has depth $e$ in the branch $B_n$.

b) If $e < \lfloor \frac{2n+1}{d} \rfloor d$, then $C_{f,e+1}$ is a descendant of $C_{f,e}$.

Proof. a) Let $G = C_{f,e}$ and identify $C_{f,e} = C_{f',e}$ with $f': T/T_n \sqcap T/T_n \rightarrow T_n/T_{n+e}$ defined as above. By Lemma 7.18, the subgroup $N = T_n/T_{n+e}$ of $G$ is normal and abelian and $G$ acts uniserially on $N$. Thus, $G$ has maximal class if $\gamma_n(G) = N$. Let $s_1$ be an element of $(T/T_{n+e}, \circ)$ not lying in $T_2/T_{n+e}$ and, iteratively, define

$$s_{i+1} = [s_i, g] = s_i^{-1} f'(s_i \wedge s_{i+1})^{-1/2}.$$  

It follows from Lemma 7.18 and induction that $s_n \notin T_{n+1}/T_{n+e}$. By construction, $s_n$ lies in $\gamma_n(G)$ and $\gamma_n(G) \leq N$. This implies that $\gamma_n(G) = N$, and $G$ lies in $B_n$ as $f$ is surjective.

b) This follows from the construction. □

An immediate consequence is the following corollary.

7.20 Corollary. The skeleton $S_n$ and body $T_n$ both have depth $\varepsilon_n$.

A bound for the depth of a branch is given in the next theorem.

7.21 Theorem. The depth of $B_n$ is at most $n + 2p - 11$ if $p \geq 7$ and $n$ if $p = 5$.

Proof. Let $S_n \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow H_m$ be a path of maximal length in $B_n$; that is, $H_j$ has order $p^{n+1}$ and positive degree of commutativity $l_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Assuming that $m > 1$, it follows from Corollary 6.5 that the 2-step centralizer of $H_1$ is non-abelian. Thus, if $H_1$ has refined central series $H_1 > P_1 > \ldots > P_{n+1} = \{1\}$, then $[P_1, P_1] = [P_1, P_2] \neq \{1\}$, which implies that $l_1 \leq n - 3$ and $l_2, \ldots, l_m \leq l_1$. If $p \geq 7$, then Theorem 3.2 shows that $2l_j \geq n + j - 2p + 5$, and $j = m$ yields $2n - 6 \geq 2m \geq n + m - 2p + 5$; that is, $m \leq n + 2p - 11$. The result for $p = 5$ follows from $2l_j \geq n + j - 6$, see Theorem 3.2. □

7.3.3 Depths of twigs

We show that the skeleton groups at depth $e < \varepsilon_n$ in the body $T_n$ are exactly the capable groups at depth $e$ in $T_n$. Recall the definition of $\iota_{n,e}: T_n/T_{n+e} \rightarrow A_e$, see Definition 6.2.

7.22 Lemma. If $x_f \in \hat{L}(n,e)$ and $e \leq \varepsilon_n$, then

$$E(m_{n,e} + x_f) \cong C_{f,e}$$

where $\hat{f}: T \sqcap T \rightarrow T_n/T_{n+e}$ is defined as $\iota_{n,e}^{-1} \circ f$.
Proof. By construction, \( E(m_{n,e} + x_f) \cong E(\gamma'_n,e + \Gamma_f) \) where \( \gamma'_n,e \) and \( \Gamma_f \) are the 2-cocycles defined in Sections 7.1.1 and 6.5.3, respectively. By definition, if \( u, v \in S_n \), then

\[
\gamma'_n,e(u, v) = \iota_{n,e}(\tau(uv)^{-1}\tau(u)\tau(v))
\]

where \( \tau : S_n \to S_{n+e} \) is the canonical transversal with \( \tau(u) = u \). The subgroup \( T_n/T_{n+e} \) of \( C_{f,e} \) is central in \( (T/T_{n+e}, \circ) \) and, thus, the following mapping is an isomorphism

\[
E(\gamma'_n,e + \Gamma_f) \to C_{f,e}, \quad (g^i u, a) \mapsto (g^i, \tau(u)\iota_{n,e}^{-1}(a)) \quad (u \in T/T_n).
\]

7.23 Corollary. The twigs of a body are subtrees of depth 1.

Proof. Let \( G \) be a capable group at depth \( e < \varepsilon_n \) in the body \( T_n \). By Theorem 7.11 and Lemma 7.17, the group \( G \) is isomorphic to \( E(m_{n,e} + x_f) \) for some hom tail vector \( x_f \in \hat{L}(n,e) \), and it follows from Lemma 7.22 that \( G \) is a skeleton group. Thus, by Lemma 7.19, the capable groups at depth \( e < \varepsilon_n \) in the body \( T_n \) are exactly the skeleton groups at depth \( e \), and all twig groups at depth \( e \) are terminal.
8 Periodicity of type I

We now prove the periodicity of type I as introduced in Theorem 2.6. Throughout this chapter, let \( n \geq p + 1 \) and \( 1 \leq e \leq e_n \). We write the integer \( n \) as \( n = xd + i \) with integers \( x \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq d \).

8.1 Graph isomorphisms

It follows from Section 6.5.3 that a tail vector of \( S_n \) in \( A_e \) can be considered as a tail vector of \( S_{n+d} \) in \( A_e \), and vice versa. We denote this isomorphism of tail vectors by

\[ \nu_{n,e} : \mathbb{Z}(n,e) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(n + d,e) \]

and we prove that its restriction to the group \( \text{TH}(n,e) = T(n,e) \oplus H(n,e) \) is an \( \text{Aut}(S) \)-module isomorphism between \( \text{TH}(n,e) \) and \( \text{TH}(n + d,e) \).

First, we examine the homomorphism \( \xi_{n,e} : \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_e) \) of Definition 7.12.

8.1 Lemma. If \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \), then \( \xi_{n,e}(\alpha) = \xi_{n+d,e}(\alpha) \).

Proof. If \( \alpha = \alpha(j,c,t) \) as defined in Theorem 6.12, then

\[ \xi_{n,e}(\alpha) : A_e \rightarrow A_e, \quad u \mapsto \sigma_j(u)(1+g+...+g^{j-1})^{-1}c, \]

that is, \( \xi_{n,e} \) depends only on the value of \( n \) modulo \( d \).

8.2 Lemma. The restriction \( \nu_{n,e}|_{\text{TH}(n,e)} : \text{TH}(n,e) \rightarrow \text{TH}(n + d,e) \) is an \( \text{Aut}(S) \)-module isomorphism.

Proof. Let \( x \in \text{TH}(n,e) \) and \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \) be arbitrary. By Lemma 7.10, the tail vectors \( x \) and \( x^\alpha \) both have a trivial mainline component. Let \( \gamma_0 \) and \( \gamma_1 \) be the canonical 2-cocycles defined by \( x \) and \( \nu_{n,e}(x) \), respectively, see Definition 4.8. By construction, the value of \( \gamma_i(u,v) \) with \( u,v \in S_{n+id} \) and \( i = 0,1 \) corresponds to the tail occurring at the collection of the word \( uv \) in \( E(x) \) and \( E(\nu_{n,e}(x)) \), respectively. We write \( \alpha_i = \alpha|_{S_{n+id}} \) for \( i = 0,1 \) and denote the projection \( S_{n+d} \rightarrow S_n \) by \( \pi \). It follows from the definition that \( \alpha_0 \circ \pi = \pi \circ \alpha_1 \). In the remaining part of the proof we show that

\[ (*) \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma_0 \circ (\pi,\pi). \]

Then Lemma 8.1 implies that

\[ \xi_{n+d,e}(\alpha)^{-1} \circ \gamma_1 \circ (\alpha_1,\alpha_1) = \xi_{n,e}(\alpha)^{-1} \circ \gamma_0 \circ (\alpha_0,\alpha_0) \circ (\pi,\pi), \]

and it follows from Remark 6.15 that \( \nu_{n,e}(x^\alpha) = \nu_{n,e}(x)^\alpha \), which proves the lemma.
Let $\tau: S_n \to S_{n+d}$ be the canonical transversal with $\tau(s) = s$. The exponent of $A_\nu$ divides $q = p^k$, and every element in $T_n/T_{n+\epsilon}$ is a word in $\{t_1^\alpha, \ldots, t_d^\alpha\}$. Thus, $u \in T/T_{n+d}$ can be written as $u = u't_a$ in $S_{n+d}$ where $u' = \tau(\nu(u))$ and $t_a \in T_n/T_{n+\epsilon}$, and there occur no tails at the collection of $u't_a$ in $E(\nu_{n,e}(x))$, that is, $\gamma_1(u', t_a) = 1$. In particular, if $g^i a, g^j b \in S_{n+d}$ with $0 \leq k, l \leq d$ and $a, b \in T/T_{n+d}$, then it follows from the definition that

$$\gamma_1(g^i a, g^j b) = \gamma_1(a, g^j) \gamma_1(g^i, g^i) \gamma_1(a^g, b).$$

Clearly, $\pi(a^g) = \pi(a)^g$ and, thus, (*) holds if and only if $\gamma_1(a, g^i) = \gamma_0(\pi(a), g^i)$ for all $0 \leq l \leq d$ and $a \in T/T_{n+\epsilon}$. It is sufficient to consider $l = 1$. Then, by definition, $\gamma_1(a, g) = \gamma_1(a', g)$, and $\gamma_1(a', g) = \gamma_0(\pi(a), g)$ can be deduced from Remark 6.9 since $a' = \pi(a)$ as words.

An important consequence is the following corollary.

**8.3 Corollary.** A set of $\text{Aut}(S)$-orbit representatives in $TH(n, e)$ is mapped under $\nu_{n,e}$ onto a set of $\text{Aut}(S)$-orbit representatives in $\tilde{TH}(n + d, e)$.

**Definition.** For a group $G$ in the body $T_n$ with $G \cong E(m_{n,e} + y)$ for some $y \in \tilde{TH}(n, e)$ we define

$$\iota(G) = E(\nu_{n,e}(m_{n,e} + y))$$

and, thus, obtain a mapping $\iota: (T_{\epsilon+1} \cup T_{\epsilon+2} \cup \ldots) \to T$. The restriction of $\iota$ to the body $T_n$ is denoted by $\iota_n = \iota|T_n$.

We show that $\iota$ is well-defined. If $y_1, y_2 \in \tilde{TH}(n, e)$ with $E(m_{n,e} + y_1) \cong G \cong E(m_{n,e} + y_2)$, then there exists $a \in \text{Aut}(S)$ with $y_1^a = y_2$ and Lemma 8.2 shows that $\nu_{n,e}(y_2) = \nu_{n,e}(y_1)^a$. It follows from Lemma 7.4 that $\nu_{n,e}(m_{n,e}) = m_{n+d,e}$ and, hence,

$$E(m_{n+d,e} + \nu_{n,e}(y_1)) \cong \iota(G) \cong E(m_{n+d,e} + \nu_{n,e}(y_2)).$$

Recall that $T_{n+d}[\epsilon_n]$ is the shaved subtree of the body $T_{n+d}$ induced by the groups in $T_{n+d}$ of distance at most $\epsilon_n$ from $S_{n+d}$. The following theorem describes the periodicity of type 1 as introduced in Theorem 2.6.

**8.4 Theorem.** The mapping

$$\iota_n: T_n \to T_{n+d}$$

is an embedding of rooted trees with $\iota_n(T_n) = T_{n+d}[\epsilon_n]$.

**Proof.** It follows from Corollaries 7.15 and 8.3 that $\iota_n$ is a bijection between the groups at depth $e$ in $T_n$ and the groups at depth $e$ in $T_{n+d}$ for all $e \leq \epsilon_n$. Thus, it remains to show that $\iota_n$ is a homomorphism of rooted trees. Clearly, $\iota_n$ maps $S_n$ onto $S_{n+d}$ and all groups at depth 1 in $T_n$ and $T_{n+d}$ are immediate descendants of $S_n$ and $S_{n+d}$, respectively. For $e \geq 2$ we consider the projection $\pi: A_e \to A_{e-1}$. By Lemmas 7.2 and 7.4, the parent of $E(m_{n,e} + y)$ is $E(m_{n,e-1} + \pi(y))$, and the theorem follows from $\pi \circ \nu_{n,e} = \nu_{n,e-1} \circ \pi$. 

This periodicity is sketched in Figure 8.1. Recall the definition of $\epsilon$, see Definition 2.1.
8.2 Periodicity classes

The periodicity described in Theorem 8.4 is a graph theoretic periodicity. However, this graph theoretic pattern is reflected in the structure of the groups involved. In this section, we define the periodicity class of a group and show that all groups in this class can be described by a single parameterized presentation with one integer parameter.

8.5 Definition. The periodicity class of a group $G$ in the body $T_n$ is the infinite sequence of groups

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = (G, \nu(G), \nu^2(G), \ldots).$$

8.6 Theorem. The groups in a periodicity class can be described by a single parameterized presentation with one integer parameter.

Proof. Let $G$ be a group in the body $T_n$. We can assume that $G = E(x)$ with $x = m_{n,e} + y$ for some $y \in \widehat{TH}(n,e)$. Since $\nu_{n,e}(y) = y$, we regard $y$ as a tail vector of $S_{n+k}d$ in $A_e$ for all $k \geq 0$. Thus, by definition, the periodicity class of $G$ is $\mathcal{P}(G) = (G_k \mid k \geq 0)$ where $G_k = E(m_{n+k,d,e} + y)$ for all $k \geq 0$. Recall that $m_{n,e} = m_{n+k,d,e}$ for all $k \geq 0$. Hence, if $x = (x_{i,j})_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq d}$, then Definition 6.14 shows that $G_k$ is the group defined by the consistent p.c.p. with generators $S \cup A_e$ and relations

$$\{t_{l}^{u} = t_{u,x_{l,u}}, \quad t_{j}^{0} = t_{j+1}x_{0,j}, \quad t_{j}^{d} = t_{j}^{d+n+k,1} \ldots t_{d}^{d+n+k,d}x_{0,d} \mid l < u \text{ and } j < d\} \cup
\{g^{p} = x_{0,0}, \quad \psi_{j}^{r+k} = w_{n+k,d,j}x_{j,j}, \quad \psi_{l}^{r+k+1} = x_{l,l} \mid j \leq d - i + 1 < l\} \cup C_e \cup M_e.$$

By Remark 6.9, the exponents of these relations are arithmetic expressions containing the integer $k$ as parameter. This proves the theorem.
9 Periodicity of type 2

It is shown in Chapter 8 that the body $T_n$ with $n \geq p + 1$ can be embedded into $T_{n+d}$ such that $T_n$ and the shaved subtree $T_{n+d}[e_n]$ are isomorphic as rooted trees. In order to describe $T_{n+d}$ completely, it remains to describe its growth, that is, the $d$ levels of groups at depth $e_n+1, \ldots, e_n+d$ in $T_{n+d}$. Since the widths of the bodies are unbounded in general, we cannot expect this subgraph of $T_{n+d}$ to be isomorphic to a subgraph of $T_n$. Motivated by a conjecture made in [15], we conjecture that this graph can be described by another periodic pattern. Recall that the $d$-step descendant tree $D_d(G)$ of a group $G$ in $T$ is the subtree of $T$ generated by the descendants of distance at most $d$ from $G$.

9.1 Conjecture. There is an integer $n_0 = n_0(p)$ with the following property: If $n \geq n_0$ and $G$ is a group at depth $e_n$ in $T_{n+d}$, then there exists a group $H$ at depth $e_{n-d}$ in $T_{n+d}$ such that

$$D_d(G) \cong D_d(H)$$

as rooted trees. The group $H$ is called a periodic parent of $G$.

The periodicity described in Conjecture 9.1 is called the periodicity of type 2 and it is depicted in Figure 9.1. If this conjecture holds, then there might exist a natural mapping which chooses a periodic parent of a given group. This would allow us to describe the bodies of the tree $T$ by a finite subgraph and periodic patterns, which supports Conjecture 1.2.

![Figure 9.1: A periodic parent of $G$.](image)

In order to confirm Conjecture 9.1, one has to construct and compare descendant trees. Hence, in the first part of this chapter, we discuss this construction in more detail. At the end of this chapter, we prove Conjecture 9.1 for some significant special cases.

Clearly, if the group $G$ is terminal, then its descendant tree $D_d(G)$ consists only of the vertex $G$. Therefore, we consider only descendant trees of capable groups in the following.
9.1 Descendant trees

Let \( m = n + d \) with \( n \geq p + 1 \), and let \( e \geq 1 \) and \( k \geq 0 \) be integers such that \( e + k \leq \varepsilon_m \). We use this notation and describe the construction of the \( k \)-step descendant tree of a capable group \( G \) at depth \( e \) in \( \mathcal{T}_m \). By Theorem 7.11 and Lemma 7.17, we can assume that \( G = E(m, e) \) for some hom tail vector \( x_f \) defined by a surjective and liftable \( P \)-homomorphism \( f \) from \( T \wedge T \) to \( A_e \). We identify \( f \) with a lifting \( T \wedge T \to T \).

First, we introduce some more notation. Recall that an automorphism \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \) acts on \( \Theta(m, e) \) via the compatible pair \( (\alpha, \xi_{m,e}(\alpha)) \in \Sigma_{m,e} \), see Remark 7.14.

**Definition.** Let \( f : T \wedge T \to T \) be a \( P \)-homomorphism.

a) Let \( x_{f,e} \in L(m, e) \) be defined as \( x_{f,e} = x_{\pi f} \) where \( \pi : T \to A_e \) is the projection.

b) Let \( E(m, e)(f) = E(m, e) \) be the extension defined by \( m, e + x_f \).

c) Let \( \Sigma_{m,e}(f) = \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(S)}(x_{f,e}) \) be the stabilizer of \( x_{f,e} \).

A group \( H \) in \( \mathcal{T}_m \) is a \( k \)-step descendant of \( G \) if there is a path of length \( k \) from \( G \) to \( H \). The \( k \)-step descendants of \( G \) are determined up to isomorphism in the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Let \( \pi : A_{e+k} \to A_e \) be the projection.

a) A complete set of isomorphism types of \( k \)-step descendants of \( E(m, e)(f) \) is given by

\[
\{E(m, e+k + y) \mid y \in \hat{\Theta}(m, e + k) \text{ with } \pi(y) = x_{f,e}\}.
\]

b) Two groups \( E(m, e+k + y_1) \) and \( E(m, e+k + y_2) \) as in part a) are isomorphic if and only if \( y_1 = y_2 \) for some \( \alpha \in \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \).

**Proof.** a) Let \( H \) be a \( k \)-step descendant of \( G \). By Theorem 7.11, we can assume that \( H = E(m, e+k + z) \) for some tail vector \( z \in \hat{\Theta}(m, e + k) \) and it follows from Lemmas 7.2 and 7.4 and Theorem 7.11 that \( \pi(z)^{\alpha} = x_{f,e} \) for some automorphism \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \). If \( y = z^\alpha \), then \( H \) and \( E(m, e+k + y) \) are isomorphic and \( \pi(y) = \pi(z)^{\alpha} = x_{f,e} \) follows from \( \pi \circ \gamma_z^\alpha = (\pi \circ \gamma_z)^{\alpha} \). Now Lemma 7.2 proves the assertion.

b) This follows from Theorem 7.11. \( \square \)

We analyze this lemma in more detail and, therefore, we need some more notation. Recall that the group of hom tail vectors can be written as \( H(m, e) = L(m, e) \oplus H_i(m, e) \) where \( H_i(m, e) \) is cyclic of order \( p \), see Corollary 6.30.

**Definition.** Let \( \mathcal{Z}(m, e, k) = \mathcal{Z}_l(m, e, k) \oplus \mathcal{Z}_t(m, e, k) \) where

\[
\mathcal{Z}_l(m, e, k) = \{x_{h,e+k} \in L(m, e + k) \mid h \in \text{Hom}_P(T \wedge T, T_{e+1})\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}_t(m, e, k) = T(m, e + k) \oplus H_i(m, e + k).
\]

By definition, every \( y \in \hat{\Theta}(m, e + k) \) with \( \pi(y) = x_{f,e} \) can be written as \( y = x_{f,e+k} + z \) for some \( z \in \mathcal{Z}(m, e, k) \). As shown above, two tail vectors \( m_{m,e+k} + x_{f,e+k} + z_1 \) and \( m_{m,e+k} + x_{f,e+k} + z_2 \) with \( z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(m, e, k) \) define isomorphic extensions if and only if there exists \( \alpha \in \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \) with

\[
z_2 = z_1^\alpha + x_{f,e+k} - x_{f,e+k}.
\]

The following definition takes this into account.
9.2 The action of the stabilizer

We say that \( \alpha \in \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \) acts on \( y \in \mathcal{Z}(m,e,k) \) via

\[
y^\alpha = y^\alpha + x_{f,e+k}^{-1},
\]

and we call \( y^\alpha \) and \( x_{f,e+k}^{-1} \) the linear and affine part of this action, respectively.

Clearly, this “action” is not a group action since \( y \mapsto y^\alpha \) is not a homomorphism. However, if \( y \in \mathcal{Z}(m,e,k) \) and \( \alpha, \beta \in \Sigma_{m,f}(f) \), then \( y^\alpha \circ \beta = (y^\alpha) \beta \) and \( y^{\beta \circ \alpha} = (y^\alpha)^\beta \).

The main result of this section is summarized in the following corollary.

9.2 Corollary. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a set of \( \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \)-orbit representatives in \( \mathcal{Z}(m,e,k) \). Then, up to isomorphism, the \( k \)-step descendants of \( E_{m,e}(f) \) are

\[
\{ E(m_{m,e+k} + x_{f,e+k} + y) \mid y \in \mathcal{M} \}.
\]

9.2 The action of the stabilizer

Again, we consider a surjective \( P \)-homomorphism \( f : T \to T \) and write \( m = n + d \) with \( n \geq p + 1 \). Let \( e \geq 1 \) and \( k \geq 0 \) be integers such that \( e + k \leq \epsilon_m \). With regard to Corollary 9.2, we now analyze the action of the stabilizer \( \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \) on the group \( \mathcal{Z}(m,e,k) \).

We write \( m = xd + i \) with integers \( x \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq d \), and we denote the projections from \( T \) and \( A_{e+k} \) to \( A_e \), respectively, by \( \pi \) for all \( k \).

9.2.1 The action on \( \mathcal{Z}_l(m,e,k) \)

The following corollary is a consequence of Lemmas 7.10 and 7.16.

Corollary. The group \( \mathcal{Z}_l(m,e,k) \) is invariant under the action of \( \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \).

Proof. Let \( y = x_{h,e+k} \) for some \( P \)-homomorphism \( h : T \to T \) and let \( \alpha \in \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \). It follows from the definition and Lemma 7.16 that \( \pi(y^\alpha) = 0 \) and \( y^\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(m,e+k) \).

Lemma 7.16 and Remark 7.14 imply that \( \Sigma_{m,e}(f) = \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(S)}(\pi \circ f) \) where \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \) acts on \( \pi \circ f \) via

\[
(\pi \circ f)^\alpha = \xi_{m,e}(\alpha)^{-1} \circ \pi \circ (\alpha \circ \alpha)|_{T \cap T}.
\]

We write

\[
H_e = \text{Hom}_P(T \cap T, T_{e+1})
\]

and identify \( H_0/H_e \) with the group of liftable \( P \)-homomorphisms \( T \cap T \to A_e \). Then

\[
\Sigma_{m,e}(f) = \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(S)}(f + H_e)
\]

where \( \alpha = \alpha(j,c,t) \in \text{Aut}(S) \) with \( l = j^{-1} \mod p \) acts on \( f + H_e \) as

\[
(f + H_e)^\alpha : u \mapsto \sigma_l(f(u) \circ \alpha)\theta^{(1+\theta^{j-1})-1} T_{e+1}.
\]

Hence, if \( j = 1 \), then \( (f + H_e)^\alpha = f^\alpha + H_e \) where \( f^\alpha = \alpha^{-1} \circ f \circ (\alpha \circ \alpha)|_{T \cap T} \).

Using this notation, we can write

\[
x_{h,e+k}^\alpha = x_{(h+H_e+k)^\alpha}
\]

for \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \) and \( x_{h,e+k} \in \mathcal{L}(m,e+k) \).
The following remark explains the advantage of writing \( h : T \land T \rightarrow T \) as a \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \)-linear combination of the homomorphisms \( F_2, \ldots, F_{d/2} \), see Lemma 5.10.

### 9.3 Remark

Let \( 2 \leq a \leq d/2 \) and \( \alpha = \alpha(j, z, t) \) with \( l = j^{-1} \mod p \). Recall that \( m = \varphi d + i \), and a straightforward computation shows that

\[
(cF_a + H_e)\alpha = (cF_a)^\alpha + H_e
\]

where

\[
(cF_a)^\alpha = (1 + \theta + \ldots + \theta^{d-1})^{i-1}(\rho_a(z))\sigma_{\alpha}F_a
\]

with \( \rho_a(z) = z^{-1}\sigma_a(z)\sigma_{1-a}(z) \) as in Section 5.4, cf. Section A.1.2. Using this action, we can define \( h^\alpha \) for every \( P \)-homomorphism \( h : T \land T \rightarrow T \) written as \( h = c_2F_2 + \ldots + c_{d/2}F_{d/2} \), which allows us to define \( (h + H_e)^\alpha = h^\alpha + H_e \), that is, \( x_{h,e+k}^\alpha = x_{(h^\alpha + H_e)_k} \).

Note that the action of \( \alpha(1, z, t) \in \text{Aut}(S) \) coincides with the action of the unit \( z \in U_p \) as defined in Section 5.4, which motivates the investigation of the stabilizer \( \text{Stab}_{(\varphi d)}(f + H_e) \) in Theorem 5.14.

We conclude this paragraph with a corollary to Remark 9.3.

### 9.4 Corollary

If \( p \geq 7 \), then the bodies of the colass tree \( T(p) \) have unbounded width.

**Proof.** If \( G \) is a capable group at depth \( 1 \leq e \leq \epsilon_m - 2 \) in the body \( T_m \), then \( G \cong E_{m,e}(f) \) for some surjective \( P \)-homomorphism \( f : T \land T \rightarrow T \), and \( E_{m,\epsilon_m}(f) \) is a descendant of \( G \) at depth \( \epsilon_m \). We now consider a surjective \( P \)-homomorphism \( h = \sum_{a=2}^{d/2} c_aF_a \) with \( c_{a'} = 0 \) for some \( 2 \leq a' \leq d/2 \) defining a capable group \( H = E_{m,e}(h) \) at depth \( e \) in \( T_m \). By Corollary 9.2, the capable immediate descendants of \( H \) correspond to the \( \Sigma_{m,e}(h) \)-orbits on \( Z_l(m, e, 1) \) and, for a contradiction, we assume that \( H \) has only one immediate descendant, that is, \( Z_l(m, e, 1) = \{ x_{h,e+1}^{\alpha-1} \mid \alpha \in \Sigma_{m,e}(h) \} \). Hence, if \( j \geq 0 \), then every homomorphism \( f : T \land T \rightarrow T_{e+1+j} \) can be written as \( f = \kappa^j(h^\alpha - h) + f' \) for some \( \alpha \in \Sigma_{m,e}(h) \) and \( f' : T \land T \rightarrow T_{e+2+j} \), where \( h^\alpha \) is defined as in Remark 9.3. This shows that we can write \( \kappa^{-1}F_{a'} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \kappa^j(h^\alpha_j - h) \) for certain \( \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots \) lying in \( \Sigma_{m,e}(h) \). Thus, \( \kappa^{-1}F_{a'} \) is a \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\theta) \)-linear combination of \( \{ F_a \mid 2 \leq a \leq d/2, a \neq a' \} \), contradicting Lemma 5.10. \( \square \)

### 9.2.2 The action on \( Z_l(m, e, k) \)

The group \( Z_l(m, e, k) \) is the direct sum of the group of twig tail vectors \( T(m, e + k) \) and a summand \( H_l(m, e + k) \) of order \( p \). It follows from Remark 7.14 that \( (x_h)^\alpha - x_{h^\alpha} \) is a twig tail vector whenever \( x_h \in H_l(m, e + k) \) and \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \). Since \( x_{h^\alpha} \notin H_l(m, e + k) \) in general, the group \( Z_l(m, e, k) \) is not \( \text{Aut}(S) \)-invariant.

We now describe the linear action of \( \text{Aut}(S) \) on the group of twig tail vectors. For this purpose, we consider \( T(m, e + k) \cong \mathbb{F}^2_p \) as an \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-vector space with basis consisting of two tail vectors such that one has non-trivial tail \( x_{0,0} \) and the other one has non-trivial tail \( x_{0,d} \) only. Recall that \( m = \varphi d + i \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq d \).

### 9.5 Lemma

The automorphism \( \alpha(l, \theta^o \omega^b c, t) \) with \( c \in U_p^{(2)} \) acts on \( T(m, e + k) \) as

\[
(\omega^{-b}l^3 - e - k - 1 \mod p) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t \mod (p, +) & \omega^{-b}l^{t-1} \mod p \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_p).
\]
9.2. The action of the stabilizer

Proof. We write \( \alpha = \alpha(l, z, t) \) with \( z = \theta^a \omega^bc \). Let \( x \in T(m, e + k) \) be a twig tail vector with canonical 2-cocycle \( \gamma = \gamma_z \) and write \( y = x^\sigma \) for the tail vector defined by \( \gamma^\alpha \), see Remark 6.15. By construction, the value of \( \gamma(u, v) \) with \( u, v \in S_m \) corresponds to the tail occurring at the tail collection of the word \( uv \) in \( E(x) \). This shows that \( y \) has non-trivial tails \( y_{0,0}, y_{0,1}, \ldots, y_{0,d} \) only. Note that \( \xi_{m,e+k}(\alpha)^{-1}(u) = u^{j_{e+k+1} - \omega - k} \) for all \( u \in T_{e+k}/T_{e+k+1} \) where \( j = l^{-1} \mod p \).

If \( x \) has a non-trivial tail \( x_{0,0} = u \) only, then \( y_{0,1} = \ldots = y_{0,d} = 1 \), and it follows from \( \lvert \{1 \leq s \leq d \mid (ls \mod p) + l \geq p \} \rvert = l \) that

\[
y_{0,0} = \xi_{m,e+k}(\alpha)^{-1}(u) = u^{j_{e+k+1} - \omega - k}.
\]

Now let \( x \) be a twig tail vector with non-trivial tail \( x_{0,d} = u \) only. We write \( \gamma^\alpha = \gamma_{\alpha(1,1,t)} \) and make a case distinction:

First, we consider \( \alpha = \alpha(1, 1, t) \) with \( t = t_1^{a_1} \ldots t_l^{a_l} \) for some \( a_1, \ldots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). By induction, the exponent of \( t_d \) in \( t^{a_d} \) with \( 0 < s < d \) is congruent to \( a_d - s \pmod{d} \) modulo \( p \) and, thus,

\[
y_{0,0} = \prod_{s=1}^{d} \gamma(s^{t_1^{a_1} + \ldots + t_l^{a_l}}) = u^{s^{a_1 + \ldots + a_d}} = u^{s \mod (p, +)}.
\]

It follows from \( y_{0,s} = \gamma(t, \sigma(t)) \) that \( y_{0,1} = \ldots = y_{0,d-1} = 1 \) and \( y_{0,d} = u \).

If \( \alpha = \alpha(1, z, 1) \), then \( y_{0,0} = 1 \) and \( C_p(x) \) is invariant under \( \alpha \). Thus, if \( z = \theta^a \), then \( \sigma(t) = x^\sigma = x \) as well.

Finally, we consider \( \alpha = \alpha(l, 1, 1) \) with \( 2 \leq l \leq d \). Clearly, the tail \( y_{0,0} \) induced by \( \gamma^\alpha \) is trivial. If \( 1 \leq s \leq d \) and \( j = l^{-1} \mod p \), then \( y_{0,s} = \gamma(s t_{0,s}) g^{l \omega s} \) and

\[
\gamma(s t_{0,s}) g^{l} = \begin{cases} 
    u & s \equiv -l^{-1} \mod p, \\
    u^{-1} & s \equiv -l^{-1} + 1 \mod p, \\
    1 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Hence, modulo coboundary tails, \( y_{0,1} = \ldots = y_{0,d-1} = 1 \) and

\[
y_{0,d} = \gamma(s t_{0,d}) g^{l \omega d} \prod_{s=1}^{d-1} \gamma(s t_{0,s}) g^{l \omega s} = u^{s_{e+k+1}}.
\]

see Lemma 6.22, which implies that \( y_{0,d} = u^{s_{e+k+1}} \). \( \square \)

9.2.3 An \( \text{Aut}(S) \)-module isomorphism

Again, we consider the linear action on \( Z(m, e, k) \). Let \( 1 \leq j = \epsilon_m - d - k \) be an integer.

Definition. The isomorphism \( T_{j+1}/T_{j+k+1} \rightarrow T_{j+d+1}/T_{j+k+d+1} \) defined by multiplication by \( p \) induces an isomorphism

\[
\phi_{m,j,k} : Z(m, j, k) \rightarrow Z(m, j + d, k).
\]

Its restrictions to \( Z_i(m, j, k) \) and \( Z_i(m, j, k) \) are denoted by \( \phi^i_{m,j,k} \) and \( \phi^j_{m,j,k} \), respectively.
We now show that \( \phi_{m,j,k} \) is compatible with the \( \text{Aut}(S) \)-action.

**9.6 Lemma.** If \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \) and \( x \in \mathcal{Z}(m,j,k) \), then \( \phi_{m,j,k}(x^\alpha) = \phi_{m,j,k}(x) \).

**Proof.** First, we show that \( y = x^\alpha \) lies in \( \mathcal{Z}(m,j,k) \) and, by Lemmas 7.16 and 9.5, it remains to consider \( x_f \in H_1(m,j+k) \). Then \( x_f^\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(m,j,k) \) follows from Remark 7.14 since \( x_f^\alpha - x_f^\circ \) is a twig tail vector. Now let \( x \in \mathcal{Z}(m,j,k) \) and denote by \( \phi \) the isomorphism from \( T_{j+1}/T_{j+k+1} \rightarrow T_{j+d+1}/T_{j+k+d+1} \) induced by multiplication by \( p \). Then the assertion follows from \( \phi \circ (\gamma_x^\alpha) = (\phi \circ \gamma_x)^\alpha \) where \( \gamma_x \) is the canonical 2-cocycle defined by \( x \).

**9.7 Lemma.** If \( N = \{ \alpha(1,z,t) \mid z \in C_p(\theta) \times \mathcal{U}_p^{(d)}, t \in T \} \), then \( \phi_{m,j,k}^f \) is an \( N \)-module isomorphism and \( \alpha(1,z,1) \in N \) acts trivially on \( \mathcal{Z}_t(m,j,k) \).

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 9.5 that \( \phi_{m,j,k}|_{T(m,j+k)} \) is an \( N \)-module isomorphism. If \( x_h \in H_1(m,j+k) \) and \( \alpha \in N \), then Remark 7.14 shows that \( (x_h)^\alpha - x_h^\circ \) is a twig tail vector, and \( x_h^\circ = x_h \) since \( z \equiv 1 \mod p \). Hence, \( \mathcal{Z}_t(m,j,k) \) is \( N \)-invariant, and it is easy to see that \( \alpha(1,z,1) \in N \) acts trivially. Now Lemma 9.6 proves the assertion.

The following remark summarizes our approach to attack Conjecture 9.1.

**Remark.** Let \( G = E_m,\xi_n(f) \) and \( H = E_m,\xi_{n-d} \) be capable groups at depth \( \xi_n \) and \( \xi_{n-d} \), respectively, in the body \( T_m \). It follows from the construction that \( H \) is a periodic parent of \( G \) if the isomorphism \( \phi_{m,\xi_n,\xi_{n-d}} \) is compatible with the action of the stabilizers \( \Sigma_{m,\xi_n,\xi_{n-d}}(\theta) \) and \( \Sigma_{m,\xi_n}(\theta) \), respectively, for all \( 1 \leq k \leq d \). Thus, given \( G \), the problem is to determine a homomorphism \( h \) with this property. The favored candidate for \( h \) is probably \( h = f \) because in this case \( H \) would be the \( d \)-step parent of \( G \). We examine this problem in the following section.

**9.3 The case \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \)**

We now prove Conjecture 9.1 in a special case. For this purpose, we start with a preparing paragraph. Again, let \( m = n + d \) with \( n \geq p + 1 \).

**9.3.1 Galois complements of a stabilizer**

Let \( p \geq 5 \) be a prime and let \( 1 \leq e \leq \xi_m \) be an integer. Recall that \( p^* = -(p-3)^2/4 \). For an automorphism \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S_{m+e}) \) we define \( \xi_{m,e}(\alpha) \in \text{Aut}(A_e) \) similarly as in Definition 7.12. Thus, analogously to an element of \( \text{Aut}(S) \), the automorphism \( \alpha \) acts on tail vectors via the compatible pair \( (\alpha|_{S_m,\xi_{m,e}(\alpha)}) \).

**Definition.** If \( f : T \times T \rightarrow T \) is a \( P \)-homomorphism, then the projection of \( \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \) into \( \text{Aut}(S_{m+e}) \) is denoted by \( \tilde{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f) = \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(S_{m+e})}(x_{f,e}) \).

**9.8 Lemma.** Let \( f : T \times T \rightarrow T \) be a surjective \( P \)-homomorphism.

a) The \( p^* \)-parts of \( |\text{Aut}(E_{m,e}(f))| \) and \( |\tilde{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f)| \) are the same.

b) If \( e \geq -p^* \), then the kernel of the projection from \( \tilde{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f) \) to \( \text{Aut}(P) \) is a \( p \)-group.
9.3. The case \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Proof.} & \quad \text{a) By Lemma 4.4, the homomorphism } \text{Aut}(E_{m,e}(f)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S_m) \times \text{Aut}(A_e) \text{ has image } \text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}}(S_m, A_e)(m_{m,e} + x_{f,e}) \text{ and its kernel is the } p\text{-group } Z^1(S_m, A_e), \text{ cf. Lemma 6.18. Now the assertion follows from} \\
& \quad \text{Stab}_{\text{Comp}}(S_m, A_e)(m_{m,e} + x_{f,e}) \cong \hat{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f), \\
& \quad \text{see Lemma 7.13 and Remark 7.14.} \\
b) \quad \text{Let } N \text{ be the kernel of the projection from } \hat{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f) \text{ to } \text{Aut}(P). \text{ If } \alpha \in N, \text{ then } \alpha = \alpha(1, c, t) \mid s_{m+e} \text{ for some unit } c \in U_p \text{ and } t \in T. \text{ Hence, it remains to show that } c \in C_p(\theta) \times U_p^{(2)}, \\
& \quad \text{cf. Section 5.1.3. We write } f = \sum_{a=2}^{d/2} c_a F_a \text{ and } c = \theta^\alpha \omega^s s \text{ with } s \in U_p^{(3)}, \text{ and we define } s_a \in p^2 \\
& \quad \text{by } \rho_a(s) = 1 + s_a \text{ for } 2 \leq a \leq d/2, \text{ see Section 5.4.} \text{ Using this notation, if follows from } \\
& \quad \alpha \in \hat{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f) \text{ that } \sum_{a=2}^{d/2} c_a(\omega^v + \omega^s s_a - 1) F_a \in \text{Hom}_P(T \land T, T_{e+1}). \text{ The element } \omega^v + \omega^s s_a - 1 \text{ is a unit unless } \omega^v = 1 \text{ and, as } f \text{ is surjective, it follows from Lemma 5.10 that } v \equiv 0 \mod d \text{ if } e \geq -p^*. \text{ This proves the lemma.} \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition.** Let \( f: T \land T \rightarrow T \) be a surjective \( P \)-homomorphism and \( e \geq -p^* \). By Lemma 9.8, the kernel of the projection from \( \hat{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f) \) to \( \text{Aut}(P) \) is a \( p \)-group and, thus, has a complement by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem. Note that \( \alpha(1, 1, t) \mid s_{m+e} \in \hat{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f) \) for all \( t \in T \), and, hence, this complement can be chosen such that a generator is of the type \( \alpha(j, c, 1) \mid s_{m+e} \). We call the subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(S) \) generated by \( \alpha(j, c, 1) \) a Galois complement of \( \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \).

Thus, if \( e \geq -p^* \), then every \( \beta \in \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \) can be written as \( \beta = \alpha^i \varepsilon \) where \( \alpha \) is a generator of a Galois complement of \( \Sigma_{m,e}(f) \), \( 0 \leq i \leq d \), and \( \varepsilon \) is of the type \( \varepsilon = \alpha(1, z, t) \) with \( z \in C_p(\theta) \times U_p^{(2)} \). The following corollary is a consequence of Lemma 9.8.

**9.9 Corollary.** Let \( G \) be a group at depth \( e \geq -p^* \) in \( T_m \). If \( \text{Aut}(G) \) is a \( p \)-group and \( H \) is a capable descendant of \( G \) in \( T_m \), then \( \text{Aut}(H) \) is a \( p \)-group.

**Proof.** We can assume that \( G \cong E_{m,e}(f) \) and \( H \cong E_{m,e+i}(f) \) for some surjective \( P \)-homomorphism \( f: T \land T \rightarrow T \) and \( i \geq 0 \). If \( \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S) \) is a generator of a Galois complement of \( \Sigma_{m,e+i}(f) \), then \( \alpha \mid s_m \) lies in \( \hat{\Sigma}_{m,e}(f) \). Now the assertion follows from Lemma 9.8.

**9.3.2 Periodic parents in the case \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \)**

The aim of this paragraph is to prove Conjecture 9.1 in the special case described in the following theorem, cf. Figure 9.2. Our proof relies on Theorem 5.14, which is the reason why we restrict attention to a prime \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \). We use the notation introduced in Section 9.2; recall that \( H_e = \text{Hom}_P(T \land T, T_{e+1}) \).

**9.10 Theorem.** Let \( p \equiv 5 \mod 6 \) and let \( G \) be a group at depth \( \varepsilon_n \) in the body \( T_{n+d} \). There is a positive integer \( n_0 = n_0(p) \) such that for all \( n \geq n_0 \) the following holds. If there is a maximal path \( K_0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow \ldots \) in \( T_{n+d} \) such that \( G \) has distance \( k \leq d \) from a group on this path and if the \( p' \)-part of the order of \( \text{Aut}(K_n) \) is the same for all \( \varepsilon_{n-k} \leq s \leq \varepsilon_{n+d} \), then \( G \) has a periodic parent \( \Pi(G) \) of distance \( k \) from this path.

**Proof.** Let \( m = n + d \) and \( e = \varepsilon_n \). We can assume that the groups \( K_i \) with \( 0 \leq i \leq e \) are defined by a surjective \( P \)-homomorphism \( f: T \land T \rightarrow T \); that is, \( K_i = E_{m,i}(f) \) for all \( i \). Thus, by Corollary 9.2, we can assume that \( G = E(m_{m,e} + x_{f,e} + y) \) for some \( y \in Z(m, e - k, k) \).
Let \( y' \in \mathcal{Z}(m, e - k - d, k) \) be a preimage of \( y \) under the isomorphism \( \phi_{m,e-k-d,k} \) and define \( H = E(m_{m,e-d} + x_{f,e-d} + y') \). By construction, the group \( G \) is capable if and only if \( H \) is capable. We now prove the theorem by constructing an isomorphism of rooted trees \( D_{d+k}(K_{e-k-d}) \cong D_{d+k}(K_{e-k}) \) which maps \( H \) onto \( G \). Let

\[
0 \leq j \leq d + k \quad \text{and} \quad i = 0, 1,
\]

and denote by

\[
\psi_j = \phi_{m,e-k-d,j} : \mathcal{Z}(m, e - k - d, j) \to \mathcal{Z}(m, e - k, j)
\]

the isomorphism induced by multiplication by \( p \). By Corollary 9.2, the \( j \)-step descendants of the group \( K_{e-k-id} \) correspond to \( \Sigma_{m,e-k-id}(f) \)-orbits on \( \mathcal{Z}(m, e - k - id, j) \), and we now show that \( \psi_j \) maps a set of \( \Sigma_{m,e-k-id}(f) \)-orbit representatives onto a set of \( \Sigma_{m,e-k}(f) \)-orbit representatives. It follows from the assumptions and Lemma 9.8 that there is an automorphism \( \alpha = \alpha(l, c, 1) \) of \( S \) which generates a Galois complement of \( \Sigma_{m,s}(f) \) for all \( s \) in the given range, that is, \( x_{f,s}^\alpha = x_{f,s} \) for all \( e - k - d \leq s \leq e + d \).

If \( x \in \mathcal{Z}(m, e - k - d, j) \), then Lemma 9.6 shows that

\[
\psi_j(x^\alpha) = \psi_j(x^\alpha + x_{f,e-k-d+j}^{\alpha-1}) = \psi_j(x^\alpha) = \psi_j(x^\alpha + x_{f,e-k-j}^{\alpha-1}) = \psi_j(x^\alpha)
\]

and, thus, the action of \( \alpha \) on \( \mathcal{Z}(m, e - k - d, j) \) and \( \mathcal{Z}(m, e - d, j) \), respectively, is compatible with the isomorphism \( \psi_j \).

Let \( N_i \) be the kernel of the projection from \( \Sigma_{m,e-k-id}(f) \) to \( \text{Aut}(P) \). By Lemma 9.8 and Theorem 5.14, we can choose \( n \) (and thus \( m = n + d \) and \( e = n \)) large enough such that

\[
N_i = \{\alpha(1, z, t) \mid z \in C_p(\theta) \times U_i, \ t \in T\} \quad \text{with} \quad U_i = \text{Stab}_{U_p^{(d)}}(f + H_{e-k-id}),
\]

and

\[
U_1^{[p]} = U_0, \quad U_1 \leq U_p^{(d)}, \quad \text{and} \quad U_0, U_1 \leq C_{U_p^{(d)}}(H_v/H_{v + 3d}) \quad \text{for all} \quad v \geq 0.
\]

Thus, \( N_i \) acts trivially on \( \mathcal{Z}(m, e - k - id, j) \) and every \( \alpha(1, z, 1) \in N_i \) acts trivially on \( \mathcal{Z}(m, e - k - id, j) \). It follows from Lemma 9.7 that the linear actions of \( N_1 \) and \( N_0 \) are compatible with the isomorphism \( \psi_j \).
Now we consider the affine actions of $N_0$ and $N_1$. Note that $x^\beta_{f,e-k-id+j} = 0$ for all $\beta = \alpha(1,\theta,t)$ with $t \in T$, cf. Section 9.2.1. If $\beta = \alpha(1,z,1)$ with $z \in U_1$, then $f^\beta - f = h$ lies in $H_{e-k-d}$ and $f^{\beta_0} - f \equiv ph \mod H_{e-k+j}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq d + k$. This shows that
\[
\psi_j(x^\beta) = \psi_j(x^\beta + x^\beta_{f,e-k-d+j}) = \psi_j(x + x_{h,e-k-d+j}) = \psi_j(x) + x_{ph,e-k+j}
\]
for all $x \in Z(m,e-k-d,j)$. Thus, it follows from $Z_j[p] = U_0$ that $\psi_j$ induces a bijection between the $j$-step descendants of $K_{e-k-d}$ and the $j$-step descendants of $K_{e-k}$. By construction, these bijections give rise to a graph isomorphism from $D_{d+k}(K_{e-k-d})$ to $D_{d+k}(K_{e-k})$ which maps $H$ onto $G$.

9.11 Corollary. The bound in Theorem 9.10 can be chosen as $n_0 = e_0 + 4p - 10$ if $p \geq 7$ and $n_0 = 32$ if $p = 5$, where $e_0$ is the integer given in Corollary 5.15.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 9.10 requires that $c_n - 2d \geq \max\{-p^*, e_0, p + 1\}$, that is, $c_n \geq e_0 + 2d$ if $p > 5$, and $c_n \geq 28$ if $p = 5$.

Computer experiments suggest that $n_0 \leq d^2/2 + 8d - 6$ if $p \geq 7$, cf. Remark 5.16. These bounds are not best possible, cf. Section 10.1 for $p = 5$.

We conclude this paragraph with an important corollary.

9.12 Corollary. Let $B$ be a capable group at depth $e_n$ in $T_{n+d}$ and let $A$ be the $d$-step parent of $B$. If Aut($A$) is a $p$-group and $n \geq n_0$, then $A$ is a periodic parent of $B$.

Proof. We can assume that $A$ and $B$ lie on a path $K_0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow \ldots$ in $T_{n+d}$ defined by a surjective $P$-homomorphism $T \wedge T \rightarrow T$. If $C$ is a descendant of $A$ on this path, then Corollary 9.9 shows that Aut($C$) is a $p$-group as well. Now Theorem 9.10 with $k = 0$ proves the assertion.

9.3.3 The impact of the Galois complement

Let $p \equiv 5 \mod 6$ with $p > 5$, and $m = n + d$ with $n \geq n_0$ as in Corollary 9.11. Let $f : T \wedge T \rightarrow T$ be a surjective $P$-homomorphism, and denote by $p_i$ the $p_i$-part of $|\text{Aut}(E_{m,i}(f))|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq e_m$. As already indicated in Theorem 9.10, the values of these $p_i$-parts play an important role in the construction of a periodic parent of $E_{m,e_n}(f)$. We now describe a setback and support the conjecture based on computational investigations that there are infinitely many capable groups for which the $d$-step parent is not a periodic parent.

9.13 Remark. Let $e = e_n$, and we assume that $p_{e-d} = p_{e-d+1} > p_e = p_{e+1}$. Hence, we can choose generators $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of Galois complements of $\Sigma_{m,e+1}(f)$ and $\Sigma_{m,e-d+1}(f)$, respectively, such that $\alpha = \beta^j$ for some $1 < j$ dividing the order of $\beta|p$. Moreover, we assume that there is a twig tail vector $x \in T(m,e+1)$ such that $x^\beta \neq x^{\alpha \alpha(1,1,t)}$ for all $i$ and $t \in T$, cf. Lemma 9.5. With these assumptions, we prove that the $d$-step parent $E_{m,e-d}(f)$ of $E_{m,e}(f)$ is not a periodic parent of $E_{m,e}(f)$. For this purpose, we use Corollary 9.2 and show that the number of $\Sigma_{m,e-d}(f)$-orbits on $Z(m,e-d,1)$ is less than the number of $\Sigma_{m,e}(f)$-orbits on $Z(m,e,1)$. By the proof of Theorem 9.10, this holds if $x$ and $x^\beta$ do not lie in the same $\Sigma_{m,e}(f)$-orbit,
that is, if $x^β \neq x^δ = x^δ + x^δ_{f,e+1}$ for all $δ \in \Sigma_{m,e}(f)$. Note that $x^δ_{f,e+1}$ has a trivial twig component, see Lemma 7.16, and $δ \in \Sigma_{m,e}(f)$ can be written as $α^iε$ for some $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $ε = α(1,z,t)$ with $z \in C_p(θ) × U_p(2)$. By Lemma 9.5, the automorphism $ε$ acts like $α(1,1,t)$ on $T(m,e+1)$. Hence, it follows from the choice of $x$ that $x^β \neq x^δ$ for all $δ \in \Sigma_{m,e}(f)$.

We show in Theorem 10.1 that for $p = 5$ the $4$-step parent is always a periodic parent for large enough $n$. The proof relies on the fact that the $Z_p[θ]$-rank of $Hom_P(T ∧ T, T)$ is $1$ if $p = 5$; that is, every surjective, liftable $P$-homomorphism $T ∧ T → A_e$ is induced by some $P$-homomorphism $cF_2 : T ∧ T → T$ with $c \in p^{-1} \setminus p^{-2}$, see Lemma 5.10. In general, the $Z_p[θ]$-rank of $Hom_P(T ∧ T, T)$ is $(p-3)/2$, see Corollary 5.6, and for $p > 5$ there are surjective $P$-homomorphisms $f : T ∧ T → T$ of the type

$$f = \sum_{2≤a≤d/2} \sum_{a \neq p_α} c_{a}F_a + p^x F_{d'} \quad \text{with } x ≥ 0.$$ 

Depending on the value of $x$, the summand $p^x F_{d'}$ has impact on the tail vector $x_{f,e}$, and hence on $Σ_{m,e}(f)$, only for sufficiently large $e$. Thus, for $p > 5$, we see no argument why the assumptions of Remark 9.13 should not be satisfied for infinitely many homomorphisms $f$ and values of $m$. In this case, Remark 9.13 points out that we cannot always choose the $d$-step parent as a periodic parent, and another construction of periodic parents is necessary. Theorem 9.10 is already a step ahead in solving this challenge.
10 5-groups of maximal class

As an application of the results obtained in this thesis, we now describe the structure of the coclass tree $\mathcal{T}$ of $G(5)$ as conjectured in [41] and, recently, in [6]. In particular, we prove Conjecture 9.1 for $p = 5$ and show that the groups in the bodies of $\mathcal{T}$ can be described by finitely many parameterized presentations with at most two integer parameters. This is close to a positive answer of Problem 3 of Shalev’s paper on finite $p$-groups [51], which asks for a classification of the 5-groups of maximal class. Throughout this chapter let $p = 5$.

10.1 The graph $G(5)$

10.1 Theorem. Let $n \geq 14$. If $G$ is a capable group at depth $\epsilon_n = n - 4$ in $T_{n+4}$, then the 4-step parent of $G$ is a periodic parent.

Proof. As mentioned in [41, p. 58], it follows from [3] and [26, 27] that the branch $B_n$ has depth $n$ for all $n \geq 4$. We say that $1 \leq e \leq n - 2$ is a ramification level of $B_n$ if there is a group at depth $e$ in $B_n$ having more than one capable immediate descendant in $B_n$. It follows from [28, Section 3] that the ramification levels of the body $T_n$ are 1 and 5: There is one group at depth 1 in $T_n$ having two capable immediate descendants and there are two groups at depth 5 in $T_n$ having 5 and 2 capable immediate descendants, respectively. An analysis of the results in [28, Section 4] now shows that the $p'$-part of the automorphism group order of a capable group at depth greater than 5 in $T_n$ is either 1 or 4, cf. [28, Lemma 4.3 & Theorem 4.4]. More precisely, if $G_1, \ldots, G_7$ are the seven capable groups at depth 6 in $T_n$, then the $p'$-parts of the corresponding automorphism group orders are 1, \ldots, 1, 4 and every capable descendant of $G_i$ in $T_n$ has an automorphism group order with the same $p'$-part as $G_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$. Thus, if $n \geq 32$, then we can apply Theorem 9.10 to prove the periodicity of type 2. We continued the computations made in [6] and constructed the bodies $T_2, \ldots, T_{36}$ using the computer algebra system GAP and the GAP-package ANUPQ, see [44, 56]. These explicit constructions show that the periodic growth already starts at level $n = 14$. □

Figures 10.1–10.4 contain the bodies $T_{i_4}, \ldots, T_{i_7}$ of the coclass tree $\mathcal{T}$ of $G(5)$. The notation is as explained in the Introduction, that is, a vertex labeled with an integer $m$ stands for $m$ terminal immediate descendants of the corresponding parent. It follows from Theorem 10.1 that these bodies and the periodicities of type 1 and 2 suffice to determine the structure of all bodies $T_{i_4}, T_{i_5}, \ldots$ completely.

It is conjectured in [28], [41], and [6, Conjecture IV] that the complete coclass graph $G(5)$ can be described by two types of periodic patterns: First, the shaved branches $B_n[n - 1]$ and $B_{n+4}[n - 1]$ are conjectured to be isomorphic as rooted trees for all $n \geq 14$. Second, it is conjectured that the difference graph $B_{n+4} \backslash B_{n+4}[n - 1]$, which consists of 5 levels of groups, is isomorphic to the difference graph $B_n \backslash B_n[n - 5]$ for all $n \geq 14$. 85
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Figure 10.1: The body $T_{14}$.

Figure 10.2: The body $T_{17}$. 
10.1. The graph $\mathcal{G}(5)$

Figure 10.3: The body $\mathcal{T}_{15}$.

Figure 10.4: The body $\mathcal{T}_{16}$. 
10.2 Periodicity classes

We now define periodicity classes of type 2 for the groups in the bodies $T_n$ with $36 \leq n \leq 39$ such that every group in $T_n$ with $m \geq 36$ lies in exactly one periodicity class. Recall that $T_n$ has depth $e_n = n - 4$.

If $G$ has depth $e < e_{n-4}$ in $T_n$ or if $G$ has depth $e = e_{n-4}$ in $T_n$ and $G$ is terminal, then its periodicity class of type 2 is $P_2(G) = P(G)$, see Definition 8.5. By Theorem 8.6, the groups in $P_2(G)$ can be described by a single parameterized presentation with one integer parameter.

If $G$ has depth $e = e_{n-4} + k$ in $T_n$ with $0 \leq k \leq 4$ and $G$ is capable if $k = 0$, then we can assume that

$$G = E(m_{n,e} + x_{f,e} + v)$$

for some $P$-homomorphism $f: T \rightarrow T$ and tail vector $v \in Z(n, e_{n-4}, k)$. Recall that $f$ is determined uniquely by its values on $t_1 \wedge t_2, \ldots, t_1 \wedge t_{d+1}$ and we can assume that $f(t_1 \wedge t_j) \in T_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq T$ for all $3 \leq k \leq d/2 + 1$. We define the periodicity class of $G$ of type 2 as

$$P_2(G) = \{E(m_{n+4i,e+4j} + x_{f,e+4j} + \phi^j(v)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{e_{n-4} - e}{4} \rfloor \}$$

where

$$\phi^j(v) \in Z(n + 4i, e_{n-4} + 4j, k)$$

is the image of $v$ under the “identity mapping” $Z(n, e_{n-4}, k) \rightarrow Z(n + 4i, e_{n-4}, k)$ and the isomorphism from $Z(n + 4i, e_{n-4}, k)$ to $Z(n + 4i, e_{n-4} + 4j, k)$ induced by multiplication by $5^j$. By construction, $P_2(G)$ is the union of infinitely many periodicity classes $P(-)$ as defined in Definition 8.5 and, for all $i \geq 1$, the number of groups in $P_2(G) \cap T_{n+4i}$ is greater by one as the number of groups in $P_2(G) \cap T_{n+4(i-1)}$. By definition, every group in $P_2(G)$ is defined by a consistent p.c.p. with generating set $S \cup A = \{g, t_1, \ldots, t_d, a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$. It follows from the results in Section 6.3.1, that each of the following three sets of relations

$$\{R_{n+4i} \mid i \geq 0\}, \quad \{C_{e+4j} \mid j \geq 0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \{M_{e+4j} \mid j \geq 0\}$$

can be described by a single parameterization with parameter $i$ and $j$, respectively. By construction, the tail vectors in $\{\phi^j(v) \mid j \geq 0\}$ can be parameterized with parameter $j$, and Remark 6.11 implies that there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{x_{f,e+4j} \mid j \geq j_0\}$ can be described by a parameterization with parameter $j$. Note that $m_{n+4i,e+4j} = m_{n,e+4j}$ as tail vectors, see Section 7.1, and $\{m_{n,e+4j} \mid j \geq 0\}$ can be parameterized with parameter $j$.

In summary, this proves the following theorem.

10.2 Theorem. The groups in the bodies of $T$ can be described by finitely many parameterized presentations with at most two integer parameters.

However, as indicated above, it is very technical to determine an explicit parameterized presentation describing the infinitely many groups in a periodicity class of type 2.

Moreover, there are 22218 groups in the bodies $T_{36}, \ldots, T_{39}$, that is, 22218 periodicity classes of type 2. In addition, the bodies $T_2, \ldots, T_{35}$ contain 71616 groups. This shows that an explicit classification by parameterized presentations still is very extensive.
The structure of the tree $T$ has been investigated computationally in [41] and, recently, in [6]. Based only on these graph theoretic investigations, it is conjectured in [6] that all groups in the tree $T$ can be partitioned into the set of 8399 groups lying in the branches $B_2, \ldots, B_{13}$, and into 8578 infinite periodicity classes, which partition the groups in $B_{14}, B_{15}, \ldots$. This and the results of Theorem 10.1 indicate that the number of periodicity classes of type 2 determined above seems not to be as small as possible.
Chapter 10. 5-groups of maximal class
A Appendix

A.1 Technical details

This section provides some straightforward, but technical, computations which are omitted in some proofs of the previous chapters.

A.1.1 Technical details of Remark 6.9

Recall that \( n = px + i \) with integers \( x \geq 0 \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq d \). We write \( q = px \) and \( k = d - i + 1 \), and we consider the relation \( t^p_i = w_{n,i} \) of the standard p.c.p. of \( S_n \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). By construction, the element \( w_{n,i} \) can be written as

\[
w_{n,i} = t^p_{k+1}e_{n,i,k+1} \cdots t^p_d e_{n,i,d}
\]

with \( 0 \leq e_{n,i,l} < p \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) and \( k + 1 \leq l \leq d \). It follows from \( T_{n+d} = T_n^p \) that \( e_{n+d,i,l} = e_{n,i,l} \) which shows that

\[
w_{n+d,i} = t^{p+1}_{k+1}e_{n,i,k+1} \cdots t^{p+1}_d e_{n,i,d}
\]

for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). The word \( t^{l_{n,i}}_1 \cdots t^{l_{n,d}}_d \) is the normalized word of \( t^{-1}_1 \cdots t^{-1}_d \) in \( S_n \) and therefore

\[
l_{n,i} = p^x - 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq k) \quad \text{and} \quad l_{n,j} = f_{n,j} \mod p^{x+1} \quad (k + 1 \leq j \leq d)
\]

with

\[
f_{n,j} = p^x(p + \sum_{i=1}^{k}(p - e_{n,i,j})) - 1.
\]

This already shows that \( l_{n,i} \) is congruent to \(-1\) modulo \( p^x \) for all \( i \). If \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), then

\[
p(l_{n,i} + 1) - 1 = l_{n+d,i}.
\]

If \( k + 1 \leq j \leq d \), then \( p(l_{n,j} + 1) - 1 \equiv f_{n+d,j} \equiv l_{n+d,j} \mod p^{x+2} \) and

\[
l_{n+d,j} = p(l_{n,j} + 1) - 1
\]

follows from \( 0 \leq p(l_{n,j} + 1) - 1 < p^{x+2} \). It is easy to proceed with an inductive argument.

A.1.2 The unit \( 1 + \theta + \ldots + \theta^i \) with \( 0 \leq i \leq d - 1 \)

In this paragraph, we investigate the \( \omega \)- and \( \theta \)-part of the unit

\[
u_i = 1 + \theta + \ldots + \theta^i
\]

for \( 0 \leq i \leq d - 1 \). Recall that \( \omega \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) is a primitive \((p - 1)\)-th root of unity and \( \omega \mod p \) is a generator of \( \mathbb{F}_{p^*} \).
Lemma. The unit $u_i = 1 + \theta + \ldots + \theta^i$ with $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$ can be written as

$$u_i = (i + 1)\theta^{(d/2+1)}(1 + s_i)$$

and $u_i = \omega^{j_i}\theta^{(d/2+1)}(1 + s_i)$ with $\omega$ and $\theta$ are primitive roots of unity. If $\psi = \theta^{i+1}$ and $\omega = (i + 1)^{-1} \mod p$, then $\psi = (\psi - 1)/((\psi - 1) + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\psi]$ and it follows that $Z_p[\psi] = Z_p[\theta]$ that $u_i$ is a unit. The element $u_0$ can be written as $u_0 = \omega^{/d/2+1}(1 + s_0)$ with $s_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{p}^2$, and we proceed by induction. Thus, we assume that $u_i = (i + 1)\theta^{(d/2+1)}(1 + s_i)$ for some $s_i \in \mathbb{p}^2$ and $i < d - 2$, and we have to show that there exists $s_{i+1} \in \mathbb{p}^2$ with

$$u_{i+1} = 1 + \theta u_i = (i + 2)\theta^{(d/2+1)}(1 + s_{i+1}),$$

which is equivalent to $1 + (i + 1)\theta^{(d/2+1)}(1 - 2)\theta^{(i+1)(d/2+1)} \in \mathbb{p}^2$. Another induction shows that $\theta^h \equiv h\theta - h + 1 \mod (\mathbb{p}^2, +)$ for all $h \geq 0$; that is,

$$1 + (i + 1)\theta^{(d/2+1)}(1 - 2)\theta^{(i+1)(d/2+1)} \equiv -(i + 1)p\theta + (i + 1)p \equiv 0 \mod (\mathbb{p}^2, +),$$

which proves the first assertion of the lemma. For $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$ there is $0 \leq j_i \leq d - 1$ with $\omega^{j_i} \equiv i + 1 \mod p$; that is, $\omega^{j_i} = i + 1 + pz$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. This shows that $u_i = (i + 1)\theta^{(d/2+1)}(1 + s_i)$ can be written as

$$u_i = \omega^{j_i}\theta^{(d/2+1)}(1 + s_i)$$

with $s_i = \omega^{-j_i}(i + 1)(1 + s_i) - 1 \in \mathbb{p}^2$. \qed

A.1.3 Proof of Lemma 6.17

We now provide a proof for Lemma 6.17.

Lemma. If $A$ is an $S\mathbb{Z}$-module with $T\mathbb{Z}$ acting trivially on $A$, then

$$H^2(S\mathbb{Z}, A) \cong (A^P)^3 \times A^d/2-1.$$

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{B})$ be a consistent p.c.p. of $A$ and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of conjugate relations in $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$ describing the $S\mathbb{Z}$-module structure on $A$. Let $x = (x_{i,j})$ be a list of elements in $A$ defining a polyadic presentation $E'(x)$ with generators $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$ and relations

$$\{g^p = x_{0,0}, \quad t^p_k = t_k x_{i,k}, \quad t^q_k = t_j x_{i,j}, \quad t^q_{j,d} = t_j x_{i,d} \mid 1 \leq 0 < j < d \} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{M}.$$

We now use consistency checks as in Theorem 4.2 to impose necessary and sufficient conditions on $x$ to define a consistent presentation $E'(x)$.

First, $x^0_{0,0} = x_{0,0}$ follows from $g^p = x_{0,0}$ and $(g^p)g = g^q p$. If $0 < j < i < d$, then $x_{i+1,j+1} = x^p_{j,i}$ follows from

$$t_i(t_j) = g_{t_{j+1}t_{i+1}} x_{i,j+1} x_{i+1,j} x_{i,j+1,i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad (t_i,t_j)g = g_{t_{j+1}t_{i+1}} x^q_{j,i} x_{i,j} x_{i,j+1}.$$

If $0 < i < d$, then $x^p_{i,d} = \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_{i+1,k}^{-1} x_{i,k+1}^{-1} = \prod_{k=i+2}^{d} x_{i,k+1}^{-1}$ is a consequence of

$$(t_{d}t_i)g = g_{t_{d+i}t_{i+1}^{-1}} \cdots t_{d} x_{i,d} x_{i+1} x_{i+1,i} = g_{t_{d+i}^{-1}} \cdots t_{d} x_{i,d} x_{i+1} x_{i+1,i} \prod_{k=i+1}^{d} x_{i,k+1}^{-1}$$

and $t_{d}(t_i)g = g_{t_{d+i}^{-1}} \cdots t_{d} x_{i,d} x_{i+1} x_{i+1,i} \prod_{k=i+2}^{d} x_{i,k+1}^{-1}$. \qed
For all $k < j < i$ the equation $t_i(t_jt_k) = t_kt_i t_{x_{k,i}x_{j,i}} = (t_jt_i)t_k$ yields no further conditions. Finally, it follows from $t_i(g^p) = t_i x_{0,0}$ and
\[
(t_i g)g^{p-1} = g t_{i+1} g^{p-1} x_{0,i} = \ldots = g^{d-i-1} t_i^{-1} \ldots t_d^{-1} g^{i} \prod_{k=i}^{d} x_{0,k}^{(p^{i+k-1} - s_i)}
\]
\[
= g^{d-i-2} t_i^{-1} \ldots t_d^{-1} t_i g^{i-1} s_1 \prod_{k=i}^{d} x_{0,k}^{(p^{i+k-1} - s_i)} = s_2
\]
\[
= g^{d-i+2} t_i g^{i-1} s_1 s_2 = \ldots = g^p t_i s_1 s_2 \prod_{k=i}^{d} x_{0,k}^{(p^{i+k-1} - s_i)} = t_i x_{0,0} s_1 s_2 s_3
\]
for all $1 \leq i \leq d$ that $\prod_{k=1}^{d} x_{0,k}^{p^{k-1} - 1} = 1$. Thus, $E'(x)$ is consistent if and only if $x$ satisfies
\[(1)\ x_{i,j}^{p^i} = x_{i+1,j+1} \quad \text{for } 0 < i < j < d,
\]
\[(2)\ x_{i,d}^{p^i} \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_{k,i}^{p^i} = \prod_{k=i+1}^{d} x_{k,i}^{p^i} \quad \text{for } 0 < i < d,
\]
\[(3)\ x_{0,0} \in A^P, \quad \text{and}
\]
\[(4)\ \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_{0,k}^{p^{k-1} - 1} = 1.
\]
Equation (1) shows that $x_{i,j} = x_{1,j-i+1}^{p^{i-1}}$ for all $1 < i < j < d$ and Equation (4) leads to
\[x_{0,d} = \prod_{k=1}^{d} x_{0,k}^{(p^{k-1})} \equiv A^P \text{ mod } A^P \text{ with } \beta_k = 1 + g + \ldots + g^{d-k}.
\]
Using Equation (1), Equation (2) can be translated to $\prod_{k=2}^{i+1} x_{1,k} = \prod_{k=2}^{i+1} x_{1,k}^d$ for $0 < i < d$ which is equivalent to
\[x_{1,i} = x_{1,d-i+3}^{p^{-1}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } x_{1,2}^{d} = \prod_{k=2}^{d} x_{1,k}^{p^{i-k}}.
\]
Thus $x_{1,3}, \ldots, x_{1,d/2+1}$ can be chosen arbitrarily and determine
\[x_{1,i} = x_{1,d-i+3}^{p^{-1}} \quad \text{for } d/2 + 2 \leq i \leq d \text{ and } x_{1,2} \equiv \prod_{k=3}^{d/2+1} x_{1,k}^{p^{i-k}} \text{ mod } A^P
\]
with $\alpha_k = g + \ldots + g^{p-1}$. This finally shows that $Z(S_2, A) \cong (A^P)^3 \times A^{d-1} \times A^{d/2-1}$.

We now determine $B(S_2, A)$ and consider a generating set $\{g y_0, t_1 y_1, \ldots, t_d y_d\}$ with $y_0, \ldots, y_d \in A$ of a complement to $A$ in the group defined by $E'(x)$. The equations
\[t_i y_j = t_j y_i^{k_{y_j}} = t_j x_{i,j} y_j \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = (g y_0)^p = x_{0,0} y_0^{1+g+\ldots+g^{d'}}
\]
imply that $x_{i,j} = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j < d$ and $x_{0,0} = 1$. Moreover, $x_{0,i} = y_{i+1} y_i^{-1}$ for $1 < i < d$ and $x_{0,d} = y_1^{-1} \ldots y_{d-2}^{-1} y_{d-1}^{-1}$. This shows that $x_{0,1}, \ldots, x_{0,d-1}$ can be chosen arbitrarily and the value of $x_{0,d}$ is determined by
\[x_{0,d} = y_1^{-1} \ldots y_{d-1}^{-1} y_d^{-1} = \prod_{k=1}^{d-1} x_{0,k}^{(p^{i+k-1})}.
\]
Therefore, $B(S_2, A) \cong A^{d-1}$ and the lemma is proved.
A.1.4 Technical details of Lemmas 6.25 and 7.1

Recall that $n = \tau d + i$ with integers $\tau \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$. We write $q = p^f$ and $k = d - i + 1$. If $x = x_{f,\gamma}$ is defined by $f \in \text{Hom}_p(T_{Z,n}, A_e)$, then, by the proof of Lemma 6.25, the possibly non-trivial tails of $x = (x_{i,j})$ are

$$x_{i,i} = f(w_i) \quad \text{with} \quad w_i = t^q w^{-1}_{n,i} \in T_{Z,n} \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \quad \text{and}$$

$$x_{j,j} = f(w_j) \quad \text{with} \quad w_j = t^p q_j \in T_{Z,n} \quad \text{if} \quad k + 1 \leq j \leq d$$

where the inverses of $w_{n,1}, \ldots, w_{n,d-i+1}$ are computed in $T_Z$. The tail $x_{0,d}$ is

$$x_{0,d} = f(w) \quad \text{with} \quad w = t_{1}^{l_{n,1}-1} \cdots t_{d}^{l_{n,d}-1} \in T_{Z,n}.$$

The group $E(x)$ satisfies $g^p = 1$ and, hence,

$$(gt_1)^p = t_1^{l_{n,1}+1} \cdots t_d^{l_{n,d}+1} x_{0,d}.$$

We now show that $t_1^{l_{n,1}+1} \cdots t_d^{l_{n,d}+1} = x_{0,d}^{-1}$ in $E(x)$ which proves Lemma 7.1. It follows as in Section A.1.1 that

$$l_{n,i} = q - 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq k) \quad \text{and}$$

$$l_{n,j} = f_{n,j} \mod pq \quad (k + 1 \leq j \leq d)$$

with

$$f_{n,j} = q(p + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (p - e_{n,i,j})) - 1$$

where $0 \leq e_{n,i,l} < p$ such that $w_{n,i} = t_{k+1}^{q_{e_{n,i,k+1}}} \cdots t_{d}^{q_{e_{n,i,d}}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. If we write

$$l_{n,j} = f_{n,j} - pq d_{n,j} \quad (k + 1 \leq j \leq d)$$

for certain $d_{n,j} \in \Z$, then $E(x)$ satisfies

$$t_1^{l_{n,1}+1} \cdots t_d^{l_{n,d}+1} = x_{1,1} \cdots x_{k,k} \prod_{j=k+1}^{d} x_{j,j}^{1-d_{n,j}+k}$$

and

$$x_{0,d} = f(t_1^{l_{n,1}-1} \cdots t_d^{l_{n,d}-1})$$

$$= f(t_1^{-q} w_{n,1} \cdots t_k^{-q} w_{n,k} \prod_{j=k+1}^{d} t_j^{(d_{n,j}-(k+1))pq})$$

$$= x_{1,1}^{-1} \cdots x_{k,k}^{-1} \prod_{j=k+1}^{d} x_{j,j}^{1-d_{n,j}-k}.$$

This proves Lemma 7.1 and completes the proof of Lemma 6.25.
A.2 Coclass conjectures

The coclass conjectures, Conjectures A – E, together with the theory developed for their proofs can be seen as the fundamentals of coclass theory and, therefore, we give a brief survey of these conjectures in this section. We state them as theorems since they all have been proved now. It is the case (though not obviously) that Theorem A implies all the others. Let \( p \) be a prime and let \( r \) be a positive integer in this section.

**Theorem A.** There is a function \( f(p, r) \) such that every \( p \)-group of coclass \( r \) has a normal subgroup of nilpotency class at most 2 and index at most \( f(p, r) \).

**Theorem B.** There is a function \( g(p, r) \) such that every \( p \)-group of coclass \( r \) has derived length at most \( g(p, r) \).

**Theorem C.** Every pro-\( p \) group of coclass \( r \) is solvable.

**Theorem D.** There are only finitely many isomorphism types of infinite pro-\( p \) groups of coclass \( r \).

**Theorem E.** There are only finitely many isomorphism types of infinite solvable pro-\( p \) groups of coclass \( r \).

By [7, p. 267], there is a one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism types of infinite pro-\( p \) groups of coclass \( r \) and the coclass trees in \( G(p, r) \), where a coclass tree is associated with an inverse limit of its mainline. Hence, Theorem D is equivalent to

**Theorem D’.** The coclass graph \( G(p, r) \) contains only finitely many coclass trees.

It follows from [29, Corollary 11.2.3] that there are only finitely many groups in \( G(p, r) \) which are not contained in any coclass tree.

A.2.1 On the proof of Theorem A

A large number of papers contributed to the ultimate proof of Theorem A, and we only mention a few highlights in the following historical abstract, which is taken from [7, pp. 265]. It is in chronological order and we refer to [7] and [29] for background and further references.

(a) Leedham-Green & Newman [30] defined coclass, and proposed a classification of \( p \)-groups of fixed coclass. Their program was expressed in a series of five conjectures.

(b) Leedham-Green [31] proved that every \( p \)-group of finite coclass is \( p \)-adic analytic.

(c) Donkin [8] proved that if \( p \geq 5 \), then every \( p \)-adic analytic pro-\( p \) group of finite coclass is solvable. Together with (b) this establishes Theorem C for \( p \geq 5 \).

(d) Leedham-Green [32] completes the proof of Theorem A for \( p \geq 5 \).

(e) Shalev and Zel’manov [49] give an elementary self-contained proof of Donkin’s theorem (c), valid for all primes \( p \).

(f) Shalev [50] gives a ‘constructive’ self-contained proof of Theorem A, valid for all primes.
Hence, the proof of Theorem A has a long history and there are two independent proofs by Leedham-Green [32] and Shalev [50]. A featured online review of these two papers was written by Mann [33]. We now quote an excerpt of this review which emphasizes on the different ingredients of the two proofs. Introductorily, Mann wrote:

These papers represent a significant advance in the theory of finite \( p \)-groups. Since the number of \( p \)-groups is vast, and includes many strange ones, there is a widely shared belief that their structure is chaotic. Some years ago C. R. Leedham-Green and M. F. Newman suggested a way of bringing order into this chaos (an extensive bibliography and historical background are available in the papers themselves). If \( G \) has order \( p^n \) and nilpotency class \( c \), then Leedham-Green and Newman called \( n - c \) the coclass of \( G \), and considered the coclass as the main invariant of \( G \). Based on some evidence (mostly from the theory of groups of maximal class, i.e. coclass 1), and on profound intuition, they made several bold conjectures, the strongest of which states that a \( p \)-group of coclass \( r \) contains a subgroup of class 2 (abelian if \( p = 2 \)) whose index is bounded in terms of \( r \) (and \( p \)). Thus \( p \)-groups of small coclass are virtually of class 2. According to a reported saying of N. Blackburn, this conjecture, once proved, will be “the first general theorem of the theory of \( p \)-groups”. Both of the present papers contain a proof. The proof by Leedham-Green, though published later, was the first one, and was available to Shalev. It is the culmination of work by Leedham-Green, S. McKay, W. Plesken, and S. Donkin. (A special case was done by the reviewer, based on work of McKay.) Because of its reliance on Donkin’s work, which applies the classification of simple \( p \)-adic Lie algebras, this proof originally held only for \( p > 3 \), but this restriction can be removed now, by substituting Donkin’s paper with one of A. Shalev and E. I. Zelmanov.

Concerning the proof of Leedham-Green, Mann continues:

The main ingredients in Leedham-Green’s proof are the concepts of uniserial action and of settled groups, a “reduction” from finite \( p \)-groups to (infinite) pro-\( p \)-groups, and the application of Lie methods. In a group \( G \) (all groups from now on are \( p \)-groups) of coclass \( r \), at most \( r \) factors of the lower central series have order greater than \( p \), and that means that \( G \) acts uniserially on a large chunk of its lower central series. The author calls a group \( G \) settled if it acts uniserially on a normal subgroup \( N \) that is both large enough and has, in some sense, a suitable power structure. By playing this power structure against the commutator structure, the author defines a certain Lie ring for each settled group.

The proof proper starts by assuming that the result does not hold. Then there exists an infinite set of groups of the same coclass \( r \), which together exhibit the failure of the theorem. An inverse limit argument constructs a pro-\( p \)-group \( P \) of coclass \( r \). By a previous paper of Leedham-Green, \( P \) is \( p \)-adic analytic. This enables us to apply the result of Donkin (or of Shalev and Zel’manov), together with Leedham-Green’s previous results. This yields a detailed structure of \( P \), and in particular shows that it is settled. It follows that only finitely many groups of coclass \( r \) can fail to be settled. Thus the groups in the infinite set above can be taken as settled. Now another inverse limit construction is applied to build from the Lie rings associated to these groups an infinite Lie ring on which \( P \) acts uniserially. This contradicts Donkin’s result and ends the proof.

The proof for the case \( p = 2 \), in which the result is stronger, is actually shorter. The whole proof, including previous stages, is surprisingly relatively short and simple, especially as Donkin’s work, the only “non-elementary” ingredient, can now be eliminated. Moreover, Leedham-Green, not content with his achievements so far, next discusses the exact structure of groups of a given coclass. He introduces a notion of constructible groups. The definition is somewhat complicated, and involves cohomology. We will just say that constructible groups are obtained by twisting finite quotients of \( p \)-adic space.
A group $G$ of coclass $r$ contains a normal subgroup $N$ of bounded order (in terms of $r$ and $p$) such that $G/N$ is constructible.

The approach of Shalev is described by Mann as follows:

Now to Shalev’s paper: its main advantages are, first, that it gives a largely self-contained elementary proof, and second, that it gives explicit bounds, which, while they are large, are claimed by the author to be “quite realistic”. This is possible because he avoids inverse limit constructions. Rather, he applies the theory of powerful $p$-groups, as developed by A. Lubotzky and the reviewer. This theory can be considered as the finite analogue of Lazard’s theory of $p$-adic analytic groups. Again using uniserial actions, it is first established that a group of coclass $r$ contains a powerful subgroup of bounded index. This is analogous, and even equivalent, to Leedham-Green’s result that a pro-$p$-group of finite coclass is $p$-adic analytic. Since powerful groups have nice power and commutator structure, it is again possible to construct from an assumed minimal counterexample an appropriate Lie ring. This ring is modified several times, ending with a perfect Lie algebra $L$ of characteristic $p$ with a derivation $D$ such that $D^{p-1} = 0$. But a result of N. Jacobson on Engel Lie algebras shows that $L$ is nilpotent, a contradiction. Shalev ends by giving the proof for $p = 2$, which here is longer than for odd $p$, by proving that most groups are settled, a result that here is a corollary, rather than preliminary, to the main result, and deriving some other results about the structure of groups of a given coclass.

A.2.2 On the proofs of Theorems B – E

We now sketch the proofs of Theorems B – E and provide further references. The proofs of Theorem B and C are from [29, Corollary 6.4.6 & Theorem 7.4.2]. The proof of Theorem D can be found in [7, Theorem 10.2].

Proof of Theorem B. Let $G$ be a $p$-group of coclass $r$ and denote by $G^{(i)} = G$ and $G^{(i)} = [G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}]$ for $i \geq 2$ the terms of the derived series of $G$. Since $G^{(i)} \leq \gamma_2(G)$, it follows from the proof of Theorem A, see [29, Section 6.4], that $G$ has derived length at most $i + 2$ if $2^i \geq 2(p - 1)p^{r-1} - 2$ for $p$ odd, and if $2^{i+1} \geq 3.2^{r+1} - 1$ for $p = 2$.

Proof of Theorem C. Let $G$ be an infinite pro-$p$ group of coclass $r$, that is, there exists an integer $i_0$ such that $G/\gamma_i(G)$ is a finite $p$-group of coclass $r$ for all $i \geq i_0$. By Theorem B, the group $G/\gamma_i(G)$ has derived length at most $g(p, r)$, where $g(p, r)$ is independent of $i$. Hence $G^{[g(p,r)]} \leq \bigcap \gamma_i(G) = \{1\}$, and so $G$ is solvable.

Proof of Theorem D. By [7, Chapter 10], an infinite pro-$p$ group $G$ of coclass $r$ has an open normal subgroup $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$, where $k = (p - 1)p^s$ for some $s < r$ if $p$ is odd, $k = 2^s$ for some $s < r + 1$ if $p = 2$. Moreover, $G/A$ has coclass $r$ and $[G : A] = p^{r+p^s}$ if $p$ is odd, $[G : A] = 2^{r+(r+1)}2^{s+1}$ if $p = 2$, see [7, Theorem 10.1]. As indicated in [7, Section 10.4], the proof of this assertion relies on Theorem C. By [7, Theorem 5.8], there are only finitely many isomorphism types of extensions of the pro-$p$ group $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$ by a finite $p$-group.

Proof of Theorem E. Obviously, this is a consequence of Theorem D.
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List of symbols

Entries are in approximately the order in which they first occur in the text. Some entries have the given meaning in the appropriate sections of the text, but have other meanings elsewhere.

**General notation: Elements and sets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>A prime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p^*$</td>
<td>The number $-(p - 3)^2/4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>A positive integer, written as $n = \pi d + i$ with integers $\pi \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{N}$</td>
<td>The set of positive integers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>The set of integers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{Q}$</td>
<td>The rational numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{R}$</td>
<td>The real numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{C}$</td>
<td>The complex numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{F}_q$</td>
<td>The field with $q$ elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{Q}_p$</td>
<td>The $p$-adic numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}_p$</td>
<td>The $p$-adic integers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>A primitive $p$-th root of unity over $\mathbb{Q}_p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)$</td>
<td>The $p$-th local cyclotomic field defined by $\theta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$</td>
<td>The ring of integers of the field $\mathbb{Q}_p(\theta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^*$</td>
<td>The unit group of a ring $R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R[X]$</td>
<td>The ring of polynomials over a ring $R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{GL}(m, R)$</td>
<td>The group of invertible $m \times m$ matrices over a ring $R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M(m, R)$</td>
<td>The $R$-module of $m \times m$ matrices over a ring $R$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_p$</td>
<td>The group of units $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>The prime element $\kappa = \theta - 1$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{p}$</td>
<td>The maximal ideal $(\kappa)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\theta]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>A primitive $(p - 1)$-th root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$</td>
<td>The companion matrix of $1 + X + \ldots + X^d$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with last row $(-1, \ldots, -1)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General notation: Groups, subgroups, and invariants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H \leq G$</td>
<td>The group $H$ is a subgroup of the group $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H &lt; G$</td>
<td>The group $H$ is a proper subgroup of the group $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H \triangleleft G$</td>
<td>The group $H$ is a normal subgroup of the group $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H \vartriangleleft G$</td>
<td>The group $H$ is a proper normal subgroup of the group $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle M \rangle$</td>
<td>The subgroup of a group $G$ generated by $M \subseteq G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H \cong G$</td>
<td>The groups $H$ and $G$ are isomorphic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A \cong_{G} B$</td>
<td>The groups $A$ and $B$ are isomorphic as $G$-groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[G : H]$</td>
<td>The index of the subgroup $H$ in $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G^n$</td>
<td>The direct product $G \times \ldots \times G$ with $n$ copies of $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G^{[n]}$</td>
<td>The subgroup of the group $G$ generated by ${g^n \mid g \in G}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi(G)$</td>
<td>The Frattini subgroup of the group $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_j(G)$</td>
<td>The $j$-th term of the lower central series of the group $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta(G)$</td>
<td>The center of the group $G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_G(N)$</td>
<td>The centralizer of $N$ in the group $G$</td>
</tr>
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<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<td>(c(G))</td>
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</tr>
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<td>(cc(G))</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>(doc(G))</td>
<td>The degree of commutativity of the group (G)</td>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td>Kronecker delta</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liftable homomorphism</td>
<td>37, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logarithm mapping</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lower central series</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mainline</td>
<td>4, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mainline component</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mainline group</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mainline tail vector</td>
<td>57, 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximal class</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nilpotency class</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal form</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normalized word</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one-units</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operator group</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-adic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-adic units</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-adic absolute value</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-adic integers</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-adic number field</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-adic numbers</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-adic valuation</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-group</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-part</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-th local cyclotomic field</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.c.p.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parameterized presentation</td>
<td>2, 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parent</td>
<td>3, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$-step parent</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periodic parent</td>
<td>13, 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periodicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periodicity class</td>
<td>3, 12, 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periodicity class of type 2</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periodicity mapping</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periodicity of type 1</td>
<td>11, 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periodicity of type 2</td>
<td>13, 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point group</td>
<td>9, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polycyclic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consistent polycyclic presentation</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polycyclic presentation</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polycyclic sequence</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polycyclic group</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polycyclic series</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>power relations</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>presentation</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prime element</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pro-$p$ group</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rank (of a free module)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>refined central series</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative order</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residue class field</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restriction homomorphism</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shaved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coclass graph</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>branch</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtree</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skeleton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skeleton group</td>
<td>10, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skeleton of a branch</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group of maximal class</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integral version</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>split extension</td>
<td>20, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard presentation</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strongly isomorphic</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tail vector</td>
<td>27, 28, 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coboundary tail vector</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hom tail vector</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mainline tail vector</td>
<td>57, 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tail vector induced by a cocycle</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tails</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twig tail vector</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tensor product</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terminal</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topological group</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transgression homomorphism</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>translation subgroup</td>
<td>9, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transversal</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twig</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twig component</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twig group</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twig tail vector</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twigs</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uniserial action</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valuation ring</td>
<td>31, 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>width of a tree</td>
<td>4, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>word in $\mathcal{G}$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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